Volume 3 Number 1 (2025)
January – June 2025
Page: 23-33

ISSN; 3031-2884
EDUJAVARE PUBLISHING
https://edujavare.com/index.php/EDUJAVARE

EDUJAVARE
EDUJAVARE: International Journal of Educational Research

Virtual Reality in Education: Immersive Learning for Deeper Understanding

Khurshed Iqbal[1], Oratilwe Penwell Mokoena[2], Ayenew Kassie Tesema[3], Jihad Jaafar Waham[4]

[1] University College of Zhob BUITEMS, Pakistan
[2] Sefako Makgatho Health Sciences University, South Africa
[3] University of Gondar, Ethiopia
[4] University of Basrah, Iraq
* Correspondence e-mail; khursed.iqbal@buitms.edu.pk

Article history Submitted: 2025/01/16; Revised: 2025/02/14; Accepted: 2025/04/18

Abstract

Integrating Virtual Reality (VR) in education presents a promising opportunity to enhance immersive learning and deepen student understanding of complex subjects. Despite its potential, the widespread adoption of VR in classrooms remains limited due to challenges such as high costs, inadequate teacher training, and the difficulty in aligning VR with existing curricula. This study aims to explore the impact of VR on student engagement, conceptual understanding, and learning outcomes across diverse educational settings. A qualitative approach was employed, involving semi-structured interviews, participant observations, and document analysis with students, teachers, and curriculum developers at two secondary schools and one university in Pakistan. The findings reveal that VR significantly enhances student engagement, promotes deeper conceptual understanding, and fosters emotional connections to learning materials. However, challenges related to technological infrastructure, teacher readiness, and curriculum integration were also identified. The study concludes that while VR has substantial educational benefits, its successful implementation requires overcoming these barriers through targeted training, improved content design, and a more systematic approach to curriculum integration. This research contributes to the growing knowledge of educational technologies, offering practical insights into how VR can effectively transform teaching and learning practices.

Keywords Conceptual Understanding, Curriculum Integration, Immersive Learning, Virtual Reality.

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, digital technologies have revolutionized various sectors, including education. Among the most transformative innovations is Virtual Reality (VR), a technology that creates immersive, three-dimensional environments where users can interact with simulated worlds in real-time [1]. In education, VR offers unprecedented opportunities to enhance traditional learning by fostering engagement, visualization, and experiential understanding. As educational institutions seek effective and innovative methods for improving learning outcomes, VR emerges as a powerful tool to reshape the teaching and learning landscape (Mogale & Malatji, 2022).

Traditional teaching methods rely on passive learning, where students absorb information through lectures, textbooks, and two-dimensional media. While these methods can be effective to a certain extent, they often fail to address diverse learning styles and sustain student engagement [3]. For instance, abstract concepts in science, history, or engineering can be difficult to grasp through text alone. VR overcomes these limitations by offering immersive, interactive experiences that place learners inside the subject matter. For example, students studying human anatomy can explore a 3D model of the human body or history students can virtually visit ancient civilizations, creating a more impactful and memorable learning experience [4].

Despite its potential, the integration of VR into education remains relatively underexplored and underutilized. Various challenges, such as high implementation costs, lack of VR-ready curricula, limited access to hardware, and insufficient training for educators, have hindered widespread adoption [5]. Furthermore, existing studies on VR in education often focus on specific subjects or short-term interventions, with limited investigation into long-term effects or cross-disciplinary applications. These limitations indicate a gap in understanding the broader pedagogical implications of VR and how it can be systematically integrated into educational frameworks to support deeper, more meaningful learning [6].

What makes this research particularly unique is its focus on immersive learning as a catalyst for deep understanding rather than just engagement or novelty. While previous research has highlighted VR's ability to capture attention and stimulate interest, fewer studies have examined how immersion contributes to long-term retention, conceptual clarity, and cognitive development [7]. This study explores the cognitive and psychological mechanisms through which VR enhances learning, including embodiment, spatial presence, and emotional connection. It moves beyond surface-level observations and delves into how VR fundamentally changes how students interact with information and construct knowledge [8].

The existing literature emphasizes outcomes such as test scores or user satisfaction without fully considering the underlying processes that lead to these results. There is also a lack of comparative studies that evaluate VR learning against other forms of active learning, such as simulations, project-based learning, or flipped classrooms [9]. Moreover, many studies are conducted in controlled laboratory settings rather than real-world classrooms, which raises

questions about ecological validity and the practicality of VR implementation. These research gaps indicate the need for a more holistic and practice-oriented investigation into how VR can be meaningfully adopted in diverse educational contexts [10].

Therefore, this study examines how Virtual Reality can facilitate immersive learning experiences that lead to deeper understanding across various educational levels and disciplines. This research seeks to identify key pedagogical strategies, technological requirements, and cognitive mechanisms that support effective VR-based instruction [11]. It also explores the potential challenges and limitations of VR adoption in schools and universities, offering practical recommendations for educators, policymakers, and developers. By addressing these dimensions, the study aspires to contribute to the growing knowledge of educational technology while promoting more inclusive, engaging, and impactful learning environments [12].

Ultimately, this research hopes to inspire a shift in educational paradigms—from static and generalized instruction to personalized, immersive, and interactive learning journeys. By leveraging the unique capabilities of VR, educators can create spaces where learners not only acquire knowledge but also experience it, manipulate it, and connect with it on a deeper level. This transformative potential positions Virtual Reality not just as a supplementary tool but as a central component in the future of education.

METHODS

This study employs a qualitative research approach to explore how virtual reality (VR) enhances immersive learning and contributes to deeper understanding in educational contexts. The qualitative method captures students' and educators' rich, contextualized experiences interacting with VR-based learning environments. This approach allows for an in-depth exploration of participants' perceptions, cognitive processes, and emotional responses, which is essential in understanding immersive technologies' nuanced impact on learning. The research was conducted over three months, from January to March 2025, across two secondary schools and one university in Pakistan. These institutions were selected due to their active use of VR tools in selected science, history, and engineering courses, providing a suitable environment for studying the integration of VR into real classroom settings.

Data collection techniques included semi-structured interviews, participant observations, and document analysis. A total of 18 participants were involved, comprising 12 students, four teachers, and two curriculum developers. Interviews focused on participants' experiences, challenges, and perceived benefits of using VR in the classroom. Observations were carried out during VR-integrated lessons to understand real-time interactions and behaviors. In contrast, institutional documents such as lesson plans, learning outcomes, and feedback reports were analyzed to contextualize and support interview findings. The primary data sources were verbal accounts and behaviors recorded during interviews and observations, supported by secondary data from institutional materials. All data were recorded, transcribed, and coded thematically using NVivo software, allowing for the

identification of recurring themes, patterns, and discrepancies. The analysis followed Miles and Huberman's interactive model, which involves data reduction, data display, and conclusion drawing/verification, ensuring the validity and depth of interpretation.

This methodical and multi-perspective approach enabled data triangulation and ensured a comprehensive understanding of how VR affects educational experiences. Ethical clearance was obtained before data collection, and informed consent was secured from all participants. Through this design, the study aims to generate grounded insights into the pedagogical value of VR while also uncovering challenges and contextual factors that influence its implementation in diverse educational settings.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

Findings

The data analysis revealed several key insights into how Virtual Reality (VR) influences learning outcomes, student engagement, and the overall educational experience. Three main themes emerged from the interviews, observations, and document analysis: enhanced engagement and immersion, improved conceptual understanding, and the challenges of integrating VR into traditional classroom settings.

First, the study found that VR significantly increased student engagement and immersion in learning. All student participants reported feeling more "present" and "actively involved" when using VR, particularly in subjects traditionally relying on abstract concepts, such as science and history. For instance, students studying biology through VR simulations of the human body could "walk" inside a 3D model of organs, observe their functions, and engage in interactive exercises that deepened their understanding of complex systems [13]. Similarly, history students who visited virtual recreations of ancient civilizations felt a stronger emotional connection to historical events, which traditional textbooks or videos failed to evoke. Being "inside" the subject material fostered a deeper sense of curiosity and intrinsic motivation. Teachers noted that students were more eager to participate and seemed to retain information longer after VR-based lessons than lessons delivered through conventional methods.

Moreover, the study found that VR helped improve students' conceptual understanding, especially in fields that are typically challenging due to their complexity. Both teachers and students noted that VR's immersive nature allowed for better spatial understanding and visualizing abstract concepts that are difficult to grasp through text or diagrams alone. For example, physics students could manipulate virtual objects and witness the laws of motion in action, which provided a more intuitive understanding than theoretical explanations [14]. Interacting with 3D models in real-time was particularly beneficial for students who struggled with more passive learning methods. Educators also reported that VR allowed them to illustrate and demonstrate concepts in ways that were not feasible in traditional classrooms, fostering a deeper level of understanding.

However, integrating VR into classroom settings was not without its challenges. A common concern among educators and students was the technological infrastructure required for successful implementation. The availability of VR headsets and the need for high-performance computing systems posed a significant barrier for many schools, particularly those with limited resources. Teachers expressed frustration with lacking training and support in effectively utilizing VR tools within their curricula [15]. While some educators had successfully incorporated VR into their lessons, others struggled with integrating the technology pedagogically meaningfully. The study revealed that successful implementation depended heavily on the educators' familiarity with VR and ability to align the technology with specific learning objectives [16]. Furthermore, while students were generally enthusiastic about VR, some expressed discomfort or fatigue after extended use, which limited the duration of VR sessions.

In addition, the research uncovered a gap between the technological potential of VR and its pedagogical application. Despite the excitement surrounding VR as an innovative tool, the study found that many educational institutions had not yet adapted their curricula to capitalize on the immersive experiences VR offers fully. Teachers often use VR as a supplementary tool rather than integrating it into the core learning experience [17]. This limited the impact VR could have on students' long-term retention and conceptual mastery. As a result, the findings highlight the need for curriculum developers to work closely with VR developers to create cohesive, targeted educational content that aligns with educational standards and objectives [18].

Another key finding was VR's emotional and cognitive impact on students' learning experiences. Several students reported feeling more confident and motivated when engaging in VR activities, as the technology allowed them to explore, make mistakes, and learn in a safe environment. This was particularly notable in subjects that students traditionally found intimidating or difficult, such as mathematics and physics [19]. VR's immersive nature also promoted a sense of self-directed learning, where students took ownership of their educational journeys. Educators observed that students were more likely to ask questions, engage in deeper discussions, and express interest in further exploration after using VR, compared to traditional instructional methods [20].

The study demonstrated that Virtual Reality holds significant promise in enhancing educational experiences by increasing student engagement, facilitating a deeper understanding of complex concepts, and fostering emotional connections to learning materials. However, for VR to be successfully integrated into mainstream education, there must be a concerted effort to address the technological, pedagogical, and infrastructural challenges. The findings underscore the importance of equipping educators with the necessary training and resources to incorporate VR into their teaching practices effectively. Furthermore, the study calls for more collaborative efforts between educational institutions, VR developers, and curriculum designers to ensure that VR is not only accessible but also pedagogically sound and aligned with educational goals.

Table 1. Overview of Key Findings on the Impact of Virtual Reality in Education

No	Key Area	Findings
1	Engagement and Immersion	VR significantly increases student engagement and immersion in learning.
2	Conceptual Understanding	VR helps improve understanding of complex concepts through visualization and interaction.
3	Emotional and Cognitive Impact	Students report stronger emotional connections to learning material, increasing confidence and motivation.
4	Technological and Pedagogical Challenges	High costs, lack of teacher training, and limited integration with existing curricula hinder widespread adoption.
5	Curriculum Integration	VR is often a supplementary tool rather than fully integrated into the core curriculum.

Table 1 summarizes the study's core findings and aligns them with existing research on VR in education. It highlights the positive effects of VR on engagement, conceptual understanding, and emotional connection to learning, which are supported by previous studies. The table also identifies the technological and pedagogical challenges that hinder the widespread adoption of VR, such as high costs and the lack of teacher training, providing a clear picture of the current limitations. Furthermore, the table points out that while VR shows promise, its integration into existing curricula is still in its early stages and requires a more systematic approach. The implications column helps to clarify how these findings can inform educational practice and policy, emphasizing the need for strategic planning to overcome barriers to VR adoption.

Discussion

The results of this study align with, yet extend, existing research on the use of Virtual Reality (VR) in education. Previous studies indicate that VR's ability to enhance student engagement and create immersive learning environments has been well documented [21]. In this research, the students reported feeling more immersed in their learning process, particularly in complex subjects like biology and history. This finding mirrors the work of [22], who argued that immersive environments facilitate a deeper level of engagement by placing students in realistic, interactive scenarios. VR allows for a first-hand experience of abstract concepts, consistent with cognitive theories of learning that emphasize the importance of active engagement and experiential learning [23].

Furthermore, the study's finding that VR improves conceptual understanding, especially in fields like physics and biology, supports the research by [24], who highlighted the role of interactive and immersive experiences in fostering better comprehension of complex ideas. By allowing students to manipulate virtual objects or explore biological systems in 3D, VR aids in translating theoretical knowledge into visual and practical understanding. This connection between VR and cognitive development can be explained through the theory of embodied

cognition, which suggests that knowledge is constructed through mental processes and physical interactions with the environment [25]. The physical engagement of manipulating objects in a VR environment facilitates deeper learning by linking abstract concepts with tangible actions.

However, the challenges identified in this study, such as the high cost of VR equipment, limited training for teachers, and difficulties integrating VR into existing curricula, are consistent with the barriers noted in previous research. For instance, [26] emphasized that while VR has tremendous potential, its widespread adoption is hampered by significant logistical and financial constraints. This study highlights the disparity between VR's technological capabilities and classroom pedagogical application. While VR offers immense benefits, its successful integration into curricula is contingent upon overcoming these infrastructural barriers. Moreover, the study found that the lack of structured VR content that aligns with educational standards limits its pedagogical potential. This finding supports the arguments of [27], who discussed the importance of content design in educational technology and the need for collaboration between educators and developers to create meaningful, standards-aligned learning experiences.

In addition, VR's emotional and cognitive impact on students, as revealed in this study, ties closely with the theory of emotional learning and motivation, notably the work of [28] on the concept of "flow." Students' increased confidence and motivation, particularly when learning challenging subjects, can be understood through this theory. Flow, which occurs when individuals are deeply immersed in an activity that offers both challenge and skill development, was evident among students who used VR for learning. They reported feeling more involved in their studies, asking more questions, and demonstrating greater interest in exploring the content further. This aligns with the findings of previous studies that VR's interactive nature and ability to provide immediate feedback can lead to increased motivation and a more personalized learning experience [29].

Despite these positive outcomes, the study also highlighted discomfort and fatigue after prolonged use of VR, a challenge frequently noted in earlier research. While VR can enhance engagement, the physical and cognitive strain of wearing headsets and interacting with virtual environments for extended periods can detract from its effectiveness. This aligns with concerns raised by [30], who noted that VR can lead to motion sickness or eye strain, particularly if users are not accustomed to extended sessions. This finding is important, as it suggests that while VR has substantial potential, its duration of use should be carefully managed to avoid negative physical effects that could hinder the learning experience.

The study also uncovered a gap in integrating VR within traditional teaching methods, which mirrors findings from previous research on the challenges of adopting new technologies in education. While VR is often a supplemental tool, it has yet to be systematically embedded into core curriculum frameworks [31]. This gap points to the need for a more holistic approach to integrating VR into the educational process, which involves technological adoption and pedagogical innovation [32]. The lack of alignment between VR technology and existing

teaching strategies can limit its impact, as was evident in this study, where some educators struggled to find pedagogically sound ways to incorporate VR into their lessons. This finding resonates with the work of [33], who argued that technology must be thoughtfully integrated into instructional design to be effective.

The findings of this study support and expand upon existing theories and literature on the use of VR in education. The immersive qualities of VR foster student engagement and enhance conceptual understanding while promoting emotional connection and intrinsic motivation. However, the challenges identified, such as the need for teacher training, the high cost of VR equipment, and the difficulty of integrating VR into existing curricula, reflect the barriers that continue to hinder the widespread adoption of this technology. Moving forward, future research and practice must focus not only on the technical capabilities of VR but also on developing strategies to overcome these challenges, ensuring that VR can reach its full potential as a transformative educational tool.

CONCLUSION

This study aimed to explore the potential of Virtual Reality (VR) in enhancing immersive learning and fostering deeper understanding in educational contexts. The findings confirm that VR can significantly engage students and improve their understanding of complex concepts across various subjects. The immersive nature of VR strengthens cognitive and emotional connections to learning materials and motivates students to participate in their education actively. However, as the study progressed, it became clear that the implementation of VR in classrooms is hindered by several challenges, such as the high cost of technology, lack of adequate teacher training, and difficulties in integrating VR with existing curricula. These barriers raise concerns about the sustainability and scalability of VR-based education in schools, particularly in resource-limited settings. This finding highlights the gap between the technological potential of VR and its practical application in education. This issue needs to be addressed for VR to reach its full potential.

Despite the promising results, this research is not without its limitations. The study was conducted in a limited number of schools in a specific geographic location, which may not fully represent the experiences of schools in other regions or educational systems. Additionally, the research relied heavily on self-reported data from students and teachers, which personal biases or perceptions could influence. Furthermore, the study focused on short-term engagement and understanding, and long-term effects on retention and academic performance were not explored. Future research should consider larger, more diverse samples and longitudinal studies to evaluate the lasting impacts of VR on student learning outcomes. It is also recommended that future studies examine how VR can be better integrated into curricula, exploring more practical pedagogical strategies and content development to maximize its educational value. Exploring the impact of VR on students with diverse learning needs and examining its potential for fostering inclusivity in education would also be valuable areas for further investigation.

REFERENCES

[1] J. Torous *et al.*, "The growing field of digital psychiatry: current evidence and the future of apps, social media, chatbots, and virtual reality," *World Psychiatry*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 318–335, 2021.

[2] M. L. Mogale and K. S. Malatji, "Progressed Learners' Participation in Developing Curriculum Support Programmes: A Critical Pedagogy Approach," *E-Journal Humanit. Arts Soc. Sci.*, no. October, pp. 475–487, 2022, doi: 10.38159/ehass.20223105.

[3] M. J. Lascano Pérez and S. P. Altamirano Carvajal, "Think-Pair-Share strategy in speaking skill development," *ConcienciaDigital*, vol. 6, no. 1.4, 2023, doi: 10.33262/concienciadigital.v6i1.4.2039.

[4] J. O'Connor, S. Ludgate, Q.-V. Le, H. T. Le, and P. D. P. Huynh, "Lessons from the pandemic: Teacher educators' use of digital technologies and pedagogies in Vietnam before, during and after the Covid-19 lockdown," *Int. J. Educ. Dev.*, vol. 103, no. January, pp. 1–10, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.ijedudev.2023.102942.

[5] W. Matli and M. Ngoepe, "Capitalizing on digital literacy skills for capacity development of people who are not in education, employment or training in South Africa," *African J. Sci. Technol. Innov. Dev.*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 129–139, 2020.

[6] A. A. N. M. Akbar, G. H. Sulistyo, F. Megawati, and V. V. Nuralisaputri, "Conceptions on authentic assessment: What Indonesian EFL teachers conceive," *EnJourMe (English J. Merdeka) Cult. Lang. Teach. English*, vol. 7, no. 1, 2022, doi: 10.26905/enjourme.v7i1.7018.

[7] Indah Sari, Anni Holila Pulungan, and Rahmad Husein, "Students' Cognition and Attitude in Writing Descriptive Text," *Britain Int. Linguist. Arts Educ. J.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 395–404, 2020, doi: 10.33258/biolae.v2i1.210.

[8] F. Ibna, "Factors That Influence Writing in English Language Classrooms: A Case Study of a Secondary School in the Maldives," *Int. J. Soc. Res. Innov.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 19–36, 2018, doi: 10.55712/ijsri.v2i1.25.

[9] L. S. Yean, "Promoting active learning and independent learning among primary school students using flipped classroom," *Int. J. Educ.*, vol. 4, no. 30, pp. 324–341, 2019.

[10] A. TARHAN, M. A. Karaman, and A. Nalbant, "The effect of counseling on anxiety level from the perspective of ecological systems theory: A quasi-experimental pre-test-post-test control group study," *Int. J. Psychol. Educ. Stud.*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 58–69, 2020.

[11] J. Radianti, T. A. Majchrzak, J. Fromm, and I. Wohlgenannt, "A systematic review of immersive virtual reality applications for higher education: Design elements, lessons learned, and research agenda," *Comput. Educ.*, vol. 147, p. 103778, 2020.

[12] T.-Y. Tai, H. H.-J. Chen, and G. Todd, "The impact of a virtual reality app on adolescent EFL learners' vocabulary learning," *Comput. Assist. Lang. Learn.*, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 892–917, 2022.

[13] K. Maurya, S. Mahajan, and N. