Volume 3 Number 2 (2025)
July-December 2025
Page: 104-112

Journal of Creative Power and Ambition (JCPA)
https://edujavare.com/index.php/jcpa
E-ISSN: 3031-4054
DOI: 10.70610/jcpa.2205

Authentic Leadership and Employee Mental Health: An Organizational Psychology Perspective

Deni Ardiawan
STID Mustafa Ibrahim Al Ishlahuddiny, Indonesia
* Correspondence: ardiawan.deni02@gmail.com

Article history Submitted: 2025/10/26; Revised: 2025/10/28; Accepted: 2025/10/29

Abstract

Employee mental health has become a central concern in organizational psychology, particularly as work increasingly involves uncertainty, emotional demands, and rapid organizational change. Authentic leadership offers a potentially useful approach because it emphasizes self-awareness, relational transparency, an internalized moral perspective, and balanced processing of information. This article examines how these dimensions may shape employee mental health through trust, psychological safety, perceived organizational support, and work-related resources. Using a conceptual narrative review of foundational authentic-leadership scholarship, occupational health frameworks, and research on leadership and employee well-being, the article develops an integrative explanation rather than reporting new empirical data. The analysis suggests that authentic leadership may support mental health when leaders communicate honestly, respond consistently, invite employee voice, and make decisions transparently. These behaviors can reduce interpersonal uncertainty and help employees access social and psychological resources. However, authenticity should not be equated with unrestricted self-disclosure, moral infallibility, or the replacement of professional mental-health support. Its benefits depend on organizational conditions, leader competence, workload, fairness, and access to adequate resources. The article proposes practical implications for leadership development, job design, and employee well-being evaluation, and identifies testable propositions for future empirical research.

Keywords Authentic Leadership; Employee Well-Being; Mental Health; Organizational Psychology; Psychological Safety

© 2026 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY SA) license, https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/.

INTRODUCTION

Employee mental health is both a human concern and an organizational issue. Work can provide purpose, social connection, income, and opportunities for development, yet poorly designed work can also expose employees to excessive demands, insecurity, conflict, and chronic stress. Anxiety, emotional exhaustion, and depressive symptoms can affect quality of life as well as concentration, collaboration, attendance, and retention. Organizations therefore need to consider not only

individual coping but also the leadership practices and working conditions that shape employees' everyday experiences.

Leadership is one of the organizational factors that may influence employees' psychological experiences. Leaders allocate work, interpret organizational priorities, respond to mistakes, manage conflict, and signal whether it is safe to speak openly. Research on occupational health has linked leadership behavior with employee well-being, although effects vary across leadership styles, work settings, and outcome measures (Skakon et al., 2010; Montano et al., 2017). This makes leadership a meaningful point of intervention, but not a substitute for addressing excessive workload, discrimination, insecure employment, or inadequate access to care.

Authentic leadership is commonly conceptualized through four related dimensions: self-awareness, relational transparency, an internalized moral perspective, and balanced processing of information (Walumbwa et al., 2008). The approach proposes that leaders act with awareness of their values and limitations, communicate in a reasonably open manner, regulate conduct through internal moral standards, and consider relevant information before making decisions. Earlier theoretical work connected authenticity with positive leader–follower relationships and eudaimonic well-being (Avolio & Gardner, 2005; Ilies et al., 2005). These ideas are relevant to mental health because employees' interpretations of fairness, predictability, voice, and interpersonal trust can shape the psychological demands and resources they experience at work.

Nevertheless, authentic leadership should not be treated as a guaranteed mental-health intervention. The term can be used loosely, and a leader's claim to be authentic does not automatically make their behavior ethical, competent, or supportive. Authenticity may be experienced differently across cultures and power relationships, while excessive disclosure or unfiltered expression may burden rather than support employees. The organizational psychology perspective adopted here therefore considers both plausible benefits and boundary conditions.

This article aims to synthesize key concepts explaining how authentic leadership may relate to employee mental health, identify organizational mechanisms and risks, and develop implications for practice and future research. It is a conceptual narrative review, not an empirical study; accordingly, it does not claim to have collected survey, interview, or clinical data. Its central argument is that authentic leadership is most likely to contribute to employee mental health when its relational qualities are supported by fair systems, manageable job demands,

psychological safety, and accessible organizational resources.

