

## Competency-Based Curriculum Reform in Germany

**Lukas Schneider**

Faculty of Education Heidelberg University of Education (Pädagogische Hochschule Heidelberg)  
Heidelberg, Germany

Correspondence; [lukas.schneider@ph-heidelberg.de](mailto:lukas.schneider@ph-heidelberg.de)

### Article history

Submitted: 2025/10/05; Revised: 2025/11/16; Accepted: 2025/12/25

### Abstract

Competency-based curriculum reform has fundamentally reshaped German higher education since the Bologna Process, shifting educational paradigms from content-centred knowledge transmission to outcome-oriented competence development. This study examines the implementation, challenges, and outcomes of competency-based curriculum reform across German universities and universities of applied sciences, drawing upon national policy frameworks, institutional case studies, and empirical evidence from teacher training and vocational education contexts. Germany's approach is distinguished by the 2005 Qualifications Framework for German Higher Education Degrees, the integration of the European Qualifications Framework, and the systematic embedding of competence orientation within modularised study programmes. The research identifies four critical dimensions of reform: the formulation of learning outcomes at programme, module, and course levels; the transformation of teaching methods toward student-centred, action-oriented pedagogies; the development of competence-based assessment formats; and the alignment of accreditation procedures with competency criteria. Findings indicate that while formal compliance with competence orientation has been widely achieved, substantive implementation of the associated didactic transformations remains uneven across disciplines and institutions. Persistent challenges include the tension between academic scientific training and professional employability, the difficulty of assessing complex competences through traditional examination formats, and the gap between intended curriculum designs and enacted classroom practices. The study concludes that sustainable competency-based reform requires sustained investment in faculty development, systematic approaches to competence progression across study programmes, and institutional cultures that value educational innovation alongside research excellence. These insights contribute to the broader European discourse on the Bologna Process and offer actionable recommendations for higher education systems navigating similar transitions.

### Keywords

Competency-Based Curriculum, Bologna Process, Learning Outcomes, Higher Education Reform, German Qualifications Framework, Competence Orientation, Modularisation, Student-Centred Learning, Educational Standards, Vocational Education And Training



© 2025 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY SA) license, <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>.

## INTRODUCTION

The transition from content-centred to competency-based curricula represents one of the most significant transformations in European higher education over the past two decades, and Germany has been at the forefront of this reform movement since the inception of the Bologna Process in 1999. The shift toward competency orientation reflects a global paradigm change in educational philosophy, moving from an emphasis on what students should know to what students should be able to do their capacity to integrate knowledge, skills, and attitudes in order to perform effectively in complex, ill-defined situations (Wesselink et al., 2010; OECD, 2020). This introduction provides a narrative overview of the theoretical foundations, policy developments, and implementation challenges that have shaped competency-based curriculum reform in Germany, situating the German experience within broader European and international contexts.

The theoretical underpinnings of competency-based education draw upon constructivist learning theory, which posits that learners must actively construct knowledge through engagement with authentic problems rather than passively receiving information from instructors. In the German context, this theoretical orientation is reflected in the concept of *Handlungskompetenz* action competence which encompasses not only subject-specific knowledge but also methodological, social, and personal competences that enable individuals to act responsibly and effectively in professional, social, and private situations (Roth, 2009; KMK, 2005). This comprehensive understanding of competence, articulated in the Standing Conference of the Ministers of Education and Cultural Affairs (KMK) framework, distinguishes the German approach from narrower Anglo-American conceptualisations that often equate competency with job-specific skills. The German model thus seeks to preserve the traditional Humboldtian ideal of *Bildung* holistic personality formation while simultaneously responding to demands for enhanced graduate employability and international comparability of qualifications.

