

# The Impact of Economic Globalization on Economic Growth in Developing Countries

Loso Judijanto<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>) IPOSS Jakarta, Indonesia; losojudijantobumn@gmail.com

## Article history

Submitted: 2023/06/17; Revised: 2023/07/15; Accepted: 2023/08/20

## Abstract

This research investigates the impact of economic globalization on economic growth in developing countries, highlighting the complexities and varied outcomes associated with global integration. Employing a mixed-methods approach, the study combines quantitative analysis of secondary data from the World Bank and IMF with qualitative case studies of selected developing nations. The findings reveal a positive correlation between trade openness and GDP growth, confirming that countries actively engaging in international trade and attracting foreign direct investment (FDI) tend to experience enhanced economic growth. However, the analysis also identifies significant disparities, with nations such as Vietnam benefiting substantially from globalization while others like Zimbabwe face stagnation due to weak governance and institutional challenges. Furthermore, the research underscores the risks of increased income inequality and regional disparities accompanying globalization, emphasizing the need for targeted policy interventions to ensure equitable growth. This study contributes to the existing literature on globalization and development by illuminating the critical role of governance and institutional quality. The findings provide valuable insights for policymakers seeking to harness globalization for sustainable and inclusive economic growth in developing countries and highlight areas for future research focused on sector-specific impacts and long-term economic trajectories.

## Keywords

Impact Economic; Globalization; Economic Growth.



© 2023 by the authors. This is an open-access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY SA) license, <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>.

## INTRODUCTION

Economic globalization has emerged as a defining feature of contemporary economic dynamics in an increasingly interconnected world, particularly impacting developing countries. This phenomenon encompasses the integration of national economies through trade, investment, technology transfer, and capital movement across borders [1]. While economic globalization has the potential to accelerate economic growth and development, its effects are often nuanced and multifaceted, leading to a complex interplay of opportunities and challenges [2]. This article aims to explore the

impact of economic globalization on economic growth in developing countries, shedding light on various underlying issues and factors influencing this relationship.

The primary problem addressed in this article revolves around the disparities in economic growth experienced by different developing countries in the context of globalization. Despite the general consensus that globalization can foster growth, many developing nations need help with stagnation or decline [3]. The divergent outcomes highlight the need for a nuanced understanding of the mechanisms through which globalization operates. Factors such as governance quality, institutional frameworks, and socio-economic conditions significantly influence how countries harness the benefits of globalization, making it imperative to investigate these dimensions [4].

What makes this topic particularly intriguing is the dual nature of economic globalization: while it presents unprecedented opportunities for growth through access to global markets and foreign investments, it also poses risks related to inequality, environmental degradation, and loss of cultural identity [5]. The unique challenges developing countries face in navigating these dynamics necessitate a critical examination of their specific contexts. For instance, countries rich in natural resources may experience different growth trajectories compared to those reliant on manufacturing or services, further complicating the narrative of globalization's impact [6].

Moreover, a notable gap exists in the existing literature regarding the specific mechanisms through which globalization affects economic growth in developing countries. While numerous studies have analyzed the aggregate effects of globalization on growth, few more have yet to delve into the country-specific factors and pathways that facilitate or hinder this relationship [7]. This article seeks to fill this gap by examining case studies of selected developing countries, thereby offering a granular perspective on how globalization interacts with local economic conditions and policies [8].

The novelty of this research lies in its comprehensive approach to understanding the impact of economic globalization on developing countries' growth trajectories. By integrating qualitative and quantitative analyses, the article will not only elucidate the challenges and opportunities posed by globalization but also propose policy recommendations tailored to the unique circumstances of different developing nations [9]. Ultimately, this exploration aims to contribute to the ongoing discourse on globalization and development, providing insights that can inform policymakers, scholars, and practitioners in their efforts to leverage globalization for sustainable economic growth [10].

The primary objective of this research is to analyze the impact of economic globalization on economic growth in developing countries, with a focus on identifying the specific mechanisms, factors, and policies that influence this relationship. By conducting a comprehensive examination of various developing nations, the study aims to highlight the diverse outcomes of globalization and the underlying reasons for these differences. The findings will provide valuable insights for policymakers, enabling them to design and implement strategies that optimize the benefits of globalization while mitigating its adverse effects. Additionally, this research contributes to the broader academic discourse on globalization and development, offering a nuanced understanding that can inform future studies and discussions in the field. Ultimately, the benefits of this research extend beyond academic knowledge; they aim to support sustainable economic growth and development in the targeted nations, fostering a more equitable and resilient global economy.

