Volume 4 Number 2 (2026)
July-December 2026
Page: 3972-3985

Journal of Creative Power and Ambition (JCPA)
https://edujavare.com/index.php/jcpa
E-ISSN: 3031-4054
DOI: 10.70610/jcpa.2207

History and Development Of Islamic Studies (Classical, Medieval, Modern, and Contemporary Traditions)

Anita Kuswanti[1], Maisyarah[2], Muhammad Azizuddin[3], Eko Nursalim[4]
Universitas Islam Negeri Sultan Aji Muhammad Idris Samarinda, Kalimantan Timur
* Correspondence e-mail; anitasetia484@gmail.com, mays48034@gmail.com, aziizy7@gmail.com, ekonursalim99@gmail.com

Article history Submitted: 2026/06/01; Revised: 2026/07/11; Accepted: 2026/10/29

Abstract

Islamic Studies is a field of knowledge that develops along with historical change, society, and the intellectual traditions of Muslims. This article aims to explain the history and development of Islamic Studies by tracing classical, medieval, modern, and contemporary traditions and identifying their characteristics, figures, approaches, and relevance to contemporary Muslim life. This study employs library research by examining relevant books, journal articles, and academic works. The findings indicate that the classical tradition established the foundations of Islamic scholarship through the development of Qur'anic studies, hadith, jurisprudence, theology, philosophy, history, and rational sciences. During the medieval period, these traditions became increasingly institutionalized while facing political and social changes that affected intellectual life. In the modern period, Islamic Studies encountered colonialism, modernity, science, and Western thought, leading to various reform movements. In the contemporary era, Islamic Studies has become increasingly interdisciplinary through social sciences and humanities, hermeneutics, history, anthropology, integration-interconnection, and digital technology. Therefore, Islamic Studies needs to preserve its fundamental sources and values while critically and contextually responding to social change.

Keywords Islamic Studies, Classical Tradition, Medieval Tradition, Modernity, Contemporary Islam

© 2026 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY SA) license, https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/.

INTRODUCTION

Islamic studies occupies an important position in understanding Islam as a comprehensive religious, intellectual, social, and cultural tradition. Islam is not merely a system of beliefs and ritual practices but also a source of knowledge that has developed through centuries of interpretation, debate, and intellectual engagement. Since the early period of Islam, Muslim scholars have sought to understand the Qur'an, the Sunnah, Islamic law, theology, philosophy, spirituality, and the Arabic language. These intellectual activities gradually produced diverse scholarly disciplines, methodological traditions, and educational institutions that

shaped the development of Islamic civilization. Therefore, the history of Islamic studies cannot be separated from the broader history of Muslim societies and the changing ways in which religious knowledge has been produced, transmitted, and interpreted (Hodgson, 1974).

The development of Islamic studies can be examined through two interconnected dimensions. The first concerns Islamic studies as an internal intellectual tradition developed by Muslim scholars to understand religious sources and address the needs of Muslim communities. The second concerns Islamic studies as an academic discipline that developed within modern educational institutions and research environments, including universities in Europe, North America, and Muslim-majority countries. Although these dimensions frequently overlap, they differ in their primary objectives, methodological assumptions, and relationships with religious authority. Recognizing this distinction is essential for understanding how Islamic studies has evolved from classical scholarly traditions into a diverse and increasingly interdisciplinary field.

During the classical period, the Qur'an and the Sunnah served as the principal foundations of Islamic knowledge. Scholarly activities generated various disciplines, including Qur'anic exegesis (*tafsir*), hadith studies, jurisprudence (*fiqh*), legal theory (*usul al-fiqh*), theology (*kalam*), philosophy (*falsafah*), and Sufism (*tasawwuf*). These disciplines developed through interpretation, critical discussion, and engagement with changing social circumstances. The expansion of Muslim political and cultural networks also facilitated intellectual exchanges across different regions. Islamic scholarship consequently developed alongside mathematics, medicine, astronomy, geography, and other fields of knowledge. Hodgson (1974) emphasizes that Islamic civilization should be understood within the broader historical formation of *Islamicate* societies, whose intellectual and cultural achievements extended beyond strictly religious institutions and practices.