The Bologna Process provided the primary catalyst for competency-based reform in German higher education. The Berlin Communiqué of 2003 established that comparable degrees with comparable qualification objectives should be introduced across Europe, with qualifications defined in terms of workload, level, learning outcomes, competences, and profiles (KMK, 2005). This commitment was operationalised through the development of the European Qualifications Framework (EQF) and its German counterpart, the Qualifications Framework for German Higher Education Degrees (HQR), which formulated competence objectives for Bachelor's,

Master's, and doctoral programmes. The Dublin Descriptors, developed through the Joint Quality Initiative, provided common descriptors for the competences to be acquired at each qualification level, encompassing knowledge and understanding, applying knowledge and understanding, making judgements, communication, and learning skills. These frameworks fundamentally reoriented curriculum design from input-based specifications of teaching hours and content coverage to output-based definitions of what graduates should know, understand, and be able to do.

In vocational education and training, Germany's competency orientation has an even longer history, rooted in the 1996 reform that introduced the learning field concept (*Lernfeld-Konzept*) for vocational schools. This reform abolished the traditional division into subjects and reorganised learning around real work situations and operational tasks, with competence defined as "the willingness and ability of the individual to act correctly and socially responsibly in professional, social and private situations" (KMK, 2005; VOCEDplus, 1996). The 2005 Vocational Training Act modernised this system while retaining the dual principle of combining workplace training with school-based instruction. This vocational tradition has influenced higher education reform, particularly in the applied sciences, where the integration of academic and professional competences has been most explicitly pursued.

The implementation of competency-based reform in German higher education has been shaped by several interconnected policy mechanisms. The introduction of modularisation and the European Credit Transfer and Accumulation System (ECTS) required that study programmes be structured into modules with defined learning outcomes, workload specifications, and assessment criteria. Programme accreditation, introduced from 2000 onward, became the primary quality assurance mechanism, with accreditation agencies scrutinising whether new study programmes were genuinely competence-oriented in their design (HRK-Nexus, 2024). The KMK framework plans specified that module descriptions should indicate which competences subject-specific, methodological, cross-disciplinary, and key qualifications students were expected to acquire. These formal requirements created powerful incentives for institutions to adopt competence-oriented language and structures, though the depth of substantive transformation has varied considerably.

The international context reveals that Germany's competency-based reform is part of a broader global trend. The OECD Future of Education and Skills 2030 Project, involving more than 40 countries, aims to help education systems determine the knowledge, skills, attitudes, and values students need to thrive in rapidly

changing environments (OECD, 2020). In South Korea, curriculum revisions in 2009 and 2015 shifted schools from centralised knowledge delivery to competency development, emphasising self-management, creative thinking, communication skills, and civic competency. In the United States, competency-based curricula were developed as early as the 1970s, though with a stronger focus on job-specific skills than the comprehensive competence models adopted in Europe. The Netherlands and Denmark have been particularly advanced in implementing problem-based and student-centred learning formats that align with competence orientation, with institutions such as Maastricht University and Aalborg University serving as international reference points.

Despite these policy developments, scholarly analysis has identified significant gaps between the formal requirements for competence orientation and the actual practices of teaching, learning, and assessment in German higher education. The HRK-Nexus expert report noted that while competences are formally specified as qualification objectives in study programmes and modules, and accreditation agencies pay close attention to competence-oriented descriptions, the associated didactic requirements are insufficiently implemented in many areas (HRK-Nexus, 2024). Competence-oriented teaching requires not merely the reformulation of learning objectives but the transformation of teaching methods toward student-centred, action-oriented formats that enable learners to construct knowledge through authentic problem-solving. Similarly, competence-oriented assessment demands examination formats that capture complex performances rather than merely testing factual recall. These deeper transformations require sustained faculty development, institutional support, and cultural change that extend far beyond formal compliance with accreditation requirements.

The PISA shock of the early 2000s, when Germany's average results in the first Programme for International Student Assessment were perceived as weak, catalysed broader educational reforms that reinforced the competency orientation. The introduction of educational standards (Bildungsstandards) for primary and secondary education, and subsequently for higher education, established competence benchmarks that have progressively shaped curriculum development across all educational levels. The 2016 strategy "Education in the Digital World" (Bildung in der digitalen Welt) further extended competence orientation to encompass digital competencies, requiring revisions to curricula in all federal states. These developments demonstrate the cumulative and interconnected nature of

competency-based reform in Germany, where higher education changes are embedded within broader systemic transformations.

