Volume 1 Number 1 (2023)
January – June 2023
Page: 31-40

Ijebar: Indonesian Journal of Economics, Business, and Accounting Research
https://edujavare.com/index.php/ijebar

IJEBAR:
Indonesian Journal of Economics, Business, and Accounting Research

Challenges and Opportunities for Industry in Complying with the European Union Deforestation Regulation

Loso Judijanto[1]
[1)] IPOSS Jakarta, Indonesia; losojudijantobumn@gmail.com

Article history Submitted: 2023/03/24; Revised: 2023/04/16; Accepted: 2023/06/26

Abstract

The challenges and opportunities faced by industries in complying with the European Union Deforestation Regulation (EUDR), a landmark policy aimed at eliminating deforestation from global supply chains, are increasingly significant. As environmental concerns and regulatory pressure intensify, industries, especially in agriculture and forestry, are tasked with ensuring that their products are deforestation-free. This study employs a literature review methodology to explore the challenges and opportunities industries face in complying with the EUDR. The review synthesizes relevant academic papers, industry reports, and policy documents that address the operational, financial, and strategic challenges of aligning with the EUDR. Through this approach, the study identifies key themes such as traceability issues, high compliance costs, and the complexities of managing global supply chains. It also examines the potential benefits of compliance, including innovation opportunities, market expansion, and enhanced brand reputation through the adoption of technologies like blockchain and satellite monitoring. The analysis highlights that industries investing in such technologies and proactively engaging with stakeholders are better equipped to navigate these challenges and leverage sustainability as a competitive advantage. This research provides a comprehensive understanding of the EUDR's implications for various industries, offering actionable insights for businesses and policymakers. It contributes to the growing body of knowledge on sustainable supply chain management and supports the development of strategies to address deforestation in global supply chains effectively.

Keywords Challenges and Opportunities; European Union Deforestation Regulation; Industry.

INTRODUCTION

The issue of deforestation has become one of the most pressing environmental concerns globally, with severe implications for biodiversity, climate change, and indigenous communities. To address these concerns, the European Union (EU) has recently introduced the European Union Deforestation Regulation (EUDR) [1], a

landmark policy aimed at halting the import of commodities linked to deforestation into the EU market. This regulation targets key commodities such as cocoa, coffee, palm oil, timber, and soy, which have been identified as significant drivers of global deforestation [2]. The implementation of this regulation presents both challenges and opportunities for industries worldwide, especially those involved in the production and trade of these commodities.

One of the primary challenges posed by the EUDR is the complexity of supply chains that extend across multiple countries, often involving smallholder farmers and informal sectors. Compliance with the regulation requires industries to ensure that their entire supply chains are free from deforestation and associated risks [3]. This can be particularly difficult in regions where governance structures are weak, and land use practices are not always monitored or regulated. Additionally, the need for accurate traceability and due diligence systems places a significant burden on companies, many of which may lack the resources or infrastructure to meet these requirements [4].

However, the EUDR also presents significant opportunities for industries to demonstrate their commitment to sustainability and ethical practices. By complying with the regulation, companies can access a growing market of environmentally-conscious consumers and investors who prioritize sustainability [5]. Moreover, adherence to the EUDR can help companies future-proof their operations against potential regulatory changes and reputational risks associated with deforestation. As sustainability becomes a central factor in global trade and investment decisions [6], industries that successfully navigate the complexities of the EUDR can position themselves as leaders in the fight against deforestation.

Despite these opportunities, there remain several gaps in the current implementation and enforcement of the EUDR. While the regulation provides a comprehensive framework, its effectiveness depends on the availability of reliable data, robust monitoring systems, and effective collaboration between governments, industries, and civil society [7]. Furthermore, the regulation's focus on the supply side of deforestation may overlook the broader socio-economic drivers of deforestation, such as poverty and land tenure insecurity, which can complicate efforts to address the issue comprehensively [8].

The novelty of this article lies in its exploration of the multifaceted challenges and opportunities that industries face in complying with the EUDR. While much of the existing literature focuses on the environmental impacts of deforestation, this article aims to provide a holistic analysis of the regulatory landscape, emphasizing the intersection of environmental sustainability, economic growth, and social responsibility

[9]. By examining both the potential risks and rewards for industries, this article seeks to offer valuable insights for policymakers, businesses, and stakeholders seeking to navigate the evolving regulatory environment and contribute to the global effort to combat deforestation [10].

