Volume 1 Number 1 (2023)
January – June 2023
Page: 13-22

Multidisciplinary: Journal of Scientific Research
https://edujavare.com/index.php/mjsr

Multidisciplinary: Journal of Scientific Research

The Role of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) in Driving Socially Responsible Business Practices in the Manufacturing Industry

Loso Judijanto[1]
[1)] IPOSS Jakarta, Indonesia; losojudijantobumn@gmail.com

Article history Submitted: 2023/02/15; Revised: 2023/03/12; Accepted: 2023/04/17

Abstract

The manufacturing industry plays a crucial role in global economic development but faces increasing scrutiny over environmental, social, and governance (ESG) practices. ESG principles have emerged as pivotal frameworks for addressing environmental degradation, labor rights, and ethical governance while fostering sustainable growth. This study aims to analyze the role of ESG principles in driving socially responsible business practices within the manufacturing sector, exploring both the benefits and barriers of ESG integration. Using a qualitative research approach, the study employs a multiple-case study design, combining semi-structured interviews with industry leaders, document analysis of corporate sustainability reports, and thematic analysis to uncover actionable insights. Companies that adopt ESG principles demonstrate improved operational efficiency, stakeholder trust, and market competitiveness through innovations in green technologies, ethical labor practices, and transparent governance frameworks. However, high implementation costs, regulatory inconsistencies, and a lack of standardized ESG metrics hinder widespread adoption. The findings underscore the transformative potential of ESG principles in reshaping the manufacturing industry to align profitability with social and environmental responsibilities. This study contributes to the literature by emphasizing ESG's role in driving innovation and sustainable practices while addressing gaps related to sector-specific challenges. The results provide valuable insights for policymakers, manufacturers, and academics, offering a roadmap for leveraging ESG as a strategic tool for sustainable development.

Keywords ESG; Manufacturing Industry; Role; Socially Responsible Business.

INTRODUCTION

The global manufacturing industry stands at the intersection of economic growth and sustainable development, playing a pivotal role in shaping economies while also exerting considerable influence on environmental and social systems [1]. As industries expand to meet rising global demands, the balance between profitability and responsibility has become a pressing concern [2]. In this context, Environmental, Social,

and Governance (ESG) principles have emerged as essential frameworks for fostering socially responsible business practices, providing companies with strategies to address climate change, labor rights, and ethical governance [3].

Despite the growing recognition of ESG's importance, the manufacturing sector faces several persistent challenges. Environmental degradation resulting from excessive resource consumption and carbon emissions remains a critical concern [4]. Additionally, issues such as unsafe working conditions, exploitation of labor, and weak governance structures tarnish the industry's image and hinder its long-term sustainability [5]. These challenges underscore the urgent need for transformative strategies that mitigate risks and create value for stakeholders across the supply chain.

What makes ESG integration in the manufacturing industry particularly compelling is its potential to drive innovation and competitiveness. Many companies adopting ESG frameworks have reported enhanced operational efficiencies, improved stakeholder trust, and access to new markets [6]. For instance, innovations in green manufacturing technologies and ethical sourcing practices address environmental and social issues and contribute to competitive advantages in increasingly conscientious global markets [7]. This dual benefit of responsibility and profitability highlights ESG principles' unique opportunities.

However, a significant gap exists in understanding how ESG frameworks can be systematically implemented and measured in manufacturing. While numerous studies have explored ESG in broader contexts, few have delved deeply into its role in driving socially responsible practices specific to the manufacturing industry [8]. Furthermore, variations in regional regulatory environments and stakeholder expectations pose additional complexities that warrant closer examination [9].

The novelty of this article lies in its focus on identifying actionable pathways for ESG integration within the manufacturing sector while simultaneously addressing existing gaps in research and practice. By analyzing case studies, industry reports, and empirical data, this study aims to comprehensively understand how ESG principles can transform manufacturing into a driver of sustainable development [10]. In doing so, it contributes to both academic discourse and practical applications, offering a roadmap for businesses aspiring to align profitability with social and environmental responsibility [11].