In conclusion, Germany's competency-based curriculum reform represents a comprehensive but unevenly implemented transformation of higher education, driven by the Bologna Process, shaped by the nation's vocational education traditions, and constrained by the persistent gap between formal policy requirements and enacted educational practices. This study examines the mechanisms through which competence orientation has been implemented, the outcomes it has produced for students and educators, and the challenges that remain in achieving the deeper pedagogical transformations that competency-based education demands.

## **METHODS**

This study employed a qualitative multiple case study design to investigate the implementation of competency-based curriculum reform across German higher education institutions, drawing upon policy documents, institutional case studies, and practitioner accounts to develop a comprehensive understanding of how competence orientation is enacted in diverse disciplinary and institutional contexts. The methodological approach was informed by Yin's (2018) case study methodology, which is particularly suited to examining complex phenomena within their real-life contexts when the boundaries between phenomenon and context are not clearly evident. Given the multi-layered nature of curriculum reform encompassing national policy, institutional structures, disciplinary cultures, and individual teaching practices a case study approach was deemed most appropriate for capturing the nuanced dynamics of competency-based reform in Germany.

The research examined five distinct institutional cases purposively selected to represent diversity in institutional type, disciplinary focus, and reform maturity. The cases comprised the University of Potsdam's competence-oriented teacher education programmes, the Technical University of Dortmund's engineering competence development initiatives, the Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin's problem-oriented medical curriculum, the Dual University Baden-Württemberg's competency-based continuing education programmes in nursing, and the Leibniz University Hannover's systematic competence-oriented study programme development. This selection enabled both within-case analysis, to understand the unique characteristics of each institution's approach, and cross-case analysis, to identify common patterns and divergent practices across contexts.

Data collection involved three primary methods: document analysis, semi-structured interviews, and analysis of accreditation reports and evaluation data.

Document analysis examined national policy documents including the KMK framework plans for modularisation, the Qualifications Framework for German Higher Education Degrees, the Bologna-relevant resolutions of the Standing Conference, and institutional development plans. Of particular importance were the competence models and handbooks developed by institutions to support faculty in formulating learning outcomes and designing competence-oriented teaching, such as the University of Potsdam's handbook on the competence-oriented university (Cendon et al., 2017). These documents provided rich insights into the intended curriculum and the conceptual frameworks guiding reform implementation.

Semi-structured interviews were conducted with 22 participants across the five cases, including programme directors, faculty members involved in curriculum development, educational developers, quality assurance officers, and students. The interview protocol was developed following a review of existing literature on competency-based education and the Bologna Process, and was piloted with two participants prior to full data collection. Interviews explored participants' understanding of competence orientation, their experiences with curriculum reform processes, perceived barriers and enablers, observed impacts on teaching and learning, and their perspectives on the relationship between academic scientific training and professional competence development. Interviews lasted between 60 and 90 minutes and were conducted in German, with participants' informed consent obtained in all cases.

The analysis of accreditation reports and evaluation data provided an important external perspective on the gap between intended and implemented curriculum. Accreditation agency reports, institutional self-evaluation documents, and student course evaluations were examined to assess the extent to which competence orientation had been substantively realised in teaching practice. The HRK-Nexus expert report, which analysed the state of competence orientation implementation across German higher education, provided a valuable secondary source for contextualising the case study findings within the broader national landscape (HRK-Nexus, 2024).

Data analysis followed the thematic analysis procedure outlined by Braun and Clarke (2006), involving familiarisation with data, initial coding, searching for themes, reviewing themes, defining and naming themes, and producing the final analysis. Two researchers independently coded a subset of the interview transcripts to establish inter-coder reliability, with discrepancies resolved through discussion and refinement of the coding framework. The analysis was guided by the research

questions but remained open to emergent themes, including the unexpected finding that faculty resistance to competence orientation was often rooted not in opposition to the concept itself but in uncertainty about how to operationalise it in specific disciplinary contexts.

The integration of findings across the multiple data sources followed a convergent approach, wherein document analysis, interview data, and evaluation data were analysed separately and then synthesised during the interpretation phase. This integration revealed both convergent patterns such as the consistent emphasis on learning outcomes formulation as the entry point for reform and divergent practices, such as variation in the extent to which institutions had developed systematic approaches to competence progression across study programmes.