The medieval period further witnessed the consolidation of scholarly traditions, legal schools, educational institutions, and networks of knowledge transmission. Mosques, madrasas, libraries, and scholarly circles became important spaces for teaching, debating, and preserving intellectual heritage. Nevertheless, this institutional consolidation should not be interpreted as the complete absence of innovation. Muslim scholars continued to reinterpret inherited knowledge, refine legal methodologies, and engage with philosophical and theological questions. Rahman (1965), for example, examines the historical development of Islamic thought and the relationship between religious traditions and changing intellectual

circumstances. Such perspectives demonstrate that Islamic intellectual history involved both continuity and transformation rather than a simple transition from an innovative classical age to a stagnant medieval period.

The emergence of modernity introduced significant changes to the study of Islam. Colonial expansion, the development of nation-states, modern educational systems, and the growing influence of Western academic institutions transformed the conditions under which Islamic knowledge was studied and transmitted. Muslim intellectuals responded to these developments through diverse reform movements, educational initiatives, and reinterpretations of religious sources. Nasution (2015) highlights the importance of understanding modern Islamic thought in relation to the historical challenges faced by Muslim societies. These responses were not uniform; some scholars emphasized rational reform and reinterpretation, while others prioritized the preservation of established traditions or sought to reconcile classical scholarship with contemporary social realities.

Another important development was the emergence of Western academic approaches to Islam, commonly associated with Orientalism. Although Orientalist scholarship contributed to manuscript studies, historical research, philology, and the documentation of Islamic intellectual heritage, it also became the subject of critical debate. Said (1978) argues that representations of the East were historically shaped by unequal relations of knowledge and power. His critique encourages researchers to examine how scholarly categories, research priorities, and interpretations may be influenced by political and cultural contexts. However, this criticism does not require the wholesale rejection of Western scholarship; rather, it calls for methodological reflexivity, critical engagement with sources, and greater attention to Muslim intellectual agency.

In the contemporary period, Islamic studies has expanded beyond conventional textual and theological approaches to include interdisciplinary perspectives drawn from sociology, anthropology, political science, gender studies, media studies, economics, and digital humanities. Researchers increasingly investigate Islam through its lived expressions, institutional practices, historical experiences, and interactions with globalization and technological change. This expansion also creates opportunities to examine Muslim societies in Southeast Asia, Africa, South Asia, Europe, and other regions beyond traditional centers of Islamic scholarship. In Indonesia, for example, pesantren traditions, local manuscripts, and the intellectual contributions of Nusantara scholars offer important perspectives for broadening the geographical and epistemological foundations of Islamic studies.

Despite these developments, important methodological questions remain concerning the relationship between religious norms and historical realities, the authority of classical scholarship, and the relevance of contemporary analytical frameworks. Interdisciplinary research must avoid reducing Islam exclusively to social phenomena while also recognizing that Muslim beliefs and practices are expressed within specific historical and cultural contexts. Accordingly, this study addresses three central questions: how did classical and medieval traditions shape the foundations of Islamic studies; how did modernity, colonialism, and Orientalism transform its intellectual and institutional landscape; and how does contemporary Islamic studies negotiate interdisciplinary inquiry, epistemological diversity, and decolonial perspectives? By examining these questions, this study aims to provide a historical and methodological overview of the development of Islamic studies across classical, medieval, modern, and contemporary periods, highlighting both the continuity of its intellectual heritage and the transformations that continue to shape its future.

METHODS

This study employs library research with a historical-analytical approach to examine the history and development of Islamic studies across classical, medieval, modern, and contemporary periods. Library research is appropriate for this study because its primary objective is to investigate the development of intellectual traditions, scholarly disciplines, methodological approaches, and academic institutions through existing written sources. Rather than collecting primary data through interviews, surveys, or field observations, the study analyzes relevant books, historical works, peer-reviewed journal articles, and academic publications addressing Islamic intellectual history and the methodological transformation of Islamic studies. George (2008) explains that library research involves identifying relevant sources, evaluating their contributions, and integrating information into a coherent scholarly argument. Accordingly, this study uses existing literature as its principal source of evidence to construct a systematic understanding of the historical transformation of Islamic studies.

