Volume 1 Number 1 (2024) January – June 2024 Page: 15-20

WorldSSR: International Journal of World Social Science Research

https://edujavare.com/index.php/WorldSSR



Exploring George Herbert Mead's Symbolic Interactionism Theory (Language, Symbols, and Identity)

Mariana¹

¹ IAI Sunan Giri Ponorogo, Indonesia; mar14na1212@gmail.com

Article history

Submitted: 2024/08/01; Revised: 2024/10/11; Accepted: 2024/11/01

Abstract

Symbolic Interactionism Theory developed by George Herbert Mead focuses on how individuals form identity and meaning through social interaction and the symbols used in communication. Mead emphasizes the importance of language and symbols in building self-awareness and social roles. In this theory, individuals develop their understanding of the world through the roles and symbols that are understood collectively in society. The process of socialization, through the use of language and social symbols, shapes how individuals see themselves and their relationships with others. This article aims to explore the contribution of Symbolic Interactionism theory to the formation of social identity, by highlighting the role of language, symbols, and social interaction. Through an analysis of this theory, it will be discussed how social symbols and language not only function as a means of communication, but also as a basis for the formation of individual identity in a social context. This article will also critique the application of Mead's theory in understanding the dynamics of identity in modern society.

Keywords

Symbolic Interactionism, Language, Symbols, Social Identity, George Herbert Mead



© 2024 by the authors. This is an open-access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY SA) license, https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/.

INTRODUCTION

George Herbert Mead, a philosopher and sociologist who is famous for his contributions to the development of symbolic interactionism theory, provides deep insights into how individuals construct their identities through social symbols that emerge in everyday interactions.[1], [2] This theory emphasizes that a person's meaning and identity are formed through the use of socially understood symbols, and especially through language as the primary means of communication. Mead argued that the development of the self is not separate from social interaction, but rather develops in ongoing relationships with other individuals and with society as a whole. In this context, language and symbols serve not only as a means of communication,

but also as a means of shaping and understanding ourselves and our position in the social structure. Mead's approach is highly relevant to understanding how individual identities are formed in complex and dynamic societies. This article will explore Mead's symbolic interactionism theory, focusing on the role of language, symbols, and social processes in identity formation, and its relevance to understanding contemporary social interaction.

METHODS

The method used in this study is the library method, namely by collecting and analyzing various relevant literature on George Herbert Mead's symbolic interactionism theory.[3] This process involves a study of books, scientific articles, and journals that discuss the main concepts in this theory, such as language, symbols, and identity formation through social interaction. In addition, this study will also explore criticisms of Mead's theory, as well as its application in a broader social context. The data obtained will be analyzed qualitatively to explore a deeper understanding of how social symbols and language influence the formation of individual identity in society. The literature used in this study includes both classical and contemporary sources that discuss Mead's theory and subsequent developments in the field of symbolic interactionism.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

Findings

George Herbert Mead, a foundational figure in the development of symbolic interactionism, provided profound insights into how individuals create and interpret meanings in their social worlds.[4], [5] His work focused on understanding how self and society interact, and how identity is shaped through communication and social symbols. Symbolic interactionism is a sociological theory that emphasizes the role of language, symbols, and social interaction in the development of individuals' identities and their understanding of the world.

Mead's theories have had a significant influence on sociology, particularly in the study of identity formation, social roles, and the dynamics of group interactions. This article will explore the key components of Mead's symbolic interactionism theory, specifically focusing on the role of language, symbols, and the concept of identity. Through an analysis of these elements, we will examine how Mead's theory offers insights into social behavior, identity formation, and the ongoing negotiation of self in the context of broader societal structures.

Symbolic interactionism, as proposed by Mead, revolves around several key concepts that help explain how individuals develop their identities and interact within society. These

core principles include the significance of symbols, language, and the process of self-development.

1. The Role of Symbols

For Mead, symbols are central to human communication and social interaction. Symbols are arbitrary objects, gestures, or sounds that carry specific meanings understood by members of a society. Language is the most prominent example of a symbol, and it is through language that individuals can share and construct meanings about the world around them. According to Mead, humans are unique in their ability to use symbols to represent not only physical objects but also abstract concepts like emotions, values, and social roles.

Symbols are socially constructed and learned through interaction with others. For instance, the word "love" might represent a deep emotional connection between two people, but its meaning is not inherent in the word itself. Rather, it is shaped through the social context and the shared experiences of the individuals using the term. Thus, symbols function as tools that help people make sense of their environment and their place within it.

2. The Role of Language

Language plays a crucial role in Mead's theory of symbolic interactionism, as it is the primary medium through which individuals share and negotiate meanings. For Mead, language is not just a tool for communication; it is also the mechanism through which people come to understand and reflect on their own selves. Through language, individuals can take the perspective of others, internalize societal norms, and develop a sense of self.

The ability to use language to engage in "symbolic play" is a key factor in the development of self-identity. Mead argued that when children engage in role-playing games (e.g., pretending to be a teacher, doctor, or parent), they practice taking on the perspectives of others. This process of role-taking is essential for understanding how society works and for developing the capacity for empathy. Over time, individuals internalize these roles and begin to see themselves from the viewpoint of others, which leads to the formation of the self.

3. The Development of the Self

Mead's concept of the "self" is another critical element of his theory. He argued that the self is not a pre-existing entity but rather develops through social interactions. The self is both reflective and dynamic, and it evolves as individuals engage in social processes. Mead suggested that the self is composed of two aspects: the "I" and the "me."