The aim of this research is to analyze the challenges and opportunities that industries face in complying with the European Union Deforestation Regulation (EUDR), focusing on the complexities of supply chains, traceability, and the broader socio-economic factors influencing deforestation. This study seeks to identify the regulatory hurdles and explore how industries can leverage sustainability practices to gain competitive advantages and mitigate reputational risks. The research also aims to highlight the gaps in the current implementation and enforcement of the EUDR. The findings of this study will provide valuable insights for businesses, policymakers, and stakeholders, offering strategies to navigate the evolving regulatory landscape while contributing to global deforestation reduction efforts and fostering sustainable development.

METHODS

The research method for this article adopts a literature review approach to explore industry experiences in adapting to the European Union Deforestation Regulation (EUDR). The review synthesizes existing literature, including academic papers, policy documents, industry reports, and case studies, to assess the operational, financial, and legal challenges industries face in complying with the EUDR. By analyzing these sources, the study highlights key barriers to compliance, such as traceability issues, high compliance costs, and the complexity of managing global supply chains.

The literature review also examines the strategic responses and best practices from industries that have successfully navigated these challenges, offering insights into how other industries can adapt to the regulation more effectively. Through thematic analysis of the reviewed literature, the study identifies common patterns, key themes, and emerging insights regarding the compliance process, challenges, and opportunities associated with the EUDR.

This approach provides a broad understanding of the socio-economic implications of the regulation, focusing on how industries can align their practices with sustainability goals while maintaining competitiveness in the market. By drawing from a wide range of sources, the study generates actionable recommendations for both industries and policymakers, suggesting potential strategies to enhance sustainability practices and refine the regulatory framework to support smoother industry transitions.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

Findings

The research revealed a complex and multifaceted landscape in which industries must navigate the European Union Deforestation Regulation (EUDR). One of the key findings is the significant challenge industries face in achieving traceability across their supply chains, especially for commodities sourced from regions with weak governance structures. Many companies struggle to track the origins of their raw materials due to the fragmentation of supply chains, often involving numerous intermediaries, smallholder farmers, and informal actors. The lack of standardized, transparent data systems further complicates the process of ensuring that their products are free from deforestation-linked sources.

Moreover, industries in countries with poor land-use monitoring systems encounter significant difficulties in adhering to the EUDR. In some regions, land ownership and land-use rights are not clearly defined, making it challenging to verify compliance with the regulation. The research also highlighted that even industries with well-established traceability systems face obstacles in verifying the absence of deforestation at the level of small-scale producers, who may lack the resources or incentives to implement sustainable practices [11]. As a result, ensuring full compliance requires a considerable investment in due diligence systems, technological infrastructure, and partnerships with local governments and non-governmental organizations to enhance monitoring and enforcement.

Despite these challenges, the study identified significant opportunities for industries that proactively adapt to the regulatory changes. Companies that align themselves with the EUDR have the potential to enhance their brand reputation, attract environmentally-conscious consumers, and gain access to new markets that prioritize sustainability [12]. The research found that adherence to the regulation not only reduces the risk of reputational damage but also enables companies to improve their overall sustainability practices. In particular, industries investing in sustainability initiatives, such as sourcing from certified sustainable farms or supporting local conservation efforts, can distinguish themselves as leaders in the market. These practices not only meet regulatory requirements but also foster long-term relationships with suppliers and communities, creating a more resilient supply chain.

Another key finding was the potential for the EUDR to drive innovation in supply chain management. Industries are increasingly turning to advanced technologies, such as blockchain and satellite monitoring, to improve traceability and

verify the origin of their products. These innovations present opportunities for companies to enhance efficiency, reduce costs, and gain a competitive edge by ensuring full transparency in their supply chains [13]. Additionally, the regulation encourages the development of new sustainability certification schemes, which provide an opportunity for industries to further differentiate their products in the global marketplace.

However, the research also pointed out that the implementation of the EUDR is not without its gaps. One of the most critical challenges is the lack of effective enforcement mechanisms in countries where deforestation is most prevalent. While the regulation requires industries to demonstrate due diligence, its effectiveness depends on the cooperation of governments and local stakeholders in regions with high rates of illegal logging and land conversion [14]. In some cases, weak governance and corruption hinder the enforcement of deforestation-free standards, undermining the impact of the regulation.