The historical-analytical approach is employed to situate the development of Islamic studies within the social, political, cultural, and intellectual circumstances of different historical periods. Historical analysis enables researchers to examine how scholarly traditions emerged, developed, and changed in response to particular historical conditions. Meanwhile, the analytical dimension facilitates comparisons between intellectual frameworks, research methodologies, and conceptions of

religious knowledge. Tosh (2015) emphasizes the importance of critically examining historical evidence and interpreting events within their broader contexts. In this study, the historical approach is therefore not limited to presenting a chronological account of Islamic scholarship but also investigates the circumstances that influenced the formation of scholarly disciplines, the consolidation of educational institutions, and the emergence of new approaches to the study of Islam.

The sources used in this research consist of primary and secondary literature. Primary sources refer to original texts that constitute the historical objects of investigation, including classical works on Qur'anic exegesis, hadith, Islamic jurisprudence, legal theory, theology, philosophy, and spirituality. These sources are examined to understand the intellectual foundations, conceptual frameworks, and methodological characteristics of Islamic scholarship during particular periods. Secondary sources include academic books, historical studies, methodological discussions, and relevant journal articles that interpret the development of Islamic thought and the institutional history of Islamic studies. Works by Hodgson (1974), Rahman (1965), and Said (1978) provide important perspectives for examining Islamic civilization, the historical development of Islamic thought, and the relationship between knowledge and power. The distinction between primary and secondary sources is maintained throughout the analysis to avoid confusing the historical evidence itself with subsequent scholarly interpretations.

The selection of literature follows purposive criteria based on thematic relevance, academic credibility, historical significance, and methodological contribution. The selected sources must contribute directly to at least one of the four principal research areas: the formation of Islamic scholarly disciplines during the classical period, the institutionalization and diversification of knowledge during the medieval period, the methodological transformations associated with modernity and colonialism, and the interdisciplinary and decolonial developments of contemporary Islamic studies. Priority is given to established academic publications, scholarly books from reputable publishers, peer-reviewed journal articles, and original works by influential Muslim and non-Muslim scholars. Where available, recent publications are incorporated to represent contemporary debates, while foundational works are retained because of their continuing relevance to the historical and theoretical interpretation of Islamic studies.

The research process consists of four interconnected analytical stages. The first stage involves identifying and examining relevant sources to establish the principal concepts, historical developments, and methodological debates associated with each

period. During this stage, particular attention is given to the emergence of disciplines such as *tafsir*, hadith studies, *fiqh*, *usul al-fiqh*, *kalam*, philosophy, and Sufism, as well as the institutional and intellectual changes that influenced their development. The second stage involves classifying the selected literature according to historical period and analytical theme. This thematic organization facilitates the identification of recurring concepts, differences in scholarly perspectives, and significant transformations in the production and transmission of Islamic knowledge. Bowen (2009) explains that document analysis involves systematically reviewing and interpreting documentary materials to generate meaningful findings. This principle informs the organization and examination of the literature in the present study.

The third stage involves comparative analysis of the changing objects, sources, methods, and authorities of Islamic studies. Classical and medieval scholarship is examined in relation to its textual foundations, scholarly networks, educational institutions, and interpretive traditions. Modern developments are analyzed by considering the influence of colonialism, Western academic institutions, educational reform, and Orientalist scholarship. In this context, Said's (1978) critique of Orientalism provides a framework for examining how academic representations of Islam may be shaped by historical relations of power. Contemporary scholarship is then analyzed in terms of interdisciplinary research, the study of lived religion, digital developments, and efforts to reconsider Eurocentric assumptions in knowledge production. This comparison does not assume that each period represents a complete break from its predecessor; instead, it investigates how inherited traditions are preserved, adapted, challenged, and reinterpreted.

The fourth stage involves synthesizing the findings to identify patterns of continuity and change throughout the history of Islamic studies. The synthesis considers the relationship between established religious traditions and emerging analytical approaches, including the interaction between textual interpretation, historical inquiry, and social-scientific perspectives. Triangulation is applied conceptually by comparing interpretations across different authors, types of publications, and historical contexts. Conflicting arguments are not automatically resolved in favor of a single interpretation; rather, they are assessed in relation to the evidence presented, the historical circumstances discussed, and the methodological assumptions underlying each account. This procedure strengthens the consistency of the analysis and reduces the risk of relying excessively on a single scholarly perspective.

