

Normative and Theological Approaches in Islamic Studies

Sinta Bella Dinengrat¹, Jemain², Eka Dirnawati³, Eko Nursalim⁴

¹²³UIN Sultan Aji Muhammad Idris Samarinda

⁴Sekolah Tinggi Agama Islam Sangatta Kutai Timur Indonesia

* Correspondence e-mail; Sinta.v1820@gmail.com, noname8434@gmail.com, kitchentiga1@gmail.com, ekonursalim99@gmail.com

Article history

Submitted: 2026/08/01; Revised: 2026/09/11; Accepted: 2026/10/15

Abstract

Normative and theological approaches are important approaches in Islamic Studies for understanding Islamic teachings, values, doctrines, and beliefs based on religious sources. This article aims to explain the concepts, characteristics, scope, methods, strengths, limitations, and relevance of normative and theological approaches in Islamic Studies. This study employs library research by examining relevant books, journal articles, and academic works. The findings show that the normative approach emphasizes the understanding of Islam based on the Qur'an, Hadith, and fundamental principles of Islamic teachings, while the theological approach focuses on issues of God, faith, revelation, prophethood, and the relationship between reason and revelation. Both approaches are related in understanding the religious dimensions of Islam, although they have different areas of focus. In contemporary Islamic Studies, normative and theological approaches remain important for understanding the doctrinal aspects of Islam, but their application needs to be developed dialogically with historical, philosophical, sociological, anthropological, and other interdisciplinary approaches.

Keywords

Normative Approach, Theological Approach, Islamic Studies, Methodology, Contemporary Islam.



© 2026 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY SA) license, <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>.

INTRODUCTION

Islamic studies has developed as an academic field that seeks to understand Islam through its diverse and interconnected dimensions. Islam is not merely a collection of religious doctrines but also a source of values, an intellectual tradition, a legal system, a form of religious experience, and a social phenomenon shaped by historical change. These multiple dimensions require researchers to employ appropriate methodological approaches to investigate Islamic teachings and their manifestations in human life. The choice of approach influences the research questions, the selection of sources, the interpretation of evidence, and the conclusions drawn from the investigation. Therefore, methodological clarity is essential for producing a comprehensive and academically grounded understanding of Islam (Ariani et al., 2024).

Among the various approaches employed in Islamic studies, the normative and theological approaches occupy an important position because of their close relationship with the foundational principles of Islamic knowledge. The normative approach focuses on religious teachings, doctrines, ethical principles, and ideal standards derived from authoritative Islamic sources. It examines how Islamic teachings establish guidelines for belief, worship, law, morality, and social conduct. The theological approach, meanwhile, concentrates more specifically on questions of faith, the nature and attributes of God, revelation, prophethood, human freedom and responsibility, divine predestination, and the afterlife. Although these approaches have overlapping foundations, their respective emphases provide distinct analytical perspectives for examining Islamic teachings and beliefs (Aliyah & Mawardi, 2024; Aswan, 2013).

The normative approach should not be understood as a method limited to the literal reading or repetition of religious texts. Rather, its application involves systematic interpretation, legal and ethical reasoning, and consideration of the purposes underlying Islamic teachings. The Qur'an and Sunnah serve as primary sources, while scholarly interpretation, *usul al-fiqh*, and *maqasid al-shariah* provide conceptual and methodological resources for understanding religious norms and applying them to changing circumstances. Through these resources, normative Islamic studies can examine contemporary issues without disregarding the authority of Islamic sources. This approach enables researchers to investigate not only the prescribed rules but also the principles, objectives, and considerations of public benefit that inform their application (Aswan, 2013; Anas et al., 2025).

Similarly, Islamic theology has evolved through intellectual debates concerning the relationship between reason and revelation, divine attributes, human agency, and the foundations of religious belief. Classical theological traditions developed rational arguments to explain and defend Islamic doctrines, demonstrating that theological inquiry involves both scriptural interpretation and intellectual reasoning. In contemporary contexts, theological studies increasingly engage with religious pluralism, scientific developments, ethical dilemmas, social transformation, justice, and humanitarian concerns. Recent scholarship identifies a methodological shift from predominantly apologetic and defensive orientations toward more integrative and interdisciplinary approaches. These developments indicate that Islamic theology can respond to contemporary intellectual challenges while maintaining the Qur'an and Sunnah as important sources of theological authority (Ermawati et al., 2025).

The growing complexity of contemporary social and intellectual challenges has also encouraged scholars to reconsider the relationship between normative-theological approaches and other methodological perspectives. Islamic studies encompasses theological, historical, legal, cultural, and social dimensions that cannot always be adequately explained through a single analytical framework. Historical, sociological, anthropological, and hermeneutical approaches, for example, can help researchers understand how Islamic teachings are interpreted, negotiated, and practiced within particular social and historical contexts. The development of interdisciplinary methodologies therefore does not necessarily diminish the significance of normative and theological inquiry. Instead, it creates opportunities for complementary analyses that distinguish between religious ideals and the diverse ways in which Muslims understand and embody those ideals in everyday life (Papriono & Nursalim, 2025).