METHODS

This article uses a conceptual narrative-review approach. It brings together foundational theoretical work on authentic leadership, occupational health and well-being frameworks, and selected peer-reviewed studies and institutional guidance concerning leadership, psychological safety, burnout, and mental health at work. The literature was selected for conceptual relevance to the article's central question: through what pathways might authentic leadership support or undermine employee mental health? The review is integrative rather than systematic; it does not claim exhaustive database coverage, formal risk-of-bias appraisal, or statistical synthesis.

The analysis proceeded in three steps. First, core dimensions of authentic leadership were identified from established conceptual and measurement scholarship. Second, these dimensions were mapped against organizational psychology mechanisms, especially job demands and resources, conservation of resources, social exchange, and psychological safety. Third, the resulting model was used to formulate practical implications, limitations, and propositions for future empirical testing. Because no original participants or datasets were involved, the article reports no sample, instrument, statistical test, or original empirical result.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

1. Authentic leadership as a relational resource

Authentic leadership is not simply a leader being candid or behaving consistently with personal preferences. In the model developed by Walumbwa et al. (2008), self-awareness concerns understanding one's strengths, limitations, motives, and effects on others. Relational transparency involves appropriate openness and genuineness in relationships. An internalized moral perspective means that behavior is guided by internalized values rather than only external pressure, while balanced processing involves considering relevant information and alternative viewpoints before reaching a decision. Together, these dimensions describe a pattern of leadership that can make organizational interactions more predictable and credible.

From an employee perspective, predictability matters because uncertainty can consume attention and increase vigilance. A leader who explains decisions, acknowledges limitations, and responds consistently may reduce avoidable ambiguity. This does not eliminate the uncertainty inherent in organizational life, but

it can reduce the additional strain created by arbitrary or opaque interpersonal treatment. The theoretical account is consistent with work that treats authentic leadership as a basis for trust and positive leader–follower relationships (Avolio & Gardner, 2005; Gardner et al., 2011).

Authentic leadership should nevertheless be distinguished from unrestricted self-expression. Leaders hold power over performance evaluations, task assignments, and career opportunities. A disclosure that feels honest to a manager may place emotional pressure on an employee, and a leader's personal convictions may conflict with inclusive or evidence-based practice. Authenticity is therefore most defensible when expressed through accountability, respectful communication, and openness to correction—not through the assumption that personal sincerity makes a decision appropriate.

2. Psychological safety, voice, and interpersonal trust

Psychological safety refers to a shared belief that a team is safe for interpersonal risk-taking, such as asking questions, reporting mistakes, or expressing disagreement (Edmondson, 1999). Authentic leadership may contribute to this climate when leaders acknowledge uncertainty, listen to dissent, and respond to concerns without humiliation or retaliation. Balanced processing is particularly relevant because it signals that information from employees can influence decisions rather than being invited merely as a symbolic gesture.

Psychological safety may protect mental health indirectly by reducing the need to conceal concerns, monitor every interaction, or remain silent about unmanageable demands. Employees who can raise a workload problem early may have a greater chance of obtaining clarification or support before strain intensifies. However, psychological safety is not the same as comfort at all times, nor does it remove accountability. Teams can maintain high standards while treating questions and errors as opportunities for learning rather than occasions for blame.

Trust is another possible mechanism. When leaders communicate transparently and follow through on commitments, employees may be more willing to seek help, discuss barriers, and interpret organizational decisions as less threatening. If leaders claim authenticity but behave inconsistently, the resulting credibility gap may instead increase cynicism. The key question is not whether a leader describes themselves as authentic, but whether employees experience the leader's behavior as reliable, fair, and responsive.

3. Job demands, resources, and employee well-being

The Job Demands–Resources (JD-R) model distinguishes aspects of work that require sustained effort from resources that help employees achieve goals, manage demands, and learn (Bakker & Demerouti, 2007). Leadership can influence both sides of this balance. A leader may help clarify priorities, secure tools, coordinate staffing, provide feedback, and protect recovery time. These actions can make work demands more manageable. Conversely, a leader who uses transparency to justify constant availability or who equates commitment with overwork can intensify demands even while appearing personally sincere.