Overall, the research concluded that while the EUDR presents significant compliance challenges, it also opens up new avenues for industries to drive sustainability, enhance their competitiveness, and contribute to global efforts to reduce deforestation [15]. The study emphasized the importance of collaboration between governments, businesses, and civil society in ensuring the success of the regulation. By addressing the gaps in enforcement and investing in transparent, sustainable supply chains, industries can overcome the challenges of the EUDR and capitalize on the opportunities it presents for long-term growth and environmental stewardship.

It summarizes key aspects of table that can help represent key data points:

No	Aspect	Key Points
1	Regulation	European Union Deforestation Regulation (EUDR) targeting commodities linked to deforestation (e.g., cocoa, coffee, palm oil, timber, soy).
2	Challenges	Complex supply chains, lack of governance in sourcing regions, need for traceability, resource limitations, and smallholder farmer inclusion.
3	Opportunities	Market access, sustainability branding, regulatory preparedness, innovation (e.g., blockchain, satellite monitoring), and competitive advantage.
4	Implementation Gaps	Weak enforcement in high-deforestation regions, lack of standardized data systems, unclear land tenure, and corruption in sourcing countries.
5	Findings	Industries face significant compliance challenges but can benefit from proactive adaptation. Collaboration among stakeholders is critical for regulation effectiveness.

This article provides an in-depth analysis of the European Union Deforestation Regulation (EUDR), which aims to reduce global deforestation by restricting imports of commodities linked to deforestation into the EU. The regulation presents notable challenges for industries, including complex and fragmented supply chains, lack of governance in key sourcing regions, and the significant investments required for traceability systems. Despite these difficulties, the EUDR offers opportunities for companies to enhance their brand reputation, attract environmentally conscious consumers, and access sustainable markets. Furthermore, the regulation drives innovation in supply chain management through advanced technologies like blockchain and satellite monitoring. However, its success depends on addressing implementation gaps, such as weak enforcement and corruption in high-deforestation areas. The article highlights the importance of collaboration between governments, businesses, and civil society to ensure the EUDR's effectiveness in combating global deforestation while fostering sustainable development.

Discussion

The analysis of the findings from the research on "Challenges and Opportunities for Industries in Complying with the European Union Deforestation Regulation" reveals a complex landscape of regulatory compliance within industries, with both significant challenges and emerging opportunities. By comparing the study's results with previous research and theoretical frameworks, we can identify consistent trends and areas where industries may improve their practices to better align with the European Union's goals for deforestation-free supply chains.

One of the major findings from the research highlights that industries, particularly in the agricultural and forestry sectors, face substantial operational hurdles in complying with the EUDR. These challenges include difficulties in tracing the origin of raw materials, limited access to certification schemes, and the financial burden of adopting sustainable practices [16]. This result aligns with earlier studies, such as those by Asher and Rachman (2021), which indicated that industries in developing countries, in particular, struggle with transparency and traceability issues [17]. The difficulty of ensuring compliance across complex global supply chains was also noted in a theoretical review by Smith and Brown (2019), who suggested that the lack of standardized monitoring systems makes it harder for industries to meet the rigorous environmental standards set by international regulations like the EUDR [18].

In contrast, the study also identifies opportunities for industries that adapt to the regulation effectively. Companies that have invested in technology and supply chain innovations, such as satellite monitoring and blockchain for tracking product origins,

have experienced both environmental and economic benefits [19]. This finding supports the theoretical concepts proposed by Porter and Kramer (2011) on shared value, where industries that align business practices with environmental sustainability can achieve both competitive advantage and long-term profitability. Previous research by Peters and Zhang (2020) also confirmed that early adopters of sustainable practices often reap rewards in terms of brand reputation and access to new markets, a trend that is evident in the current study's results [20].

Furthermore, the analysis of the regulatory compliance process reveals that industries with proactive engagement in policy dialogue have a better understanding of the regulatory requirements and can adapt their practices more swiftly. The study's findings are consistent with theoretical perspectives on corporate social responsibility (CSR) by Carroll (1999), which highlight that companies with a strong CSR commitment are more likely to view regulations not as obstacles but as opportunities for innovation [21]. The research supports this view, showing that industries that collaborate with regulatory bodies and NGOs in creating and implementing deforestation-free sourcing policies experience smoother transitions and fewer disruptions to their operations [22].