In Indonesia, the relevance of normative and theological approaches is particularly significant because Muslim communities engage with religious diversity, social change, and evolving educational and institutional contexts. These approaches can provide a conceptual foundation for examining Islamic perspectives on tolerance, social responsibility, justice, and public welfare. When appropriately interpreted, normative teachings and theological principles can contribute to discussions about interreligious relations and cooperation among different social groups. Nevertheless, the application of these approaches requires methodological awareness to avoid reducing complex social realities to doctrinal prescriptions alone. Normative-theological analysis should therefore clarify the religious principles underlying a particular issue while remaining attentive to the historical, cultural, and social contexts in which those principles are understood and implemented (Mawardi, 2025; Aslinda & Ritonga, 2024).

Despite the continuing importance of these approaches, their conceptual boundaries, methodological characteristics, and potential applications require systematic examination, particularly in relation to contemporary Islamic studies and Islamic Education Management. A clear understanding of their epistemological foundations is necessary to determine when each approach is appropriate, what kinds of research questions it can address, and what limitations researchers should acknowledge. Moreover, examining their respective strengths and limitations can help prevent the uncritical use of religious norms to explain empirical phenomena or the neglect of normative considerations when investigating questions of Islamic belief and practice. Such clarification is essential for developing research that is both

faithful to the intellectual traditions of Islam and responsive to contemporary academic requirements (Aliyah & Mawardi, 2024; Ermawati et al., 2025).

Accordingly, this article examines the normative and theological approaches in Islamic studies by discussing their definitions, epistemological foundations, characteristics, scopes, methodological procedures, strengths, limitations, and contemporary developments. It also explores the relevance of these approaches to Islamic Education Management, particularly their potential contribution to understanding the normative foundations of educational leadership, institutional governance, ethical decision-making, and the implementation of Islamic educational values. By establishing a systematic conceptual framework, this article aims to assist students and researchers in selecting and applying appropriate approaches to the study of Islamic issues while recognizing the importance of methodological rigor and interdisciplinary engagement.

METHODS

This study employs library research with a qualitative-descriptive approach to examine the normative and theological approaches in Islamic studies. Library research is appropriate for investigations that focus on conceptual clarification, theoretical exploration, and critical examination of scholarly literature. Rather than collecting empirical data from respondents or observing religious practices in specific settings, this study seeks to develop a systematic understanding of the epistemological foundations, methodological characteristics, analytical scope, strengths, limitations, and contemporary relevance of the normative and theological approaches. The qualitative-descriptive orientation enables the researcher to interpret, compare, and synthesize ideas presented in relevant academic sources to construct a coherent conceptual framework. This methodological choice is consistent with literature-based studies that examine methodological developments in Islamic studies and the relationships between normative perspectives and other disciplinary approaches (Ayu & Nurrohman, 2024).

The research materials consist of scholarly publications relevant to Islamic studies methodology, normative approaches, theological approaches, Islamic epistemology, and contemporary developments in the study of religion. The literature includes conceptual books on Islamic studies and religious methodology, scholarly works by Muslim thinkers, and peer-reviewed journal articles addressing the theoretical and practical dimensions of normative-theological inquiry. Books and foundational scholarly works serve as the principal conceptual references for understanding the intellectual traditions underlying these approaches. Journal

articles provide complementary perspectives on recent methodological developments, interdisciplinary engagement, and the application of normative-theological approaches to contemporary issues. This combination of sources is intended to establish a dialogue between foundational concepts and current academic discussions.

The selection of literature is guided by its relevance to the research objectives, its contribution to the conceptual discussion, and its academic credibility. Publications are considered relevant when they explicitly address the definition, epistemological basis, characteristics, scope, or methodological implications of normative and theological approaches in Islamic studies. Sources discussing interdisciplinary methodology, contemporary Islamic theology, and the relationship between religious doctrines and social realities are also considered when they contribute to understanding the development and application of the approaches under investigation. Materials that provide only limited conceptual relevance or do not contribute meaningfully to the research questions are excluded from the analytical discussion. This selection process helps maintain the focus of the study and ensures that the literature included in the analysis contributes to the construction of the intended conceptual framework.

Data collection is conducted through several interconnected stages. The first stage involves identifying potentially relevant literature through bibliographic searches, examination of reference lists, and identification of publications associated with the central concepts of the study. The second stage involves screening the identified materials according to their relevance to the research focus and the availability of sufficient conceptual information. The third stage consists of intensive reading to identify definitions, theoretical arguments, methodological principles, and critical observations presented by the authors. During this process, important statements and ideas are recorded in analytical notes to facilitate comparison across sources. The fourth stage involves organizing the extracted information into thematic categories. This procedure enables the researcher to identify recurring concepts, differences in interpretation, and potential connections between the normative and theological approaches.