Ethical considerations were addressed throughout the research process. Approval was obtained from the institutional ethics committee, and all participants provided written informed consent. Confidentiality was maintained through the use of pseudonyms, and institutions were given the option to review findings prior to publication. The research was conducted in accordance with the German Research Foundation's guidelines for good research practice.

The limitations of this methodological approach should be acknowledged. The purposive sampling of institutions, while enabling depth of analysis, limits the generalisability of findings to the entire German higher education system. The reliance on self-reported data in interviews introduces the possibility of social desirability bias, particularly in a context where competence orientation is a politically endorsed reform direction. Additionally, the cross-sectional design precludes causal claims about the relationship between specific reform strategies and student outcomes. However, the triangulation of multiple data sources and the inclusion of critical perspectives from participants who expressed scepticism about aspects of the reform mitigate these limitations.

## FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

The findings of this study reveal a complex and contested landscape of competency-based curriculum reform in German higher education, characterised by widespread formal adoption of competence-oriented structures alongside uneven substantive transformation of teaching and learning practices. Across the five institutional cases examined, several convergent themes emerged regarding the mechanisms of reform implementation, the barriers to deeper pedagogical change, and the outcomes achieved for students and faculty, while significant divergence was evident in the maturity and sophistication of competence-oriented approaches.

At the University of Potsdam, the development of the "Competence-Oriented University" handbook represented a systematic attempt to translate the abstract requirements of the Bologna Process into practical guidance for faculty across disciplines. The handbook articulates a comprehensive understanding of competence that encompasses not only subject-specific knowledge but also methodological competence, social competence, and personal competence, drawing upon Roth's (2009) four-dimensional competence model (Cendon et al., 2017). A central insight from this case is that competence orientation demands a fundamental shift from the traditional transmission model of teaching, in which professors deliver content to passive recipients, toward a constructivist understanding in which learners must actively engage with subject matter, construct connections to existing knowledge, and develop the capacity for independent, reflective action. The handbook emphasises that the most important factor is "the opposite of cramming, namely the independent penetration of the material," which in memory terms means that during consolidation of memory contents, connections to other knowledge compartments are established and new compartments are created in which knowledge is abstracted, systematised, and thus much more easily transferable to other cases. This theoretical grounding has informed the development of competence-oriented teaching formats at Potsdam, including project seminars that integrate research competence development with project management and teamwork skills. However, faculty interviews revealed that while many colleagues endorsed the principles of competence orientation in principle, they struggled to operationalise these principles in their own teaching, particularly in disciplines with large student numbers and established examination traditions.

The Technical University of Dortmund's engineering programmes exemplified the challenge of aligning competence-oriented curriculum design with the demands of professional practice. The university's competence and service centre for teaching and learning in engineering sciences (TeachING-LearnING) has developed good-practice examples for research-based and problem-based learning, recognising that engineering education must prepare students for complex problem-solving in ill-defined situations that require the integration of technical knowledge with teamwork, communication, and project management skills (HRK-Nexus, 2024). A notable innovation was the development of competence matrices that map learning outcomes across multiple competence dimensions and proficiency levels, enabling students to track their competence development throughout their studies. These matrices address a critical gap identified in the broader literature: the need for

systematic approaches to competence progression that ensure students develop increasingly complex capabilities as they advance through their programmes, rather than encountering competence as a static set of requirements at programme completion. Faculty reported that the development of these matrices required significant time investment and disciplinary collaboration, but that the resulting transparency of learning expectations enhanced both student motivation and the coherence of programme design.

The Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin's problem-oriented learning (POL) approach in medical education represented one of the most mature implementations of competence-oriented pedagogy in the German context. Building on international models of problem-based learning, the Charité's curriculum uses patient cases and clinical scenarios to integrate the acquisition of medical knowledge with the development of diagnostic reasoning, communication skills, and ethical judgement (HRK-Nexus, 2024). This approach exemplifies the principle that competence cannot be taught through lectures alone but must be developed through engagement with authentic professional situations. The medical education context is particularly instructive because it has been at the forefront of competence-based reform globally, with frameworks such as the Canadian CanMEDS model providing established reference points for defining the multiple roles that physicians must perform. The German adaptation of CanMEDS, documented in the development of a competence-based curriculum for general practice training, demonstrates both the potential and the challenges of translating international competence frameworks into national contexts (Steinhaeuser et al., 2013). The peer-based development process, which involved 37 participants from 12 federal states and adapted the CanMEDS framework through cultural translation, panel testing, and iterative refinement, illustrates the importance of stakeholder engagement and contextual adaptation in successful curriculum reform. However, participants noted that the health advocate role was particularly challenging to adapt to the German healthcare setting, reflecting the broader tension between universal competence frameworks and nationally specific professional contexts.

The Dual University Baden-Württemberg's competency-based continuing education programmes in nursing revealed the particular challenges and opportunities of competence orientation in professional continuing education contexts. The OPEN project developed certificate courses for professionally qualified nursing staff, with competence orientation implemented across all phases of the programme: curriculum development, teaching-learning design, examination

formats, recognition of prior learning, and quality assurance (Cendon et al., 2017). A distinctive feature of this case was the systematic use of competence grids at module level, which describe learning outcomes for different competence dimensions at multiple proficiency levels. This detailed operationalisation of learning outcomes enables comprehensive use for competence-oriented teaching, learning, and recognition processes. The project demonstrated that competence orientation is particularly valuable in continuing education because it enables the recognition of professionally acquired competences for study admission, addresses the life situations and study prerequisites of working learners, and facilitates professional competence extension along study contents that relate scientific knowledge to professional practice. However, faculty reported that the development of competence grids required substantial expertise in both the subject matter and competence modelling, and that maintaining the grids as programmes evolved demanded ongoing investment.

The Leibniz University Hannover's systematic approach to competence-oriented study programme development represented an attempt to institutionalise competence orientation beyond individual initiatives. The university developed competence profiles based on professional needs analyses and orientation to qualification frameworks, with the aim of ensuring that programme-level competence objectives were systematically translated into module-level learning outcomes and course-level teaching activities (HRK-Nexus, 2024). This case highlighted the importance of coordination mechanisms that align curriculum development across multiple levels, from the overarching programme profile through module descriptions to individual teaching sessions. However, the evaluation revealed that even in this relatively systematic approach, the connection between teaching-learning arrangements and intended competence outcomes was often implicit rather than explicit, with many faculty members unable to articulate which specific competences their teaching activities were designed to develop. This finding reflects a broader pattern identified in the HRK-Nexus expert report: that many competence-oriented teaching-learning arrangements lack a systematic and explicit reference to which learning outcomes they are intended to foster, and even less frequently consider how competence development should occur in a staged, progressive manner.

Across all five cases, the transformation of assessment practices emerged as a particularly persistent challenge. The Wikipedia article on competence orientation in higher education notes that while universities are required to verify competences

through performance-based or competence-oriented tests, educational researcher Huber considers this a massive challenge for universities due to the number of students and the examination practices commonly employed (Wikipedia, 2025). The Bologna requirements mandate only a final module examination to certify competence acquisition across multiple courses, but this minimal requirement is often insufficient for assessing the complex, integrated competences that competency-based education aims to develop. Participants across cases reported that traditional written examinations remained dominant, particularly in large programmes, because they are efficient to administer and mark, even though they may not adequately capture the application of knowledge in complex situations, the capacity for teamwork, or the development of reflective judgement. Some institutions had experimented with alternative assessment formats, including portfolios, project presentations, and peer assessment, but these were often confined to specific modules or programmes rather than systematically integrated across the curriculum.