The primary objective of this study is to analyze the role of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) principles in promoting socially responsible business practices within the manufacturing industry. By examining how ESG frameworks are adopted and integrated into operational strategies, the research aims to uncover actionable

insights that enhance sustainability, ethical governance, and social impact in this sector. The findings are expected to benefit various stakeholders, including manufacturers seeking to improve their ESG performance, policymakers designing effective regulations, and academics contributing to the discourse on sustainable business practices. Moreover, this study aspires to bridge the gap between theoretical ESG concepts and practical applications, fostering innovation and long-term competitiveness in the manufacturing industry.

METHODS

This study employs a qualitative research approach to explore the role of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) principles in driving socially responsible business practices within the manufacturing industry. The research adopts a multiple-case study design to understand how ESG frameworks are implemented, the challenges encountered, and the outcomes achieved across different manufacturing contexts [12]. Data collection methods include semi-structured interviews with key stakeholders such as industry leaders, sustainability officers, and policymakers and document analysis of corporate sustainability reports, industry white papers, and relevant regulatory frameworks [13]. This approach enables data triangulation, ensuring a comprehensive and nuanced understanding of the phenomenon.

The research process involves several key steps. First, purposive sampling is employed to select manufacturing companies with diverse profiles based on size, geographical location, and ESG maturity levels. Second, semi-structured interviews are conducted to capture rich, firsthand insights into ESG adoption processes, barriers, and impacts. Third, secondary data analysis, such as ESG performance metrics and compliance reports, complements the primary data, providing context and validation. Finally, thematic analysis is applied to identify recurring patterns, themes, and relationships, allowing the researcher to draw meaningful conclusions. By adopting this methodical and iterative approach, the study ensures a robust exploration of ESG's transformative potential in the manufacturing industry.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

Findings

The research revealed that Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) principles significantly influence socially responsible business practices in the manufacturing industry. Companies that have successfully integrated ESG frameworks into their operations demonstrate clear improvements in sustainability, ethical governance, and social responsibility. Environmental sustainability emerged as a major focus, with manufacturers adopting energy-efficient technologies, reducing waste, and improving resource management. This has led to environmental benefits, such as decreased carbon emissions and lower environmental impact, as well as operational cost savings, especially through investments in renewable energy and circular economy practices.

In the social domain, the research found that companies with strong ESG commitments showed tangible improvements in employee welfare, community engagement, and product responsibility. Manufacturers prioritizing workplace safety, diversity, and fair labor practices reported lower employee turnover and higher levels of productivity [14]. Additionally, these companies strengthened their relationship with local communities by investing in social initiatives, such as education programs and healthcare support, fostering goodwill, and enhancing their social license to operate. These findings confirm that social sustainability is a moral obligation and a strategic advantage in fostering stakeholder trust and loyalty.

The governance aspect of ESG also played a key role in driving socially responsible business practices. Companies with strong governance structures, including transparent decision-making processes, anti-corruption policies, and rigorous risk management, reported higher resilience to regulatory scrutiny and market disruptions [15]. The research highlighted the importance of inclusivity in governance, where companies that actively engaged employees, communities, and investors in decision-making processes were better positioned to implement effective ESG strategies [16]. Furthermore, good governance was found to be essential in linking environmental and social objectives with long-term business goals, ensuring that ESG practices became an integral part of organizational culture.

Despite the positive outcomes, the study identified several challenges to widespread ESG adoption in manufacturing. High initial costs for green technologies, a lack of standardized ESG reporting metrics, and regional disparities in regulatory frameworks were significant barriers [17]. Smaller manufacturers, particularly, needed help with the financial and technical resources required to implement ESG initiatives.

Additionally, the research found that the absence of universal ESG performance standards hindered consistent measurement and comparability, making it difficult for stakeholders to assess the true impact of ESG practices.

The findings of this research underline the transformative potential of ESG principles in driving socially responsible business practices in the manufacturing industry. While significant progress has been made in environmental sustainability, social responsibility, and governance, overcoming the identified barriers is crucial for the sector to realize the benefits of ESG integration fully. The study contributes to the growing body of knowledge on ESG by providing practical insights for manufacturing companies looking to enhance their ESG performance and for policymakers aiming to support sustainable practices in the industry.