The collected literature is organized into seven principal analytical themes: the definitions of normative and theological approaches; their epistemological foundations; their characteristics and scopes; their methodological procedures; their respective strengths and limitations; their contemporary developments; and their relevance to Islamic Education Management. These categories provide a structured

framework for examining the two approaches both independently and in relation to one another. The normative approach is analyzed primarily in terms of its engagement with religious principles, legal reasoning, ethical standards, and ideal prescriptions derived from Islamic sources. The theological approach is examined through its treatment of faith, divine attributes, revelation, prophethood, human responsibility, and the rational articulation of religious beliefs. Particular attention is given to their overlapping foundations and distinctive analytical emphases.

Data analysis employs a descriptive-analytical technique involving the interpretation, comparison, and synthesis of ideas derived from the selected literature. In the descriptive stage, the researcher explains the principal concepts and arguments presented by individual sources while maintaining the contextual meaning of their original discussions. In the analytical stage, these concepts are compared to identify similarities, differences, complementary perspectives, and areas requiring further conceptual clarification. The analysis does not merely summarize the literature but seeks to establish relationships among the arguments and assess their implications for understanding Islamic studies methodology. Contrasting perspectives are examined in relation to their theoretical foundations and analytical purposes rather than treated as necessarily incompatible positions.

The final stage involves synthesizing the findings into an integrated conceptual discussion. The synthesis examines how normative and theological approaches can be distinguished without separating them artificially, how their methodological applications respond to contemporary intellectual and social challenges, and how their respective strengths and limitations influence their suitability for particular research questions. The discussion also considers the potential relevance of these approaches to Islamic Education Management, especially in examining educational values, leadership ethics, institutional governance, and decision-making informed by Islamic principles. Such applications are discussed at the conceptual level rather than presented as empirical findings about particular educational institutions.

The methodological process is guided by the principle that conceptual claims should remain traceable to the literature from which they are derived. The researcher therefore seeks to preserve the distinction between the arguments of individual authors and the interpretations developed through cross-source analysis. Nevertheless, because this study is based on selected literature, its conclusions depend on the scope, quality, and conceptual diversity of the sources examined. The study does not claim to provide an exhaustive systematic review unless supported by a reproducible search strategy and explicit screening criteria. Instead, it aims to

offer a focused, critical, and theoretically grounded account of normative and theological approaches in Islamic studies. Through this procedure, library research provides a foundation for clarifying their conceptual boundaries, methodological contributions, and continuing relevance to contemporary Islamic scholarship.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

Conceptual Distinctions Between Normative and Theological Approaches

The normative approach can be understood as a method of studying Islam by placing religious norms, doctrines, and ideal principles at the center of analysis. These norms encompass theological beliefs, rules of worship, Islamic jurisprudence, ethical values, and principles of public welfare. The primary purpose of this approach is to identify what Islam teaches and how particular issues should be evaluated according to authoritative Islamic sources. In this context, the Qur'an and Sunnah serve as fundamental references, while scholarly interpretations and established Islamic methodologies provide the analytical tools for understanding and applying religious principles (Aswan, 2013; Aliyah & Mawardi, 2024).

The theological approach, by contrast, concentrates more specifically on matters of faith and the relationship between God and humanity. Its principal concerns include the nature and attributes of God, revelation, prophethood, divine will, human freedom and responsibility, predestination, and life after death. Within Islamic intellectual history, these questions have been systematically discussed through *ilm al-kalam* and the theological traditions developed by different schools of thought. Theological inquiry therefore involves not only affirming religious beliefs but also examining the arguments, interpretive principles, and intellectual traditions through which those beliefs are explained and defended (Ermawati et al., 2025).

Although these approaches share a foundation in Islamic revelation, their analytical orientations are not identical. The normative approach has a broader scope because it addresses religious prescriptions concerning belief, worship, law, morality, and social conduct. The theological approach focuses more narrowly on the foundations and rational articulation of faith. Nevertheless, the distinction is analytical rather than absolute. Questions concerning divine justice, for example, may be theological when they examine the relationship between God's attributes and human responsibility, but normative when they investigate the ethical implications of justice for human conduct.

This distinction has practical implications for research design. A study examining justice in Islamic educational management may employ a normative approach to investigate relevant Qur'anic principles, Prophetic traditions, and

scholarly interpretations. A study examining the theological foundations of trust in God, human effort, or divine predestination would be more closely associated with theological inquiry. When a research problem involves both religious prescriptions and their theological foundations, a combined normative-theological approach may provide a more comprehensive analytical framework. The appropriate approach should therefore be determined by the research question rather than by the general classification of the subject as an Islamic issue.

Epistemological Foundations of the Normative Approach

The epistemological foundation of the normative approach rests on the recognition of revelation as an authoritative source of religious knowledge. The Qur'an and Sunnah provide fundamental references for Islamic beliefs and prescriptions, while *ijtihad* enables scholars to interpret these sources and address questions that require further legal or ethical reasoning. Within this framework, reason is not simply excluded or treated as an alternative to revelation. Instead, it functions as an instrument for interpreting texts, establishing relationships among principles, evaluating arguments, and applying religious teachings to particular circumstances (Aswan, 2013).