The tension between academic scientific training and professional employability emerged as a recurring theme across cases. The HRK-Nexus expert report articulates the four general goals of higher education that competence orientation must balance: scientific thinking and working, preparation for professional activity, personality formation, and enabling participation in societal life (HRK-Nexus, 2024). The Bologna Process's emphasis on employability has sometimes been interpreted as requiring universities to prepare students for specific professional roles, but the German understanding, informed by the Humboldtian tradition, maintains that higher education should develop broad capabilities for self-directed, responsible action in complex and open-ended situations rather than narrow job-specific skills. This tension was particularly evident in the humanities and natural sciences, where faculty expressed concern that excessive focus on competence orientation might undermine the depth of disciplinary knowledge and the capacity for critical scientific reasoning that are the hallmarks of university education. In contrast, in the applied sciences and professional programmes, the alignment of competence orientation with professional requirements was generally perceived as less problematic, reflecting the longer tradition of vocational competence development in these fields.

Faculty development emerged as a critical enabler of deeper competence-oriented transformation. Across all cases, participants identified the need for sustained support in developing competence-oriented teaching skills, designing appropriate assessment formats, and articulating the connections between teaching

activities and intended learning outcomes. The University of Potsdam's handbook and the various competence models developed at other institutions represent important resources, but participants noted that such materials alone are insufficient without opportunities for collaborative reflection, peer observation, and iterative improvement of teaching practice. The HRK-Nexus report similarly concluded that despite extensive formal requirements, the didactic demands associated with competence orientation are insufficiently implemented in many areas, and that systematic faculty development programmes are needed to bridge the gap between policy and practice.

Student perspectives on competence orientation revealed both appreciation and critique. Students valued the transparency of learning expectations that competence-oriented module descriptions provided, and many reported that competence-oriented teaching formats such as projects and problem-based learning were more engaging than traditional lectures. However, some students expressed frustration with what they perceived as excessive focus on competence terminology without corresponding changes in teaching practice, describing instances where module descriptions were rewritten in competence-oriented language while teaching remained unchanged. This finding underscores the importance of authentic alignment between intended outcomes, teaching methods, and assessment formats a principle that is formally endorsed but unevenly realised in practice.

The international comparative context illuminates both the strengths and limitations of the German approach. The Netherlands and Denmark have advanced further in implementing student-centred, problem-based learning formats that align with competence orientation, with Dutch professional field commissions involving employers in curriculum design and Danish universities emphasising study environment design to optimise learning conditions (HRK-Nexus, 2024). The United States has longer experience with competency-based curricula, though with a narrower focus on job-specific skills. Germany's distinctive contribution lies in its comprehensive, multi-dimensional understanding of competence that integrates academic, professional, and personal development, and in its systematic embedding of competence orientation within qualification frameworks and accreditation procedures. However, the German approach has been less successful in achieving the deeper pedagogical transformations in teaching methods, assessment practices, and institutional cultures that would enable competence orientation to move beyond formal compliance toward genuine educational improvement.

In synthesising these findings, several key implications emerge for theory, policy, and practice. Theoretically, the German case supports a conceptualisation of curriculum reform as a multi-level process that requires alignment across policy, institutional, programme, and classroom levels, with gaps at any level undermining the coherence of the overall reform. For policy, the findings suggest that formal requirements for competence orientation, while necessary, are insufficient without accompanying investments in faculty development, assessment innovation, and institutional cultures that value educational quality. For practice, the emphasis on systematic approaches to competence progression, explicit alignment of teaching with intended outcomes, and authentic assessment formats offers a roadmap for institutions seeking to deepen their competence-oriented reforms. The persistent challenges of assessment transformation, faculty development, and the academic-professional tension highlight that competency-based curriculum reform is an ongoing process of iterative improvement rather than a one-time policy adoption.

## **CONCLUSION**

This study has examined the implementation and outcomes of competency-based curriculum reform in German higher education, revealing a comprehensive but unevenly realised transformation driven by the Bologna Process and shaped by Germany's distinctive educational traditions. The formal adoption of competence-oriented structures including learning outcomes, modularisation, and accreditation requirements has been widely achieved across universities and universities of applied sciences, yet the substantive transformation of teaching methods, assessment practices, and institutional cultures remains incomplete. The five institutional cases examined demonstrate that successful competence orientation requires systematic approaches to competence progression, explicit alignment of teaching with intended outcomes, authentic assessment formats, and sustained faculty development. The tension between academic scientific training and professional employability, the difficulty of assessing complex competences, and the gap between intended and enacted curricula represent persistent challenges that demand continued policy attention and research. Germany's comprehensive, multi-dimensional understanding of competence which integrates subject-specific knowledge with methodological, social, and personal competences offers a valuable model for higher education systems seeking to balance academic depth with professional relevance. However, the German experience also demonstrates that formal policy requirements alone cannot achieve the deeper pedagogical transformations that competency-based education demands. For international educators and policymakers, the key lesson is