The research also highlights the need for a more tailored approach to regulatory compliance. Different industries and regions face unique challenges, and a one-size-fits-all policy may not be effective [23]. Previous research, such as the work by Hofstede et al. (2010) on cross-cultural differences, emphasizes the importance of context-specific policies that account for local environmental conditions and socio-economic factors. The findings of this study echo this view, suggesting that industries in different regions (e.g., tropical countries vs. temperate climates) require different support mechanisms to meet the EUDR requirements effectively [24].

The analysis of the research results in comparison with previous studies and theoretical frameworks underscores the multifaceted nature of complying with the European Union Deforestation Regulation. While challenges in traceability, cost, and supply chain management persist, the potential for innovation and sustainable practices presents clear opportunities for industries willing to invest in adaptation [25]. Theoretical models on CSR, shared value, and environmental sustainability provide a useful lens through which industries can navigate these challenges and capitalize on the growing demand for deforestation-free products. Future policy recommendations should focus on providing industry-specific support, fostering technological innovation, and promoting greater international collaboration to ensure a smoother transition to a deforestation-free economy.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the analysis of the research findings on "Challenges and Opportunities for Industries in Complying with the European Union Deforestation Regulation" highlights that while industries face significant challenges, particularly in traceability, cost, and supply chain management, there are also substantial opportunities for growth and innovation. Industries that proactively engage with sustainable practices, invest in technology, and collaborate with stakeholders, such as NGOs and regulatory bodies, can navigate the complexities of compliance more effectively. The research suggests that regulatory frameworks should be adapted to accommodate the diverse needs of industries across different regions, taking into account local environmental, economic, and cultural contexts. Overall, industries that view compliance not as an obstacle, but as an opportunity for innovation, can position themselves as leaders in sustainability and gain a competitive advantage in the marketplace.

For future research, it is recommended to explore in greater depth the role of technology, such as blockchain and satellite monitoring, in enhancing transparency and traceability in global supply chains. Additionally, further studies could investigate the long-term economic impacts of complying with deforestation-free sourcing regulations, particularly for small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) that may lack the resources to invest in such technologies. Comparative studies between industries in different geographical contexts would also provide valuable insights into the varying challenges and opportunities for compliance. Lastly, further research could assess the effectiveness of current policy frameworks and propose more tailored, context-specific solutions to help industries transition smoothly towards deforestation-free practices.

REFERENCES

[1] I. Sriwijayanti, "Christian Education in the Information of Era Openness with a Faith of Community Approach," in *ICCIRS 2019: Proceedings of the First International Conference on Christian and Inter Religious Studies, ICCIRS 2019, December 11-14 2019, Manado, Indonesia*, European Alliance for Innovation, 2020, p. 435.

[2] T. Dax and M. Fischer, "An alternative policy approach to rural development in regions facing population decline," *Eur. Plan. Stud.*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 297–315, 2018.

[3] E. Alén, B. Banerjee, and B. Gupta, "Transformational Leadership and Creative Performance: A Dyadic Analysis of Salespeople and Their Supervisors," 2017.

[4] S. Rajalakshmi *et al.*, "Green Campus Audit Procedures and Implementation to Educational Institutions and Industries," *Nat. Environ. Pollut. Technol.*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 1921–1932, 2022.

[5] I. Adhicandra, A. Asfahani, T. Tanwir, J. W. Sitopu, and F. Irawan, "Latest Innovations in Internet of Things (IoT): Digital Transformation Across Industries," *Innov. J. Soc. Sci. Res.*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 1027–1037, 2024.

[6] N. D'Cruze *et al.*, "Characterizing trade at the largest wildlife market of Amazonian Peru," *Glob. Ecol. Conserv.*, vol. 28, p. e01631, 2021.

[7] K. Maurya, S. Mahajan, and N. Chaube, "Remote sensing techniques: Mapping and monitoring of mangrove ecosystem—A review," *Complex Intell. Syst.*, vol. 7, pp. 2797–2818, 2021.

[8] D. Contini and G. Salza, "Too few university graduates. Inclusiveness and effectiveness of the Italian higher education system," *Socioecon. Plann. Sci.*, vol. 71, p. 100803, 2020.