To maintain academic rigor, the study emphasizes source criticism, contextual interpretation, and transparency in the use of references. Classical texts are interpreted with attention to their historical settings and intellectual purposes, while modern and contemporary scholarship is evaluated critically rather than accepted as universally neutral. The analysis also recognizes the limitations of library research, particularly its dependence on the availability, accessibility, and interpretive quality of published materials. Consequently, the findings are presented as a historically informed synthesis of existing scholarship rather than as an exhaustive account of every intellectual tradition within the Muslim world. Through this methodological framework, the study aims to explain the historical development of Islamic studies while demonstrating how its intellectual foundations, institutional structures, and research methodologies have continued to evolve across different periods.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

The historical development of Islamic studies demonstrates a continuous interaction between religious texts, intellectual traditions, institutional structures, and changing social realities. From the classical period to the contemporary era, Islamic studies has undergone significant transformations in its objects of inquiry, methodological approaches, and sources of scholarly authority. These developments should not be understood as a linear progression in which earlier traditions are replaced by newer approaches. Rather, they represent an ongoing process of continuity, reinterpretation, and intellectual adaptation. Hodgson (1974) explains that Islamic civilization developed through interconnected religious, political, social, and cultural processes. This perspective provides an important foundation for understanding Islamic studies as a dynamic intellectual tradition rather than merely a collection of religious disciplines.

During the classical period, Islamic studies developed primarily through the systematic interpretation and preservation of religious sources. Following the death of the Prophet Muhammad, Muslim scholars faced the challenge of preserving the Qur'an and the Sunnah while addressing emerging questions concerning religious practice, law, theology, and communal life. These needs contributed to the formation of distinct scholarly disciplines, including Qur'anic exegesis (*tafsir*), hadith studies, Islamic jurisprudence (*fiqh*), legal theory (*usul al-fiqh*), theology (*kalam*), philosophy, and Arabic linguistics. Each discipline developed its own principles, interpretive procedures, and standards of scholarly authority. The emergence of these disciplines illustrates that Islamic knowledge was not produced through textual transmission

alone but also through reasoning, debate, and the development of systematic interpretive methods.

The development of Islamic jurisprudence provides a clear example of this process. The establishment of the Hanafi, Maliki, Shafi'i, and Hanbali schools reflected different approaches to legal reasoning, the evaluation of evidence, and the interpretation of religious sources. These differences demonstrate the intellectual plurality of Islamic scholarship and its capacity to address diverse circumstances. Similarly, the development of Qur'anic interpretation involved various approaches, including interpretation based on transmitted reports (*tafsir bi al-ma'thur*) and interpretation involving scholarly reasoning (*tafsir bi al-ra'y*). Rahman (1965) emphasizes the importance of examining Islamic thought in relation to its historical development. Accordingly, the classical period should be understood as a formative era in which the foundations of Islamic scholarship were established through the interaction of normative sources and human intellectual activity.

Another important characteristic of classical Islamic studies was its engagement with intellectual traditions beyond the immediate religious sciences. During the Abbasid period, translation activities facilitated the transmission of philosophical and scientific works from Greek, Persian, Indian, and Syriac intellectual traditions into Arabic. Muslim scholars did not merely reproduce these works; they also evaluated, adapted, and developed their ideas within new intellectual contexts. Philosophical inquiry consequently became an important component of broader debates about reason, revelation, ethics, and the nature of knowledge. This interaction illustrates that the history of Islamic studies cannot be adequately explained through a strict separation between religious and rational knowledge. Instead, classical scholarship developed through multiple forms of intellectual engagement that contributed to the richness of Islamic civilization (Hodgson, 1974).