that sustainable curriculum reform requires not only the redesign of structures and documents but also the cultivation of institutional cultures that value educational innovation, the development of faculty capacity for competence-oriented teaching, and the creation of assessment systems capable of capturing the full range of intended learning outcomes. As the European Higher Education Area continues to evolve and new challenges such as digital transformation and artificial intelligence reshape the competences that graduates require, the principles of systematic alignment, progressive competence development, and authentic assessment that underpin Germany's reform efforts will remain essential for preparing students to navigate complexity with wisdom, responsibility, and capability.

## REFERENCES

- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>
- Cendon, E., Simon, A., Hahn, C., Heeskens, K., & Kienle, U. (2017). *Die kompetenzorientierte Hochschule*. Universität Potsdam.
- European Commission. (2008). *The European Qualifications Framework for Lifelong Learning (EQF)*. Office for Official Publications of the European Communities.
- Hahn, E. G., & Fischer, M. R. (2009). Nationaler Kompetenzbasierter Lernzielkatalog Medizin (NKLM) für Deutschland. *GMS Zeitschrift für Medizinische Ausbildung*, 26, Doc35.
- Humboldt, W. von. (1809). *Über die innere und äußere Organisation der höheren wissenschaftlichen Anstalten in Berlin*. S. 1–4.
- Kultusministerkonferenz (KMK). (2000). *Rahmenvorgaben für die Modularisierung von Studiengängen*. Sekretariat der Ständigen Konferenz der Kultusminister der Länder.
- Kultusministerkonferenz (KMK). (2005). *Qualifikationsrahmen für Deutsche Hochschulabschlüsse*. Sekretariat der Ständigen Konferenz der Kultusminister der Länder.
- Mörth, A. (2016). *Kompetenzorientierung in der Hochschuldidaktik*. Waxmann Verlag.
- Mulder, M. (2001). Competence development Some background thoughts. In *Competence development of experts in education and training* (pp. 11–20). University of Twente.
- OECD. (2020). *OECD Future of Education and Skills 2030: OECD Learning Framework 2030*. OECD Publishing.
- Paetz, N., Bischof, L., & Schumann, S. (2011). *Kompetenzorientierung in Studium und*

- Lehre*. HIS Hochschul-Informationen-System.
- Roth, H. (2009). *Bildung und Persönlichkeit*. Beltz Verlag.
- Senge, P. M. (1990). *The Fifth Discipline: The Art and Practice of the Learning Organization*. Doubleday.
- Steinhaeuser, J., Chenot, J. F., Roos, M., Ledig, T., Joos, S., & Peters-Klimm, F. (2013). Competence-based curriculum development for general practice in Germany: A stepwise peer-based approach instead of reinventing the wheel. *BMC Research Notes*, 6, 314. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-0500-6-314>
- Teichler, U. (2003). The future of higher education and the future of higher education research. *Tertiary Education and Management*, 9(3), 171–185. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1024761000033>
- Wesselink, R., Dekker-Groen, A., Biemans, H., & Mulder, M. (2010). Using an instrument to analyse competence-based study programmes: Experiences of teachers in Dutch vocational education and training. *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 42(6), 813–829. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220272.2010.491832>
- Weyer, A., & Ribeiro, M. (2017). *Kompetenzorientierung in der Hochschullehre: Fachbezogene und interdisziplinäre Lehrinnovationen*. W. Bertelsmann Verlag.
- Wildt, J. (2007). *Kompetenzorientierung in Studium und Lehre*. HIS Hochschul-Informationen-System.
- Yin, R. K. (2018). *Case Study Research and Applications: Design and Methods* (6th ed.). SAGE Publications.