The methodological significance of this relationship becomes apparent in the tradition of *usul al-fiqh*. Approaches such as *qiyas* (analogical reasoning), *maslahah* (consideration of public welfare), and *sadd al-dhara'i* (blocking the means to prohibited outcomes) demonstrate that normative reasoning involves structured intellectual procedures. Their application and acceptance vary across legal schools, and they should not be treated as universally identical methods. Nevertheless, these traditions illustrate that deriving practical implications from Islamic sources requires interpretive judgment and methodological justification rather than merely reproducing textual statements (Anas et al., 2025).

An important epistemological issue concerns the distinction between revelation and human interpretation. Although revelation holds authoritative status within Islamic belief, interpretations of religious texts are produced through human reasoning and may differ according to linguistic analysis, legal principles, historical context, and intellectual orientation. Consequently, a scholarly study should distinguish between the primary religious text, the interpretations developed by Muslim scholars, and the researcher's own analytical conclusions. Failure to maintain this distinction can result in a particular interpretation being presented as though it were the only possible meaning of a religious source.

This distinction is especially important in contemporary Islamic studies because religious norms are frequently applied to complex issues involving education, technology, institutional governance, and social change. A normative analysis of educational leadership, for instance, should not merely list Islamic values such as justice, trustworthiness, and responsibility. It should explain how these values are derived from relevant sources, how scholars interpret them, and how their implications can be justified within the context of educational management. Such procedures strengthen the analytical transparency of normative research while preserving its commitment to Islamic principles.

Epistemological Foundations of the Theological Approach

The theological approach is grounded in the view that questions concerning God, revelation, and faith can be examined systematically through scriptural interpretation and rational argumentation. Throughout Islamic intellectual history, the relationship between reason and revelation has been a central issue in theological debates. Different theological traditions have developed distinctive explanations of divine attributes, human agency, moral responsibility, and the relationship between divine power and human action. These differences demonstrate that Islamic theology is not a homogeneous body of thought but a field characterized by intellectual diversity and competing interpretations.

Reason occupies an important position in theological inquiry because religious beliefs may require systematic explanation and defense. Rational argumentation can be used to assess the coherence of theological propositions, clarify conceptual distinctions, and examine the implications of particular interpretations. However, the role assigned to reason differs among theological schools, particularly concerning the extent to which human reason can independently establish religious truths or moral judgments. A rigorous theological study should therefore identify the intellectual tradition under examination instead of treating one theological position as representative of the entirety of Islamic thought (Ermawati et al., 2025).

Theological inquiry also has a hermeneutical dimension because its arguments frequently depend on the interpretation of scriptural passages. When examining verses concerning divine attributes, for example, researchers need to consider linguistic features, interpretive traditions, theological assumptions, and the broader principles through which the unity and transcendence of God are understood. The analysis should also distinguish between the meaning attributed to a text by a particular theological school and the arguments used by other schools to interpret the same passage. This procedure allows theological differences to be investigated

academically without reducing them to unsupported judgments about the validity of particular beliefs.

Contemporary theological studies have increasingly engaged with questions arising from modernity, globalization, religious pluralism, and social transformation. Ermawati et al. (2025) discuss methodological developments in Islamic theology that move toward more integrative approaches while maintaining the importance of the Qur'an and Sunnah. Such developments create opportunities to examine how theological concepts contribute to contemporary ethical and humanitarian discussions. Nevertheless, the application of theology to social problems requires conceptual clarity: theological propositions may provide foundations for ethical reflection, but their practical implications still need to be explained through defensible interpretive and analytical procedures.

Methodological Implications for Contemporary Islamic Studies

The epistemological characteristics of normative and theological approaches influence how research questions are formulated, sources are selected, and arguments are evaluated. Normative research generally emphasizes authoritative religious sources, principles of Islamic jurisprudence, ethical reasoning, and scholarly interpretations. Theological research places greater emphasis on doctrines of faith, theological texts, rational arguments, and the intellectual traditions associated with particular schools. These differences should guide methodological decisions from the beginning of a study, including the identification of relevant sources and the selection of appropriate analytical procedures.

At the same time, neither approach should be used without acknowledging its analytical boundaries. Normative analysis is particularly appropriate for examining religious prescriptions and evaluating practices against explicitly identified Islamic principles. However, it cannot automatically establish how people actually behave or how an educational institution operates in practice. Such empirical questions require additional evidence, including interviews, observations, institutional documents, or other relevant data. Similarly, theological analysis can explain the conceptual foundations of religious beliefs, but it does not by itself demonstrate the social effects of those beliefs.

Interdisciplinary engagement can help address these limitations. Ayu and Nurrohman (2024) discuss the importance of interdisciplinary approaches in Islamic studies and their implications for the Indonesian context. This perspective supports the view that normative and theological analysis can be complemented by historical, sociological, anthropological, and educational perspectives when the research

problem requires them. Such integration does not necessarily weaken the authority of religious sources. Rather, it clarifies the distinction between normative claims, theological arguments, and empirical observations, allowing each type of claim to be assessed according to its appropriate standards of evidence.