Conservation of Resources theory proposes that stress can arise when valued resources are threatened, lost, or insufficiently replenished (Hobfoll, 1989). Relevant resources include time, energy, status, social support, and a sense of control. Authentic leadership may help conserve resources by reducing relational uncertainty and increasing access to support. Yet leadership cannot compensate indefinitely for low staffing, unsafe conditions, poor pay, or excessive workload. In practice, leadership development should therefore be paired with job-design reviews and meaningful organizational changes.

Employee mental health is multidimensional and should not be reduced to productivity or the absence of diagnosed illness. Well-being, distress, burnout, engagement, and psychological functioning are related but distinct outcomes. Burnout is commonly discussed in relation to chronic workplace stress that has not been successfully managed, and it includes exhaustion, cynicism or mental distance, and reduced professional efficacy (Maslach & Leiter, 2016). An authentic leader may notice signs of strain and facilitate support, but should not diagnose employees or expect them to disclose private health information.

4. Ethical consistency, inclusion, and limits

An internalized moral perspective can support perceived fairness when leaders apply standards consistently and explain the reasons for difficult decisions. Fairness matters to mental health because employees interpret not only outcomes but also the procedures and interpersonal treatment through which outcomes are reached. Yet ethical intention alone is insufficient. Organizations need transparent policies, channels for complaints, protection from retaliation, and consistent responses to harassment and discrimination. Otherwise, a leader's personal values may be overridden by incentives and structures that reward harmful behavior.

Authenticity is also interpreted within cultural and organizational contexts. Expectations about emotional expression, hierarchy, disagreement, and privacy vary.

A leader who is direct in one setting may be perceived as insensitive in another; employees may also be reluctant to challenge a leader when power distance is high. Effective practice therefore requires cultural humility and attention to employees' differing needs, including those of marginalized groups, remote workers, and people managing disability or caregiving responsibilities. A single leadership style cannot replace inclusive management or individualized support.

An important limitation is the possibility of a self-report and perception loop: employees who already feel secure may rate a leader more positively, while poor mental health may influence perceptions of workplace relationships. Organizational resources and employee characteristics can also affect both leadership ratings and well-being. Strong causal claims therefore require longitudinal or experimental designs, multiple sources of data, and careful attention to confounding variables. The conceptual pathways in this article should be treated as plausible propositions for testing, not as proof that authentic leadership alone causes better mental health.

5. Practical implications and propositions for future research

Leadership development should translate authenticity into observable practices: explaining decisions and constraints, inviting alternative perspectives, admitting mistakes without shifting responsibility, applying standards consistently, and following through on commitments. Training should include active listening, supportive responses to distress, conflict management, and referral to qualified occupational-health or mental-health services. Managers should be clear about confidentiality and the limits of their role. They can ask what workplace adjustment or support an employee needs without pressuring that person to disclose a diagnosis.

Organizations should evaluate leadership alongside work design. Relevant indicators include workload, role clarity, employee voice, fairness, psychological safety, access to support, recovery opportunities, and reported well-being. Results should be interpreted at appropriate levels and protected against punitive use. Employee surveys are most useful when they lead to visible action; repeatedly asking employees about well-being without changing harmful conditions can erode trust.

Three propositions follow from the synthesis. First, perceived authentic leadership is expected to be positively associated with employee well-being and negatively associated with work-related strain, after accounting for job demands and resources. Second, psychological safety and trust may mediate part of this relationship. Third, the relationship is likely to be stronger when organizational

practices support fairness, inclusion, manageable workloads, and access to assistance. Future studies could test these propositions through multi-wave surveys, cross-level team designs, qualitative interviews, or intervention studies that assess both leadership behavior and changes in working conditions.