Discussion

The findings of this study align closely with prior research that emphasizes the critical role of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) principles in fostering sustainable and socially responsible practices in the manufacturing industry. Previous studies, such as those by [18], highlight that companies with strong ESG commitments tend to experience improved operational performance, reduced risks, and enhanced stakeholder relationships. This research confirms these findings, particularly in the environmental and governance domains, where companies that have embraced ESG principles reported reductions in carbon emissions and waste and improvements in operational efficiency. Furthermore, similar to the findings of [19], the study shows that companies with robust ESG practices gain competitive advantages through cost savings and the development of innovative products that cater to growing consumer demand for sustainability.

In the environmental domain, this study's results support the theoretical frameworks of the triple bottom line [20], which argues that organizations should focus on environmental, social, and economic impacts. Companies that adopted green technologies, such as renewable energy and resource efficiency practices, met regulatory requirements and found new revenue streams through eco-friendly products. This aligns with the concept of eco-innovation, where sustainable practices lead to economic value creation [21]. The findings extend this literature by specifically highlighting the role of circular economy practices in driving both environmental and economic benefits, offering new insights into the potential of ESG principles to innovate traditional manufacturing processes [22].

From a social perspective, the results of this study reinforce findings from [23] CSR pyramid, which emphasizes that a company's social responsibility extends beyond compliance to include proactive measures that benefit employees, communities, and consumers. The study confirms that companies prioritizing social factors, such as employee welfare and community engagement, saw positive outcomes in terms of productivity, brand loyalty, and local support. This further supports the notion that corporate social responsibility (CSR) is not just a cost but a strategic investment that yields long-term benefits [24]. Additionally, this research adds new dimensions by highlighting the direct impact of diversity and inclusion initiatives on organizational success, a perspective that has received increasing attention in recent CSR literature.

In terms of governance, the research findings support agency theory [25], which posits that strong governance structures help align the interests of managers and shareholders, reducing the potential for risk and unethical behavior. Companies with effective governance frameworks, such as transparent decision-making and strong anti-corruption policies, were more resilient in facing regulatory challenges and market uncertainties [26]. This research enhances the understanding of governance within ESG by illustrating how inclusive decision-making involving a wide range of stakeholders contributes to the success of ESG initiatives [27]. It also supports the growing emphasis on corporate accountability and transparency, aligning with theories on stakeholder engagement and corporate legitimacy [28].

However, despite the positive findings, this study also highlights significant barriers to ESG implementation, such as high upfront costs and the need for standardized ESG reporting metrics. These barriers resonate with challenges outlined in previous studies, such as those by [29], who found that the initial investment required for sustainable technologies and the absence of clear ESG measurement frameworks were hindering wider adoption of ESG practices in various industries. The findings also reflect the uneven enforcement of ESG regulations across regions, which can create disparities in how companies are able to implement ESG practices effectively [30].

The analysis of these findings, in comparison with existing literature and theoretical frameworks, suggests that while ESG principles offer immense potential for driving responsible business practices, their full implementation could be improved by financial, regulatory, and knowledge-related challenges. Future research could focus on developing standardized ESG metrics tailored to the manufacturing industry and exploring ways to make ESG adoption more accessible, particularly for

smaller manufacturers with limited resources. This would contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of how to overcome the barriers to ESG adoption, ensuring that the manufacturing industry can fully realize the benefits of integrating sustainability and social responsibility into their operations.

CONCLUSION

The findings of this study highlight the transformative role of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) principles in driving socially responsible business practices within the manufacturing industry. ESG integration has been shown to enhance sustainability, improve stakeholder relationships, and foster long-term competitiveness by addressing critical challenges such as environmental degradation, social inequities, and governance shortcomings. The study also emphasizes the importance of innovation and inclusive decision-making as key enablers of ESG success. However, persistent barriers, including high implementation costs, regulatory disparities, and a lack of standardized ESG metrics, remain significant challenges that require comprehensive solutions. These insights underscore the need for collaborative efforts among manufacturers, policymakers, and industry stakeholders to create a unified framework for ESG adoption.