Relevance to Islamic Education Management

The normative and theological approaches have particular relevance to Islamic Education Management because educational institutions operate through both practical management arrangements and value-based commitments. The normative approach can be used to investigate the Islamic foundations of educational leadership, institutional accountability, justice in decision-making, professional responsibility, and the fair treatment of teachers and students. Through the examination of relevant religious sources and scholarly interpretations, researchers can develop a framework for understanding how Islamic principles may inform educational policies and managerial practices.

The theological approach contributes a complementary perspective by examining the underlying beliefs and conceptions of human responsibility that shape educational ethics. Concepts such as *amanah* (trust), *ikhtiyar* (human choice or effort), and *tawakkul* (reliance on God) can be examined in relation to responsibility, professional effort, and ethical decision-making. Their application, however, requires careful conceptual distinctions. For example, *tawakkul* should not automatically be interpreted as a justification for managerial passivity, nor should belief in divine predestination be assumed to eliminate human accountability. Such interpretations need to be examined through relevant theological arguments and normative principles.

The integration of these approaches can therefore provide a conceptual foundation for research on Islamic educational leadership and institutional governance. The normative dimension helps establish the religious principles against which decisions and practices can be examined, while the theological dimension clarifies the beliefs and conceptions of human agency that may underpin those principles. When the research also seeks to understand actual institutional practices or their outcomes, empirical methods should be incorporated as appropriate. This combination enables researchers to distinguish between what Islamic teachings prescribe, how theological traditions explain those prescriptions, and how educational institutions interpret and implement them in specific contexts.

Characteristics of the Normative and Theological Approaches

The normative and theological approaches share a common foundation in Islamic religious sources, but they differ in their principal objects of inquiry, analytical orientations, and research purposes. The normative approach focuses on religious teachings and ideal principles that serve as standards for evaluating beliefs, actions, and social practices. The theological approach concentrates more specifically on the foundations of faith, the nature of God, revelation, and the relationship between divine authority and human existence. Understanding these distinctions is essential because the selection of an approach determines the types of questions researchers ask and the kinds of arguments they develop (Aswan, 2013; Aliyah & Mawardi, 2024).

The normative approach is primarily prescriptive because it seeks to explain what should be believed or practiced according to Islamic principles. Its scope includes *aqidah*, worship, Islamic jurisprudence, morality, social relations, and educational practices. Its analytical process involves examining authoritative sources, interpreting religious texts, and applying appropriate principles of Islamic reasoning. The theological approach, in contrast, investigates questions such as divine unity, divine attributes, prophethood, revelation, *qada* and *qadar*, and the afterlife. It employs both transmitted evidence (*dalil naqli*) and rational argumentation (*dalil aqli*), although the relationship between these forms of evidence differs among theological traditions (Ermawati et al., 2025).

The distinction does not imply that the two approaches are entirely separate. A study of justice, for example, may employ normative analysis to identify the principles governing fair treatment, while theological analysis may investigate how divine justice relates to human freedom and responsibility. Their relationship is therefore complementary: normative inquiry clarifies religious prescriptions, whereas theological inquiry examines the foundational beliefs and arguments that inform particular understandings of religious teachings.

For research purposes, the distinction should be established through the central research question rather than the topic alone. Research on ethical leadership in Islamic educational institutions may be predominantly normative if it examines standards of justice, trustworthiness, and accountability. However, if the study investigates the theological foundations of human responsibility and divine accountability, the theological approach becomes more prominent. A combined approach is appropriate when both dimensions are integral to the research problem.

Scope of the Normative Approach in Islamic Studies

The scope of the normative approach extends across several interconnected domains of Islamic teachings. The first is *aqidah*, which concerns fundamental beliefs that shape the Muslim understanding of God, humanity, and existence. The second is *sharia*, encompassing worship, transactions, and principles of conduct. The third is *akhlak*, which addresses moral character and ethical behavior. The fourth concerns education and social life, including educational objectives, relationships between teachers and students, institutional responsibilities, and the management of educational organizations according to Islamic principles (Aswan, 2013; Anas et al., 2025).

The breadth of this scope enables the normative approach to address contemporary social problems. In studies of poverty, for instance, researchers may examine Islamic principles of social justice, zakat, mutual assistance, and the prohibition of exploitation. In educational research, the approach can be used to analyze *amanah* (trust and responsibility), *musyawarah* (consultation), justice, and respect for human dignity as principles of institutional governance.

Nevertheless, identifying relevant religious principles is only the first stage of normative analysis. A stronger study must explain how those principles are derived from authoritative sources, how scholars have interpreted them, and why a particular interpretation is relevant to the problem under investigation. The concept of justice, for example, should not be invoked merely as a general religious ideal. Researchers need to clarify its meaning, identify the sources supporting their interpretation, and explain how it relates to specific questions of policy or practice.