CONCLUSION

This conceptual review argues that authentic leadership may contribute to employee mental health by supporting trust, psychological safety, employee voice, and access to work-related resources. Its potential value lies in the combination of self-awareness, appropriate transparency, moral consistency, and balanced consideration of information. These behaviors can reduce avoidable relational uncertainty and help employees raise concerns before workplace strain becomes more serious. However, authenticity is neither a guarantee of ethical conduct nor a stand-alone mental-health intervention. Its contribution depends on organizational justice, inclusive practices, realistic job demands, and access to professional support. Organizations should develop leaders' observable relational skills while also addressing structural sources of distress. Future empirical research should test the proposed mechanisms over time and distinguish employee well-being from burnout and clinical mental-health outcomes.

REFERENCES

Avolio, B. J., & Gardner, W. L. (2005). Authentic leadership development: Getting to the root of positive forms of leadership. The Leadership Quarterly, 16(3), 315–338. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2005.03.001

Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2007). The Job Demands–Resources model: State of the art. Journal of Managerial Psychology, 22(3), 309–328. https://doi.org/10.1108/02683940710733115

Bandura, A. (1997). Self-efficacy: The exercise of control. W. H. Freeman.

Edmondson, A. (1999). Psychological safety and learning behavior in work teams. Administrative Science Quarterly, 44(2), 350–383. https://doi.org/10.2307/2666999

Gardner, W. L., Cogliser, C. C., Davis, K. M., & Dickens, M. P. (2011). Authentic leadership: A review of the literature and research agenda. The Leadership Quarterly, 22(6), 1120–1145. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2011.09.007

Greenberg, J. (1990). Organizational justice: Yesterday, today, and tomorrow. Journal of Management, 16(2), 399–432. https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639001600208

Hobfoll, S. E. (1989). Conservation of resources: A new attempt at conceptualizing stress. American Psychologist, 44(3), 513–524. https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.44.3.513

Ilies, R., Morgeson, F. P., & Nahrgang, J. D. (2005). Authentic leadership and eudaemonic well-being: Understanding leader–follower outcomes. The Leadership Quarterly, 16(3), 373–394. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2005.03.002

Inceoglu, I., Thomas, G., Chu, C., Plans, D., & Gerbasi, A. (2018). Leadership behavior and employee well-being: An integrated review and a future research agenda. The Leadership Quarterly, 29(1), 179–202. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2017.12.006

Kelloway, E. K., & Gilbert, S. (2017). Does it matter who leads us: The study of organizational leadership and employee health. In C. L. Cooper & J. C. Quick (Eds.), The handbook of stress and health: A guide to research and practice (pp. 359–376). Wiley-Blackwell.

Maslach, C., & Leiter, M. P. (2016). Understanding the burnout experience: Recent research and its implications for psychiatry. World Psychiatry, 15(2), 103–111. https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20311

Montano, D., Reeske, A., Franke, F., & Hüffmeier, J. (2017). Leadership, followers' mental health and job performance in organizations: A comprehensive meta-analysis from an occupational health perspective. Journal of Organizational Behavior, 38(3), 327–350. https://doi.org/10.1002/job.2124

Nielsen, K., Nielsen, M. B., Ogbonnaya, C., Känsälä, M., Saari, E., & Isaksson, K. (2017). Workplace resources to improve both employee well-being and performance: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Work & Stress, 31(2), 101–120. https://doi.org/10.1080/02678373.2017.1304463

Northouse, P. G. (2021). Leadership: Theory and practice (9th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2001). On happiness and human potentials: A review of research on hedonic and eudaimonic well-being. Annual Review of Psychology, 52, 141–166. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.52.1.141

Skakon, J., Nielsen, K., Borg, V., & Guzman, J. (2010). Are leaders' well-being, behaviours and style associated with the affective well-being of their employees? A systematic review of three decades of research. Work & Stress, 24(2), 107–139. https://doi.org/10.1080/02678373.2010.495262

World Health Organization. (2022). WHO guidelines on mental health at work. World Health Organization. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240053052

World Health Organization, & International Labour Organization. (2022). Mental health at work: Policy brief. World Health Organization and International Labour Organization. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240057944

Walumbwa, F. O., Avolio, B. J., Gardner, W. L., Wernsing, T. S., & Peterson, S. J. (2008). Authentic leadership: Development and validation of a theory-based

measure. Journal of Management, 34(1), 89–126. https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206307308913

Yukl, G., & Gardner, W. L. (2020). Leadership in organizations (9th ed.). Pearson.