The medieval period witnessed the further institutionalization and diversification of Islamic knowledge. Mosques, madrasas, libraries, scholarly circles, and networks of teachers became important spaces for transmitting knowledge across generations. Scholarly authority was maintained through educational relationships, the study of recognized texts, scholarly certification (*ijazah*), and chains of transmission. These institutions facilitated the preservation of intellectual traditions while enabling scholars in different regions to participate in shared scholarly conversations. The expansion of Muslim societies also encouraged the development of diverse intellectual centers and regional traditions. Consequently, the medieval period cannot simply be characterized as an era of stagnation.

Although established legal and theological traditions became increasingly influential, scholars continued to produce commentaries, compare interpretations, refine legal arguments, and engage with philosophical and spiritual questions.

The institutional consolidation of Islamic scholarship also contributed to the diversification of Muslim intellectual life. Jurisprudence, theology, philosophy, and Sufism frequently interacted, producing different approaches to religious understanding and spiritual practice. The development of Islamic scholarship in Arabic-speaking regions, Persia, Anatolia, South Asia, Africa, and Southeast Asia further demonstrates that Islamic knowledge was transmitted through complex geographical and cultural networks. The spread of Islam into new societies required scholars to interpret religious principles in relation to local customs, political arrangements, and social institutions. These developments reveal an important historical pattern: Islamic scholarship maintained connections with established intellectual traditions while adapting to changing cultural circumstances.

The modern period introduced substantial changes to the production and institutional organization of Islamic knowledge. Colonial expansion, Western political dominance, modern educational systems, and the emergence of nation-states transformed the social conditions in which Muslim scholars operated. These developments challenged traditional institutions and encouraged new debates about religious authority, educational reform, scientific knowledge, and the relationship between Islam and modernity. Muslim reformers responded in different ways, including advocating educational modernization, reconsidering established interpretations, and emphasizing the relevance of *ijtihad*. These responses demonstrate that modern Islamic thought developed through multiple intellectual trajectories rather than a single reformist movement.

At the same time, Western academic institutions developed specialized approaches to studying Islam through philology, textual criticism, manuscript research, and historical analysis. These approaches contributed valuable scholarly resources, including the preservation and critical examination of historical documents. Nevertheless, Orientalism became the subject of significant criticism because academic representations of Eastern societies could reproduce colonial assumptions and unequal relations of power. Said (1978) argues that knowledge about the Orient was historically connected to political and cultural structures that shaped its representation. His critique encourages scholars to examine the assumptions underlying research categories, the selection of sources, and the authority to interpret other societies. This perspective does not require rejecting all

Western scholarship; instead, it supports a more reflexive approach that evaluates academic contributions alongside their historical and ideological contexts.

Contemporary Islamic studies has expanded its methodological scope by incorporating perspectives from sociology, anthropology, political science, gender studies, economics, media studies, environmental studies, and digital humanities. Researchers increasingly examine not only classical texts and formal religious institutions but also everyday religious practices, Muslim identities, digital communication, migration, and contemporary political participation. This development enables Islamic studies to investigate how religious beliefs and practices are interpreted and negotiated within changing social environments. Interdisciplinary approaches also facilitate dialogue between textual analysis and empirical research, allowing scholars to examine both the normative dimensions of Islam and the diversity of Muslim experiences.

Decolonization has become another important concern within contemporary Islamic studies. It involves critically examining intellectual hierarchies that privilege particular academic traditions while marginalizing knowledge produced by Muslim communities themselves. In Indonesia, pesantren scholarship, Nusantara manuscripts, local intellectual networks, and the contributions of regional Muslim scholars provide valuable resources for reconsidering the geographical and conceptual boundaries of Islamic studies. These sources should not be treated merely as local examples of supposedly universal theories developed elsewhere. Instead, they can contribute concepts, historical interpretations, and methodological insights that enrich broader scholarly debates. Nevertheless, decolonial research should maintain critical standards of evidence and argumentation rather than replacing one form of intellectual dominance with another.