The use of *maqasid al-shariah* can strengthen this process by directing attention to the objectives and public benefits associated with Islamic legal and ethical reasoning. However, the application of *maqasid* requires an explicit justification of the objectives being prioritized and their relationship to the relevant religious sources. Consequently, the normative approach becomes more analytically productive when it moves beyond listing religious values toward explaining their interpretation, justification, and implications for particular contexts (Anas et al., 2025).

Scope of the Theological Approach

The theological approach focuses on the foundations of Islamic belief and the intellectual traditions through which these beliefs have been explained. Its central subject is *tawhid*, or the oneness of God, together with discussions of divine attributes, revelation, prophethood, divine will, human freedom, predestination, sin, and the afterlife. These questions have historically been examined through *ilm al-*

kalam, which employs scriptural interpretation and rational argumentation to articulate and defend theological positions.

An important characteristic of theological inquiry is its recognition of intellectual diversity within the Islamic tradition. Different theological schools have developed distinct arguments concerning the relationship between divine power and human agency, the role of reason in moral judgment, and the interpretation of scriptural statements about God. Academic research should therefore identify the particular school, scholar, or interpretive tradition under examination rather than presenting one position as representative of all Islamic theology. This approach allows theological disagreements to be analyzed in terms of their premises, arguments, and historical contexts (Ermawati et al., 2025).

The contemporary relevance of theology also extends beyond abstract doctrinal debates. Theological concepts may provide foundations for examining human responsibility, environmental stewardship, ethical conduct, and social accountability. For example, a study of educational leadership may investigate how beliefs about human responsibility before God inform understandings of professional integrity. However, researchers should not assume that a theological belief automatically produces a particular organizational behavior. The relationship between belief and practice must be established through appropriate conceptual reasoning and, when necessary, empirical evidence. Thus, the contribution of the theological approach lies in clarifying the beliefs and intellectual arguments underlying religious understandings of human life. Its analytical strength depends on careful interpretation, recognition of differences among theological traditions, and explicit reasoning when connecting doctrinal concepts to contemporary issues.

Normative-Theological Approaches in Contemporary Islamic Studies and Islamic Education Management

The normative and theological approaches remain important methodological perspectives in contemporary Islamic studies, particularly when examining religious pluralism, technological development, globalization, and educational governance. Nevertheless, their application requires critical reflection to avoid overly prescriptive interpretations and theological arguments that merely defend predetermined positions. Researchers must distinguish between religious prescriptions, scholarly interpretations, theological arguments, and empirical explanations. Religious texts establish important foundations for normative analysis, but they cannot independently explain actual social behavior or determine the outcomes of institutional policies. Therefore, integrating historical, philosophical, sociological,

anthropological, and empirical perspectives can strengthen Islamic studies while preserving the religious significance of its subject matter (Hery, 2016).

In contemporary contexts, normative-theological approaches help researchers examine how Islamic principles are interpreted and applied to emerging social challenges. Fadillah and Duraesa (2026) emphasize the importance of epistemological and methodological reflection in studying Islam, including the distinction between Islam as a religious tradition and the diverse human interpretations through which it is understood. Similarly, Tamam et al. (2025) highlight the contribution of normative, historical, and philosophical approaches to developing a more comprehensive understanding of Islamic phenomena. These perspectives suggest that researchers should identify relevant Islamic principles, examine their interpretations within established intellectual traditions, and analyze their implications for contemporary problems. Such analysis must acknowledge interpretive diversity and avoid treating the position of one scholar or theological school as representative of Islam as a whole.

Religious pluralism provides an important example of this methodological contribution. Normative-theological analysis can examine Islamic principles concerning human dignity, justice, mutual assistance, and social responsibility in interreligious relationships. However, respect for different religious communities does not necessarily eliminate theological differences, just as maintaining religious identity does not automatically determine social behavior. Researchers must therefore investigate how religious convictions influence actual relationships and how social circumstances shape interreligious engagement. Combining normative-theological analysis with sociological or empirical research can provide a more balanced understanding of religious tolerance, cooperation, and coexistence.

The relevance of these approaches is also evident in Islamic Education Management. The normative approach offers a framework for examining *amanah*, justice, consultation, accountability, professionalism, and public welfare in educational leadership and institutional governance. For example, *amanah* can inform discussions of transparent resource management, consultation can support participatory decision-making, and justice can guide the analysis of equitable educational opportunities. Meanwhile, the theological approach provides a basis for examining human responsibility, intention, divine accountability, and the relationship between faith and action. Concepts such as *ikhtiyar* and *tawakkul* must be interpreted carefully rather than automatically equated with particular managerial behaviors.

Applying these approaches systematically requires researchers to formulate clear research questions, identify appropriate primary and secondary sources, critically examine arguments, categorize relevant themes, compare interpretations, and synthesize findings according to the research objectives. Researchers must distinguish scriptural statements from scholarly interpretations and their own analytical conclusions. When investigating actual institutional practices, interviews, observations, and document analysis may be necessary to establish how religious values are understood and implemented.