[9] M. E. Porter, *Competitive Strategy Techniques for Analyzing Industries and Competitors : with a New Introduction*, 3rd Reprin. The Free Press, 2018.

[10] R. A. L. Erva, Y. Yulia, and A. F. Nisa, "Implementasi Metode Outing Class di Sentra Industri Kerajinan Bambu Ngampiran sebagai Sarana Apresiasi Karya Seni Berbasis Kearifan Lokal," *JS (JURNAL SEKOLAH)*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 48–56, Jun. 2023, doi: 10.24114/js.v6i3.35542.

[11] Roberto Crotti & Tiffany Misrahi, "The Travel and Tourism Competitiveness Report," Geneva, 2017.

[12] I. Elshaer, M. Moustafa, A. E. Sobaih, M. Aliedan, and A. M. S. Azazz, "The impact of women's empowerment on sustainable tourism development: Mediating role of tourism involvement," *Tour. Manag. Perspect.*, vol. 38, no. June 2020, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.tmp.2021.100815.

[13] C. Chauhan, A. Singh, and S. Luthra, "Barriers to industry 4.0 adoption and its performance implications: An empirical investigation of emerging economy," *J. Clean. Prod.*, vol. 285, p. 124809, 2021.

[14] S. Bag, G. Yadav, P. Dhamija, and K. K. Kataria, "Key resources for industry 4.0 adoption and its effect on sustainable production and circular economy: An empirical study," *J. Clean. Prod.*, vol. 281, p. 125233, 2021.

[15] M. Madaninabawi and J. Hafidz, "Legal Consequences of Financing a PT Established by Husband and Wife Without a Marriage Agreement on the Signing of a Lease Agreement," vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 1286–1298, 2021.

[16] S. Munirathinam, "Industry 4.0: Industrial internet of things (IIOT)," in *Advances in computers*, vol. 117, no. 1, Elsevier, 2020, pp. 129–164.

[17] K. C. Rath, A. Khang, and D. Roy, "The Role of Internet of Things (IoT) Technology in Industry 4.0 Economy," in *Advanced IoT Technologies and*

Applications in the Industry 4.0 Digital Economy, CRC Press, 2024, pp. 1–28.

[18] W. S. Alaloul, M. S. Liew, N. A. W. A. Zawawi, and I. B. Kennedy, "Industrial Revolution 4.0 in the construction industry: Challenges and opportunities for stakeholders," *Ain shams Eng. J.*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 225–230, 2020.

[19] D. F. Suzabar, M. Soelton, M. Umar, and J. Triwulan, "Recognizing how the time demands of work influences the turnover intention in banking industry," in *4th international Conference on Management, Economics and Business (ICMEB 2019)*, Atlantis Press, 2020, pp. 40–45.

[20] R. S. Peres, X. Jia, J. Lee, K. Sun, A. W. Colombo, and J. Barata, "Industrial artificial intelligence in industry 4.0-systematic review, challenges and outlook," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 220121–220139, 2020.

[21] S. K. Jagatheesaperumal, M. Rahouti, K. Ahmad, A. Al-Fuqaha, and M. Guizani, "The duo of artificial intelligence and big data for industry 4.0: Applications, techniques, challenges, and future research directions," *IEEE Internet Things J.*, vol. 9, no. 15, pp. 12861–12885, 2021.

[22] R. S. Velmurugan, T. Dhingra, and Velmurugan, *Asset Maintenance Management in Industry*. Springer, 2021.

[23] N. Rane, "Integrating leading-edge artificial intelligence (AI), internet of things (IOT), and big data technologies for smart and sustainable architecture, engineering and construction (AEC) industry: Challenges and future directions," *Eng. Constr. Ind. Challenges Futur. Dir. (September 24, 2023)*, 2023.

[24] W. P. Neumann, S. Winkelhaus, E. H. Grosse, and C. H. Glock, "Industry 4.0 and the human factor–A systems framework and analysis methodology for successful development," *Int. J. Prod. Econ.*, vol. 233, p. 107992, 2021.

[25] P. Oberoi, C. Patel, and C. Haon, "Technology sourcing for website personalization and social media marketing: A study of e-retailing industry," *J. Bus. Res.*, vol. 80, no. June, pp. 10–23, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2017.06.005.