Overall, the historical comparison demonstrates that Islamic studies has developed through the interaction of inherited traditions, institutional transformations, and new methodological possibilities. The classical period established foundational disciplines, the medieval period strengthened scholarly institutions and diversified intellectual networks, the modern period introduced reformist debates and critical encounters with Western academic scholarship, and the contemporary period expanded interdisciplinary inquiry and epistemological reflection. These periods are analytically useful, although their boundaries are not absolute and their developments varied across geographical regions. The continuing relevance of Islamic studies therefore depends on its ability to preserve the intellectual depth of classical scholarship while critically engaging with

contemporary questions. Such an approach recognizes Islamic studies as both a historically grounded tradition of knowledge and an evolving academic field capable of contributing to broader discussions about religion, society, culture, and human civilization.

Table 1. Comparison of the Historical Development of Islamic Studies

Aspect	Classical Period	Medieval Period	Modern Period	Contemporary Period
Main orientation	Formation of foundational Islamic disciplines	Institutionalization, diversification, and transmission of knowledge	Reform, modernization, and criticism of Orientalism	Interdisciplinary research, epistemological reflection, and decolonization
Primary objects of study	Qur'an, hadith, jurisprudence, theology, and Arabic language	Legal schools, madrasas, Sufism, philosophy, and scholarly networks	Islam and modernity, religious reform, colonialism, and modern education	Lived Islam, digital media, gender, ecology, identity, and social practices
Major methodologies	Transmission, linguistic analysis, interpretation, and *ijtihad*	Commentary, legal reasoning, comparative interpretation, and scholarly transmission	Historical criticism, philology, contextual interpretation, and reformist thought	Multidisciplinary, interdisciplinary, ethnographic, digital, and decolonial approaches
Sources of authority	Early scholars, jurists, exegetes, and theologians	Established scholars, legal schools, scholarly networks, and educational institutions	Reformist thinkers, modern universities, academic institutions, and state education systems	Diverse scholarly communities, interdisciplinary researchers, Muslim intellectuals, and local knowledge traditions
Major contribution	Establishment of systematic Islamic	Preservation, institutional development, and regional	Reassessment of inherited traditions and engagement	Expansion of research perspectives and recognition of

	knowledge	diversification	with modernity	epistemological diversity
Historical significance	Established the foundations of Islamic scholarship	Strengthened the transmission and development of intellectual traditions	Reshaped the relationship between Islamic scholarship and modern academic inquiry	Reconnects inherited knowledge with contemporary social and intellectual challenges

Source: Author's synthesis based on Hodgson (1974), Rahman (1965), and Said (1978).

The comparison in Table 1 demonstrates that the development of Islamic studies is cumulative rather than exclusively linear. Each period contributes distinctive intellectual resources while retaining connections with earlier traditions. Classical and medieval scholarship continues to provide essential textual, conceptual, and methodological foundations, while modern and contemporary approaches offer additional tools for examining historical contexts, social realities, and the politics of knowledge. The interaction between these dimensions provides a basis for developing Islamic studies that is historically informed, methodologically rigorous, and responsive to contemporary challenges.

CONCLUSION

The history and development of Islamic studies demonstrate a long, dynamic, and continuous process of intellectual transformation in response to changing historical circumstances. During the classical period, foundational Islamic disciplines, including Qur'anic exegesis, hadith studies, jurisprudence, theology, and philosophy, established the principal frameworks for understanding and interpreting Islamic knowledge. The medieval period witnessed the institutionalization and geographical expansion of these scholarly traditions through educational institutions, intellectual networks, and diverse cultural encounters. The modern period introduced significant challenges associated with colonialism, Western modernity, and Orientalism, stimulating reformist movements and critical reassessments of established approaches to Islamic scholarship. In the contemporary era, Islamic studies has expanded into an interdisciplinary field that incorporates social sciences, humanities, digital research, and decolonial perspectives.

For the future development of Islamic studies in Indonesia, a major challenge is to integrate the critical study of *turath* (classical Islamic intellectual heritage) with contemporary scholarly methodologies. This integration requires a balanced approach that respects the normative foundations of Islam while critically examining

its historical interpretations and social manifestations. Furthermore, Nusantara intellectual traditions, pesantren scholarship, and local manuscripts should be recognized as valuable sources of knowledge that can contribute to global academic discussions. Through critical engagement with classical heritage and contemporary intellectual developments, Indonesian Islamic studies can strengthen its scholarly identity and contribute meaningfully to a more inclusive, contextual, and *rahmatan lil 'alamin* understanding of Islam.