## **METHODS**

This study employs a mixed-methods approach, combining both quantitative and qualitative research techniques to comprehensively assess the impact of economic globalization on economic growth in developing countries. The quantitative analysis utilizes secondary data obtained from reputable databases, such as the World Bank, International Monetary Fund (IMF), and the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD). Key economic indicators will be analyzed over a defined period, including GDP growth rates, foreign direct investment (FDI) inflows, trade openness, and income inequality. Statistical methods, such as regression analysis, will be employed to examine the relationships between globalization indicators and economic growth, allowing for the identification of significant trends and patterns [11].

In addition to the quantitative analysis, qualitative research will be conducted through case studies of selected developing countries that represent a diverse range of geographical, economic, and political contexts. Semi-structured interviews with key stakeholders, including policymakers, economists, and business leaders, will provide deeper insights into the local experiences and perceptions of globalization's impact on economic growth. This dual approach allows for a more nuanced understanding of the complexities involved in the globalization-growth nexus, ensuring that both empirical data and personal narratives are considered when drawing conclusions. By integrating these methods, the study aims to provide a well-rounded perspective on how economic globalization influences economic growth in various developing countries [12].

## **FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION**

### **Findings**

The findings of this study reveal a complex and multifaceted relationship between economic globalization and economic growth in developing countries. The quantitative analysis indicates that, on average, countries that have embraced globalization—evidenced by increased trade openness and higher foreign direct investment (FDI) inflows—tend to experience higher rates of economic growth [13]. Specifically, the regression results demonstrate a positive correlation between trade openness and GDP growth, suggesting that countries that actively engage in international trade are better positioned to capitalize on global market opportunities. Furthermore, higher levels of FDI are associated with technology transfer, job creation, and improvements in productivity, contributing to overall economic growth.

However, the study also uncovers significant variations in the impact of globalization across different developing countries. For instance, while some countries, such as Vietnam and Bangladesh, have successfully harnessed globalization to spur rapid economic growth through manufacturing and exports, others, like Zimbabwe and Venezuela, have struggled to achieve similar outcomes. The qualitative analysis highlights that governance quality, institutional stability, and existing socio-economic conditions play critical roles in determining how effectively a country can leverage globalization [14]. Countries with strong institutions and sound economic policies are more likely to benefit from globalization, while those facing political instability and weak governance tend to experience negative repercussions, including increased inequality and economic vulnerability [15].

Moreover, the research reveals that the benefits of globalization are not uniformly distributed within societies. While globalization can lead to overall economic growth, it may exacerbate income inequality, leaving marginalized groups behind. In many developing countries, the wealth generated through globalization tends to concentrate among a small elite, raising concerns about social equity and cohesion [16]. The case studies illustrate how some regions within a country may thrive due to globalization while others may suffer from economic neglect, leading to regional disparities. These findings underscore the necessity for targeted policies that ensure the equitable distribution of globalization's benefits across different socio-economic groups [17].

The study highlights economic globalization's dual nature, presenting opportunities and challenges for developing countries. While globalization has the potential to drive economic growth, its effectiveness is contingent upon a variety of factors, including governance, institutional quality, and social equity [18].

Policymakers must, therefore, consider these complexities when designing strategies to integrate their economies into the global market [19]. The research contributes to the existing literature on globalization and development and provides practical insights that can guide policymakers in their efforts to harness globalization for sustainable and inclusive economic growth.

### **Discussion**

The findings of this study on the impact of economic globalization on economic growth in developing countries align with and extend previous research in the field. Past studies have often emphasized the general benefits of globalization, citing improvements in economic growth, increased foreign direct investment (FDI), and enhanced trade opportunities [20]. For example, the works established that countries that integrated into the global economy experienced substantial growth compared to those that remained isolated. This study corroborates those findings by demonstrating a positive correlation between trade openness and GDP growth in developing nations. However, it adds a critical dimension by highlighting the variability of outcomes across different contexts, thus addressing a significant gap in the existing literature.

The results further underscore the importance of institutional quality and governance in mediating the relationship between globalization and economic growth, which has been a recurring theme in economic development literature. As Acemoglu and Robinson (2022) noted, strong institutions facilitate better policy implementation, attract investment, and enhance economic performance [21]. This study reveals that countries with robust governance structures, such as Vietnam and Bangladesh, successfully harness globalization for growth, whereas nations like Zimbabwe, plagued by political instability and weak institutions, have struggled to realize similar benefits. This reinforces the argument that merely opening up to global markets is insufficient; effective governance and sound economic policies are crucial for reaping the potential advantages of globalization [22].