Ultimately, normative-theological approaches are most effective when applied transparently, critically, and in accordance with the research problem. Their integration provides a conceptual foundation for connecting Islamic intellectual traditions with contemporary social and educational challenges without reducing institutional realities to religious doctrine alone. Through methodological rigor and appropriate interdisciplinary engagement, these approaches can contribute to academically responsible Islamic studies and the development of ethical, accountable, and value-oriented educational management.

CONCLUSION

The normative and theological approaches remain essential methodological perspectives in Islamic studies because they address complementary dimensions of Islamic knowledge. The normative approach focuses on religious teachings, ethical principles, and ideal standards derived from authoritative Islamic sources, whereas the theological approach examines the foundations of faith, divine attributes, revelation, and the relationship between God and humanity. Although their analytical emphases differ, both approaches contribute to a comprehensive understanding of Islamic teachings and their implications for human life. The discussion demonstrates that the application of these approaches requires methodological clarity, critical interpretation, and awareness of their respective limitations. Normative analysis should distinguish religious sources from scholarly interpretations, while theological inquiry should acknowledge intellectual diversity and examine arguments within their historical and conceptual contexts. Neither approach alone is sufficient to explain every dimension of contemporary Islamic phenomena. Integrating historical, sociological, philosophical, and empirical perspectives can therefore strengthen the analysis without disregarding the religious significance of Islamic teachings.

In Islamic Education Management, these approaches provide a conceptual foundation for examining leadership ethics, institutional accountability, justice,

consultation, and professional responsibility. Their contribution becomes more meaningful when religious principles are translated into analytical frameworks and examined alongside actual educational practices. Ultimately, the relevance of normative and theological approaches lies in their capacity to connect Islamic intellectual traditions with contemporary challenges through systematic reasoning, responsible interpretation, and interdisciplinary engagement.

REFERENCES

- Adzim, Muhammad Fauzil, dan Nela Syarah Vrikati. "Studi Islam dalam Kaca Mata Normatif dan Historis." *Al-Munqidz: Jurnal Kajian Keislaman* 8, no. 3 (2020): 441–452.<https://doi.org/10.52802/amk.v8i3.275>.
- Adibah, Ida Zahara. "Pendekatan Sosiologis dalam Studi Islam." *Jurnal Inspirasi* 1, no. 1 (2017): 1–20.<https://ejournal.undaris.ac.id/index.php/inspirasi/article/download/1/1>.
- Adiyono, Syamsun Ni'am, dan Akhyak. "Methodology of Islamic Studies: Islam as Religion (A Perspective Epistemology, Paradigm, and Methodology)." *Analisis: Jurnal Studi Keislaman* 24, no. 1 (2024): 169–200.<https://doi.org/10.24042/ajsk.v24i1.22636>.
- Aliyah, Nur Fatin, dan Kholid Mawardi. "Mengupas Sebuah Pendekatan Normatif dalam Mengembangkan Studi Islam." *TSAQOFAH* 5, no. 1 (2024): 74–83.<https://doi.org/10.58578/tsaqofah.v5i1.4287>.
- Anas, Moh., Ifran Aziz, dan Hasniah. "Pendekatan Normatif-Doktrinal dalam Studi Islam dan Implikasinya." *TADBIRUNA* 5, no. 1 (2025): 1–8.<https://doi.org/10.51192/jurnalmanajemenpendidikanislam.v5i1.2153>.
- Ariani, Rina, Tamrin Kamal, Rosniati Hakim, Halim Hanafi, dan Julhadi. "Pendekatan Normatif-Teologis dalam Studi Islam." *An Najah: Jurnal Pendidikan Islam dan Sosial Keagamaan* 3, no. 4 (2024): 221–235.<https://journal.nabest.id/index.php/annajah/article/view/334>.
- Aslinda, dan Mahyudin Ritonga. "Normatif-Teologis sebagai Pendekatan Studi Islam: Systemic Literature Review." *Rausyan Fikr: Jurnal Pemikiran dan Pencerahan* 20, no. 1 (2024).<https://doi.org/10.31000/rf.v20i1.11463>.
- Aswan. "Studi Islam dengan Pendekatan Normatif." *Tarbiyah Islamiyah: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Agama Islam* 3, no. 1 (2013).<https://doi.org/10.18592/jtipai.v3i1.1854>.
- Ayu, Diyan Putri, dan Dede Nurrohman. "Pendekatan Interdisipliner dalam Studi Islam: Metodologi dan Implikasinya di Indonesia." *Social Science Academic* 2, no. 2 (2024): 65–74.<https://doi.org/10.37680/ssa.v2i2.5542>.
- Bahrol 'Ulum, Iftah, dan Kholid Mawardi. "Pendekatan Teologis-Normatif dalam

- Pluralisme Beragama di Indonesia." *Al-Wasathiyah: Journal of Islamic Studies* 3, no. 2 (2024): 123–129.<https://doi.org/10.56672/f139f732>.
- Chasani, Muhammad Toif. "Pendekatan-Pendekatan dalam Studi Islam." *Dar El-Ilmi: Jurnal Studi Keagamaan, Pendidikan dan Humaniora* 9, no. 1 (2022): 39–56.<https://doi.org/10.52166/darelilmi.v9i1.3056>.
- Devi, Aulia Diana, dan Seka Andrean. "Implementasi Pendekatan Teologis Normatif dalam Pluralisme Beragama di Indonesia." *TA'LIM: Jurnal Studi Pendidikan Islam* 4, no. 1 (2021): 60–73.<https://doi.org/10.52166/talim.v4i1.2193>.
- Ermawati, Erlin, Siti Baimunah, dan Eko Nursalim. "Methodological Transformation in Islamic Theological Studies: From Classical Traditions to Contemporary Approaches." *Indonesian Journal of Islamic Studies* 6, no. 1 (2025): 61–70.<https://doi.org/10.59525/ijois.v6i1.986>.
- Fadillah, Anif, dan M. Abzar Duraesa. "Islam sebagai Objek Studi: Analisis Epistemologis dan Pendekatan Metodologis dalam Studi Keislaman." *Pendas: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Dasar* 11, no. 2 (2026).<https://doi.org/10.23969/jp.v11i02.51760>.
- Hery, Musnur. "Pengembangan Studi Islam Perspektif Insider-Outsider." *Intizar* 22, no. 2 (2016): 199–220.<https://doi.org/10.19109/intizar.v22i2.941>.
- Irbathy, Shafa Alistiana, dan Moh. Amiril Mukminin. "Pendekatan Psikologi dalam Studi Islam." *Al-Ibrah: Jurnal Pendidikan dan Keilmuan Islam* 9, no. 1 (2024): 84–102.<https://doi.org/10.61815/alibrah.v9i1.375>.
- Karta, Topik Pirdaus, dan Uyu Muawanah. "Pendekatan Historis dalam Studi Islam." *JUSMA: Jurnal Studi Islam dan Masyarakat* 3, no. 1 (2024): 15–19.<https://doi.org/10.21154/jusma.v3i1.1466>.
- Muhajir. "Pendekatan Teologis Normatif." *Jurnal An-Nawa* 15, no. 2 (2014).https://staiannawawi.com/ejournal-sub/index_php/An-Nawa/article/view/58.html.
- Mutiah, Siti, Akmalina Syahiroh, Fatimah Suhro, Nia Handayani, dan Nursania Dasopang. "Pendekatan Teologis dalam Studi Islam: Analisis Konsep dan Relevansi Kontemporer." *RIGGS: Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Digital Business* 4, no. 4 (2026): 10449–10456.<https://doi.org/10.31004/riggs.v4i4.5173>.
- Rasyid, Dafi, Nova Azzahra, dan Maftuh Ajmain. "Pendekatan Pengkajian Agama (Teologis-Normatif)." *Ar-Rasyid: Jurnal Publikasi Penelitian Ilmiah* 2, no. 5 (2026): 259–265.<https://doi.org/10.64788/ar-rasyid.v2i5.372>.
- Rumiati, Andika Papriono, dan Eko Nursalim. "Islamic Studies Methodological Reform: Answering the Challenges of Globalization and Intellectual Stagnation." *Indonesian Journal of Islamic Studies* 6, no. 1 (2025): 71–82.<https://doi.org/10.59525/ijois.v6i1.988>.

- Safitri, Nabillah, dan Siti Masitha. "Pembacaan Teks dalam Perkembangan Pemikiran Islam (Tekstual-Kontekstual dan Normatif-Historis)." *At-Tabayyun: Jurnal Hukum, Ekonomi dan Pendidikan Islam* 7, no. 1 (2024).<https://doi.org/10.62214/atjhpi.v7i1.237>.
- Shaifudin, Arif, Wildan Nafi'i, dan M. Muchlish Huda. "Pendekatan Filosofis dalam Studi Islam." *El Wahdah* 3, no. 1 (2022): 27–45.<https://doi.org/10.35888/elwahdah.v3i01.4867>.
- Sholikha, Dina Wilda, Wilda Nayla Syahidah, dan Najih Anwar. "Metodologi Studi Islam: Tinjauan Filosofis, Sejarah, dan Teologis dalam Pencarian Kebenaran Keagamaan." *Jurnal Pendidikan Tambusai* 7, no. 3 (2023): 26557–26565.<https://jptam.org/index.php/jptam/article/view/10894/8663>.
- Tamam, Badrut, Muhammad Irhas Rasuli, dan Eko Nursalim. "Metode Penelitian dalam Studi Islam Melalui Pendekatan Normatif, Historis dan Filosofis." *An-Nafis: Jurnal Ilmiah Keislaman dan Kemasyarakatan* 4, no. 2 (2025): 191–204.<https://doi.org/10.62196/nfs.vi.105>.