- The "I" is the spontaneous, impulsive part of the self, representing an individual's personal desires and actions.
- The "me" is the socialized aspect of the self, representing the internalized expectations and attitudes of others.

Through the process of socialization, the "me" develops as individuals learn how to conform to societal norms and expectations. However, the "I" remains a more creative and unique aspect, representing a person's ability to respond to situations in unpredictable ways.

The interplay between the "I" and the "me" helps shape an individual's identity and guides their social behavior.

Discussion

In Mead's view, social interaction is the foundation upon which identity is built. Identity is not something that is inherent or fixed, but rather something that is continuously shaped through interaction with others. The process of identity formation is dynamic and ongoing, as individuals engage with various groups, institutions, and cultural norms.

1. The "Generalized Other"

One of the key concepts Mead introduced to explain how identity develops is the notion of the "generalized other." The generalized other refers to the social group or community whose perspectives and expectations individuals internalize over time. It is through the generalized other that people begin to understand the collective norms, values, and rules of society.

For example, a child may initially learn to speak by mimicking their parents or caregivers, but over time, they begin to understand how their language and behavior must align with societal expectations. The generalized other represents society as a whole, and individuals develop their sense of self by understanding how they fit into the larger social structure.

2. The Importance of Social Roles

Social roles are another crucial component of identity formation in symbolic interactionism. Roles are the expected behaviors and duties associated with specific positions in society, such as being a student, teacher, parent, or friend. Through interaction, individuals learn the roles they are expected to play and internalize these roles as part of their identity.

Mead's theory suggests that individuals learn their roles by observing others and by receiving feedback on their actions. For example, a child learns what it means to be a "student" by observing other students and interacting with teachers. Over time, they internalize the behaviors associated with being a student, and this becomes a part of their identity.

Language and symbols do not only facilitate individual identity formation but also play a significant role in shaping social identity. Social identity refers to the way individuals define themselves within the context of larger social categories, such as gender, race, class, and nationality. These categories are often constructed through language and symbols, which allow individuals to situate themselves within these broader social contexts.

1. Symbols of Group Identity

In many societies, symbols such as flags, clothing, or religious icons serve as markers of group identity. These symbols carry deep meanings and are often used to convey membership in particular social, cultural, or political groups. For example, wearing a specific

color may signal allegiance to a sports team or a political party. Similarly, national flags represent collective identity and unity within a country.

These symbols influence how individuals perceive themselves and others. The meanings attached to these symbols are socially constructed and can shift depending on historical and cultural contexts. By participating in the rituals and practices associated with these symbols, individuals reaffirm their social identity and contribute to the maintenance of group cohesion.

2. Language and Social Identity

Language also plays a key role in the construction of social identity. The way people speak—their accents, dialects, and choice of words—can signal their membership in particular social groups. For instance, speaking in a particular dialect can indicate one's regional or ethnic identity. The use of certain words or phrases can also communicate social status or educational background.

Language not only reflects social identity but also helps to construct it. Through language, individuals negotiate their position within social hierarchies and engage in the process of identity work, constantly modifying their self-presentation in response to social interactions and expectations.

While George Herbert Mead's symbolic interactionism has contributed significantly to our understanding of identity formation and social behavior, it is not without its criticisms. Some scholars argue that Mead's focus on social interaction neglects the structural factors that influence behavior and identity. For instance, while Mead emphasizes the role of the individual in shaping their identity through interaction, he does not fully account for how broader social structures, such as class, race, or gender, can influence the process of identity formation.

Another critique of symbolic interactionism is its focus on micro-level interactions. While Mead's theory excels in explaining how individuals create meaning in their everyday lives, it is less equipped to address large-scale social issues such as inequality, power, and oppression. Critics argue that a more comprehensive theory of identity formation should consider both individual agency and structural constraints.

CONCLUSION

George Herbert Mead's symbolic interactionism offers a powerful framework for understanding how language, symbols, and social interaction shape individual and group identities. By emphasizing the importance of symbols and language in the development of self and social roles, Mead's theory highlights the dynamic and interactive nature of identity formation. Through ongoing social interactions, individuals negotiate their place within society and develop a sense of self that is continually shaped by the perspectives of others. Although Mead's theory has been critiqued for its lack of attention to structural factors, its insights into the importance

of social interaction and communication remain valuable for understanding identity and social behavior. In an increasingly complex and interconnected world, the role of language, symbols, and social interaction in identity formation continues to be a relevant and rich area of sociological inquiry.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. N. Shalin, "Norbert Elias, George Herbert Mead, and the promise of embodied sociology," *Am. Sociol.*, vol. 51, no. 4, pp. 526–544, 2020.
- [2] J. Martin, "The Possible in the Life and Work of George Herbert Mead," in *The Palgrave Encyclopedia of the Possible*, Springer, 2023, pp. 1199–1206.
- [3] R. Anderson *et al.*, "MFEM: A modular finite element methods library," *Comput. Math. with Appl.*, vol. 81, pp. 42–74, 2021.
- [4] R. T. Serpe, R. Stryker, and B. Powell, *Structural symbolic interaction and identity theory: The Indiana school and beyond.* Springer, 2020.
- [5] P. M. Burbank and D. C. Martins, "Critical interactionism: A theoretical bridge for understanding complex human conditions," *Crit. Cult. Interact.*, pp. 79–98, 2019.