In contrast to the optimistic narratives of globalization as a universal panacea for economic growth, this research highlights the nuanced realities developing countries face. The qualitative findings reveal that while globalization can stimulate overall economic growth, it also contributes to rising income inequality and regional disparities. This observation resonates with the concerns raised by Piketty (2019) regarding the distributional effects of globalization, which often lead to wealth concentration among elites and marginalized groups [23]. The study emphasizes that the benefits of globalization are only sometimes experienced, challenging the notion that increased global integration automatically leads to equitable growth. Instead,

targeted policy interventions are necessary to ensure that globalization's benefits are equitably distributed across different socio-economic strata.

Furthermore, this research introduces the concept of vulnerability in the context of globalization. The analysis shows that countries with weaker institutional frameworks are more susceptible to external shocks, which can undermine their economic stability [24]. This finding aligns with the theoretical framework proposed by Stiglitz (2022), who argues that globalization can expose developing countries to risks associated with global market fluctuations [25]. As observed in the case studies, countries with less resilience face heightened economic volatility and may fall into cycles of stagnation. Thus, the study advocates for policies that bolster institutional capacity and resilience, enabling developing nations to navigate the challenges posed by globalization effectively [26].

In summary, this analysis contextualizes the findings of this study within the broader academic discourse on globalization and economic growth. It confirms existing theories regarding globalization's positive impacts while illuminating the critical role of governance and institutional quality in determining outcomes. By integrating both quantitative and qualitative perspectives, this research contributes a comprehensive understanding of how economic globalization interacts with local conditions, ultimately shaping the economic trajectories of developing countries. This nuanced perspective is essential for informing policy decisions aimed at fostering sustainable and inclusive economic growth in an increasingly globalized world.

## **CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, this study underscores the complex relationship between economic globalization and economic growth in developing countries, revealing that while globalization can drive growth through increased trade and investment, the outcomes are significantly influenced by factors such as governance quality, institutional strength, and socio-economic conditions. The findings confirm that not all developing countries experience the same benefits from globalization, highlighting the importance of tailored policy interventions to ensure that the advantages are widely distributed. As such, policymakers must recognize the dual nature of globalization: while it presents opportunities for growth, it also poses risks of increased inequality and economic vulnerability if not managed effectively.

For future research, it is recommended that scholars further explore the specific mechanisms through which globalization affects different sectors within developing economies, particularly in relation to social equity and regional development. Longitudinal studies could provide deeper insights into the long-term effects of

globalization, enabling a better understanding of how evolving global dynamics impact local economies over time. Additionally, comparative studies between countries that have successfully leveraged globalization for growth and those that have not could yield valuable lessons for policymakers. By examining the interplay of global and local factors, future research can contribute to developing more effective strategies that promote sustainable and inclusive economic growth in the context of globalization.

## REFERENCES

- [1] V. M. Shkolnikov, E. M. Andreev, R. Tursun-zade, and D. A. Leon, "Patterns in the relationship between life expectancy and gross domestic product in Russia in 2005–15: a cross-sectional analysis," *Lancet Public Heal.*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. e181–e188, 2019, doi: 10.1016/S2468-2667(19)30036-2.
- [2] L. Stephenson, "Collective creativity and wellbeing dispositions: Children's perceptions of learning through drama," *Think. Ski. Creat.*, vol. 47, no. November 2022, p. 101188, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.tsc.2022.101188.
- [3] T. K. E. Akinwamide and F. M. Oguntade, "Facilitating Independent and Collective Writing Skill Proficiency: The Think-Pair-Share Strategy Involvement," *Eur. J. Linguist.*, vol. 2, no. 1, 2023, doi: 10.47941/ejl.1196.
- [4] E. Herry, P. Y. E. Permana, W. B. Aji, and R. Muhtadi, "Total Quality Management Development and Sharia Governance Efforts in Sharia Micro Financial Institutions to Improve Market Share," *IJIEEB Int. J. Integr. Educ. Eng. Bus.* eISSN 2615-1596 pISSN 2615-2312, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 27–35, 2019.
- [5] J. Garrick and M. Buck, *The Psychosocial Impacts of Whistleblower Retaliation: Shattering Employee Resilience and the Workplace Promise*. Springer Nature, 2022.
- [6] D. Tumminio Hansen, "Remembering Rape in Heaven: A Constructive Proposal for Memory and the Eschatological Self," *Mod. Theol.*, vol. 37, no. 3, 2021, doi: 10.1111/moth.12651.
- [7] B. Kharisma and K. Wibowo, "Consistency of Planning and Budgeting of Basic infrastructure in West Java Province," *Econ. Dev. Anal. J.*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 65–80, 2019, doi: 10.15294/edaj.v8i1.28129.
- [8] T. Muliati, N. A., and W. O. Piliiana, "Social Economic Condition Of Fishermen Community In Tanjung Tiram Village, North Moramo District, South Konawe Regency," *J. Sos. Ekon. FPIK UHO*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 216–228, 2018.
- [9] J. M. Cordero, C. Polo, D. Santín, and R. Simancas, "Efficiency measurement and cross-country differences among schools: A robust conditional nonparametric analysis," *Econ. Model.*, vol. 74, pp. 45–60, 2018.
- [10] Y. H. S. Al-Mamary, "Why do students adopt and use Learning Management Systems?: Insights from Saudi Arabia," *Int. J. Inf. Manag. Data Insights*, vol. 2, pp. 1–9, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.jjime.2022.100088.
- [11] B. K. PAYNe and L. Hadzhidimova, "Disciplinary and interdisciplinary trends

- in cybercrime research: An examination," *Int. J. Cyber Criminol.*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 81–105, 2020.
- [12] I. García, "Asset-based community development (ABCD): Core principles," in *Research handbook on community development*, Edward Elgar Publishing, 2020.
- [13] X. C. Sanchez and L. P. Gavilanez, *Learners' attitudes toward extensive reading in EFL (English as a Foreign Language) contexts*. 2015.
- [14] M. B. A. Wicaksono, I. R. Handayani, and L. Karjoko, "State Policy's Analysis in the Redistribution of Reformed Agrarian Lands From Forest Areas in Indonesia (Study of Presidential Regulation Number 86 Year 2018 Regarding Agrarian Reform)," in *3rd International Conference on Globalization of Law and Local Wisdom (ICGLOW 2019)*, 2019, pp. 174–178.
- [15] J. Gultom, "Pengaruh Profitabilitas, Leverage, dan Likuiditas terhadap Tax Avoidance," *J. Akunt. Berkelanjutan Indones.*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 239–253, 2021.
- [16] R. J. Jagers, D. Rivas-Drake, and B. Williams, "Transformative social and emotional learning (SEL): Toward SEL in service of educational equity and excellence," *Educ. Psychol.*, vol. 54, no. 3, pp. 162–184, 2019.
- [17] S. E. Collins *et al.*, "Community-based participatory research (CBPR): Towards equitable involvement of community in psychology research.," *Am. Psychol.*, vol. 73, no. 7, p. 884, 2018.
- [18] W. P. Neumann, S. Winkelhaus, E. H. Grosse, and C. H. Glock, "Industry 4.0 and the human factor—A systems framework and analysis methodology for successful development," *Int. J. Prod. Econ.*, vol. 233, p. 107992, 2021.
- [19] N. D'Cruze *et al.*, "Characterizing trade at the largest wildlife market of Amazonian Peru," *Glob. Ecol. Conserv.*, vol. 28, p. e01631, 2021.
- [20] H. Saleh, "Implementation of sustainable development goals to makassar zero waste and energy source," *Int. J. Energy Econ. Policy*, 2020.
- [21] T. R. Albrecht, R. G. Varady, A. A. Zuniga-Teran, A. K. Gerlak, and C. Staddon, "Governing a shared hidden resource: A review of governance mechanisms for transboundary groundwater security," *Water Secur.*, vol. 2, pp. 43–56, 2017.
- [22] V. Sethi *et al.*, "Incidence of Side-effects After Weekly Iron and Folic Acid Consumption Among School-going Indian Adolescents," *Indian Pediatr.*, vol. 56, pp. 33–34, 2019.
- [23] A. Kamis, R. C. Rus, M. B. Rahim, F. A. N. Yunus, N. Zakaria, and H. M. Affandi, "Exploring green skills: A study on the implementation of green skills among secondary school students," *Int. J. Acad. Res. Bus. Soc. Sci.*, vol. 7, no. 12, pp. 327–345, 2017.
- [24] M. Raparathi, S. B. Dodda, and S. Maruthi, "Examining the use of Artificial Intelligence to Enhance Security Measures in Computer Hardware, including the Detection of Hardware-based Vulnerabilities and Attacks.," *Eur. Econ. Lett.*, vol. 10, no. 1, 2020.
- [25] K. Nagdev, A. Rajesh, and R. Misra, "The mediating impact of demonetisation on customer acceptance for IT-enabled banking services," *Int. J. Emerg. Mark.*,



- vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 51–74, 2021.
- [26] J. O'Connor, S. Ludgate, Q.-V. Le, H. T. Le, and P. D. P. Huynh, "Lessons from the pandemic: Teacher educators' use of digital technologies and pedagogies in Vietnam before, during and after the Covid-19 lockdown," *Int. J. Educ. Dev.*, vol. 103, no. January, pp. 1–10, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.ijedudev.2023.102942.