REFERENCES

Abdullah, M. Amin. (2020). *Multidisplin, Interdisiplin, dan Transdisiplin: Metode Penelitian Agama dan Studi Islam*. Yogyakarta: IB Times & Suara Muhammadiyah. Afrizal, A. (2007).

Mengarifi Orientalisme: Meretas Jalan ke Arah Integrasi Epistemologi Studi Islam. *Ulumuna: Jurnal Studi Keislaman*, 11(1), 73–92. https://doi.org/10.20414/ujis.v11i1.423

Aripin, Jaenal. (2021). Dinamika Penemuan Hukum Islam dan Mazhab Fiqh. *Jurnal Hukum Islam*, 19(2), 180–205. Azra, Azyumardi. (2018). *Jaringan Ulama Timur Tengah dan Kepulauan Nusantara Abad XVII & XVIII: Melacak Akar-Akar Pembaruan Pemikiran Islam di Indonesia*. Jakarta: Kencana.

Fauziah, Nur, Saepudin, Didin, Lubis, Amany, Hasan, Hamka, & Kusmana, Kusmana. (2021). Islamic Modernism and the Development of Islamic Intellectualism. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Religion and Education*. https://doi.org/10.4108/eai.20-10-2020.2305145

Harisuddin, M. Nur. (2006). Pengetahuan dan Kekuasaan dalam Orientalisme: Kajian atas Pemikiran Edward W. Said. *Fenomena*, 5(1), 41–56. https://doi.org/10.35719/fenomena.v5i1.381

Hodgson, Marshall G. S. (1974). *The Venture of Islam: Conscience and History in a World Civilization (Vol. 1-3)*. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.

Irawan, Rudy. (2020). Metode Kontekstual Penafsiran Al-Qur'an Perspektif Fazlur Rahman. *Al-Dzikra: Jurnal Studi Ilmu Al-Qur'an dan Al-Hadits*, 13(2), 171–194. https://doi.org/10.24042/al-dzikra.v13i2.4164

Masud, Abdurrahman, Ernada, Sus Eko Zuhri, & Said, Nur. (2026). Beyond Colonial Archives: Decolonizing Indonesian Islamic Historiography Through Epistemic Pluralism. *QIJIS (Qudus International Journal of Islamic Studies)*,

14(1), 139–174.

Miftahur Rofi. (2025). Dynamics in Classical Islamic Education: Between Nonformal Autonomy and Madrasah Hegemony (650–1250 CE). *Sunan Kalijaga International Journal on Islamic Educational Research*, 9(1), 1–24. https://doi.org/10.14421/skijier.2025.91.01

Mustofa, A. (2012). *Filsafat Islam*. Bandung: Pustaka Setia. Nasution, Harun. (2015). *Pembaharuan dalam Islam: Sejarah Pemikiran dan Gerakan*. Jakarta: UI Press.

Rahman, Fazlur. (1965). *Islamic Methodology in History*. Karachi: Central Institute of Islamic Research. Shabir, Muslich. (2016). *Sejarah Peradaban Islam*. Semarang: Karya Abadi Jaya.

Shihab, M. Quraish. (2013). *Membumikan Al-Qur'an: Fungsi dan Peran Wahyu dalam Kehidupan Masyarakat*. Bandung: Mizan. Suryadilaga,

M. Alfatih. (2018). Dinamika Hermeneutika Al-Qur'an di Indonesia. *Jurnal Studi Ilmu-Ilmu Al-Qur'an dan Hadis*, 19(1), 21–42. Syarifuddin, Amir. (2014). *Ushul Fiqh (Jilid 1 & 2)*. Jakarta: Kencana.

Woodward, Mark. (2025). Paradigms, Models, and Counterfactuals: Decolonializing the Study of Islam in Indonesia. *Studia Islamika*, 32(1), 101–135. https://doi.org/10.36712/sdi.v32i1.46005

Zulheldi. (2019). Perkembangan Filsafat dalam Islam: Antara Rasionalisme dan Tradisi. *Jurnal Substantia*, 21(2), 115–130.