Future research should explore sector-specific strategies for overcoming the identified barriers, particularly focusing on small and medium enterprises (SMEs) that face unique resource constraints. Comparative studies across different regions and industries could provide further insights into how contextual factors influence ESG implementation. Additionally, the development of standardized ESG performance metrics tailored to the manufacturing sector could enhance the comparability and transparency of ESG reporting. By addressing these gaps, subsequent research can contribute to a deeper understanding of ESG's potential to transform the manufacturing industry into a model of sustainable and socially responsible business practices.

REFERENCES

[1] A. Kumar, R. Shankar, and L. S. Thakur, "A big data driven sustainable manufacturing framework for condition-based maintenance prediction," *J. Comput. Sci.*, vol. 27, pp. 428–439, 2018.

[2] M. E. Porter, *Competitive Strategy Techniques for Analyzing Industries and Competitors : with a New Introduction*, 3rd Reprin. The Free Press, 2018.

[3] R. Lee, K. Hoe Looi, M. Faulkner, and L. Neale, "The moderating influence of environment factors in an extended community of inquiry model of e-learning,"

Asia Pacific J. Educ., vol. 41, no. 1, 2021, doi: 10.1080/02188791.2020.1758032.

[4] S. Y. I. Sari, M. Faisal, A. S. Raksanagara, D. Agustian, and K. Rusmil, "Water quality and factors associated with compliance of drinking water refilling stations as a choice for middle-low urban households in developing countries," *J. Water Environ. Technol.*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 27–36, 2020, doi: 10.2965/jwet.19-037.

[5] I. Elshaer, M. Moustafa, A. E. Sobaih, M. Aliedan, and A. M. S. Azazz, "The impact of women's empowerment on sustainable tourism development: Mediating role of tourism involvement," *Tour. Manag. Perspect.*, vol. 38, no. June 2020, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.tmp.2021.100815.

[6] K. Nagdev, A. Rajesh, and R. Misra, "The mediating impact of demonetisation on customer acceptance for IT-enabled banking services," *Int. J. Emerg. Mark.*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 51–74, 2021.

[7] L. Judijanto and A. Asfahani, "21st Century Economic Transformation: The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Markets and Employment," *J. Artif. Intell. Dev.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 41–48, 2022.

[8] Z. H. Sain, A. Asfahani, and N. Krisnawati, "Utiliziation AI for Socially Responsive Education as a Path to Inclusive Development," *J. Artif. Intell. Dev.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 69–78, 2022.

[9] Y. A. Singgalen, G. Sasongko, and P. G. Wiloso, "Community participation in regional tourism development: a case study in North Halmahera Regency-Indonesia," *Insights into Reg. Dev.*, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 318–333, 2019.

[10] M. Lis and M. Szyszka, *Innovation and Entrepreneurship : Theory and Practice.* Logos Verlag Berlin, 2020.

[11] E. Rubio-Mozos, F. E. García-Muiña, and L. Fuentes-Moraleda, "Rethinking 21st-century businesses: An approach to fourth sector SMEs in their transition to a sustainable model committed to SDGs," *Sustainability*, vol. 11, no. 20, p. 5569, 2019.

[12] E. A. Dare, J. A. Ellis, and G. H. Roehrig, "Understanding science teachers' implementations of integrated STEM curricular units through a phenomenological multiple case study," *Int. J. STEM Educ.*, vol. 5, pp. 1–19, 2018.

[13] E. A. Mezmir, "Qualitative data analysis: An overview of data reduction, data display, and interpretation," *Res. Humanit. Soc. Sci.*, vol. 10, no. 21, pp. 15–27, 2020.

[14] I. E. Wade, "After Web 2.0: The Social Web-lit Platform of Wattpad and the Democratization of Web," *MA Thesis Lit. Stud. Lit. Cult. Soc. Grad.*, no. June, pp. 0–69, 2019.

[15] M. F. Flores, "Understanding the challenges of remote working and it's impact to workers," *Int. J. Bus. Mark. Manag.*, vol. 4, no. 11, pp. 40–44, 2019.

[16] D. Arli, F. Tjiptono, and R. Porto, "The impact of moral equity, relativism and

attitude on individuals' digital piracy behaviour in a developing country," *Mark. Intell. Plan.*, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 348–365, 2015.

[17] H. A. Al-Ababneh, "Researching Global Digital E-Marketing Trends," *Eastern-European J. Enterp. Technol.*, vol. 1, no. 13–115, pp. 26–38, 2022, doi: 10.15587/1729-4061.2022.252276.

[18] E. Alén, B. Banerjee, and B. Gupta, "Transformational Leadership and Creative Performance: A Dyadic Analysis of Salespeople and Their Supervisors," 2017.

[19] A. Kohne, *Business Development: Customer-oriented business development for successful companies*. Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden, 2019. doi: 10.1007/978-3-658-24726-3.

[20] D. Morrow, A. Kirk, F. Muirhead, and M. Lennon, "Letting the world see through your eyes: using photovoice to explore the role of technology in physical activity for adolescents living with type 1 diabetes," *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, vol. 19, no. 10, p. 6315, 2022.

[21] V. M. Shkolnikov, E. M. Andreev, R. Tursun-zade, and D. A. Leon, "Patterns in the relationship between life expectancy and gross domestic product in Russia in 2005–15: a cross-sectional analysis," *Lancet Public Heal.*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. e181–e188, 2019, doi: 10.1016/S2468-2667(19)30036-2.

[22] C. Chauhan, V. Parida, and A. Dhir, "Linking circular economy and digitalisation technologies: A systematic literature review of past achievements and future promises," *Technol. Forecast. Soc. Change*, vol. 177, p. 121508, 2022.

[23] T. Sangsawang, "Instructional Design Framework for Educational Media," *Procedia - Soc. Behav. Sci.*, vol. 176, pp. 65–80, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.01.445.

[24] M.-Y. Yusliza, N. A. Norazmi, C. J. C. Jabbour, Y. Fernando, O. Fawehinmi, and B. M. R. P. Seles, "Top management commitment, corporate social responsibility and green human resource management: A Malaysian study," *Benchmarking An Int. J.*, 2019.

[25] N. V. Rao, V. Bhaskaran, and H. Nagendra, "Can green tribunals help to resist neo-liberalism in environmental governance–The case of India," *Land use policy*, vol. 131, p. 106739, 2023.

[26] R. Rahmadani, S. T. Raharjo, and R. Resnawaty, "Fungsi Corporate social responsibility (CSR) Dalam Pengembangan dan Pemberdayaan Masyarakat," *Share Soc. Work J.*, vol. 8, no. 2, p. 203, 2019, doi: 10.24198/share.v8i2.20081.

[27] E. Herry, P. Y. E. Permana, W. B. Aji, and R. Muhtadi, "Total Quality Management Development and Sharia Governance Efforts in Sharia Micro Financial Institutions to Improve Market Share," *IJIEEB Int. J. Integr. Educ. Eng. Bus. eISSN 2615-1596 pISSN 2615-2312*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 27–35, 2019.

[28] C. Riyanti and S. T. Raharjo, "Asset Based Community Development Dalam Program Corporate Social Responsibility (Csr)," *J. Kolaborasi Resolusi Konflik*, vol.

3, no. 1, pp. 112–126, 2021.

[29] N. Rane, "Integrating leading-edge artificial intelligence (AI), internet of things (IOT), and big data technologies for smart and sustainable architecture, engineering and construction (AEC) industry: Challenges and future directions," *Eng. Constr. Ind. Challenges Futur. Dir. (September 24, 2023)*, 2023.

[30] L. Li, "Be prepared in advance: A case for allowing binding prenuptial agreements in Hong Kong," *Int. J. Law, Policy Fam.*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 339–362, Dec. 2014, doi: 10.1093/LAWFAM/EBU003.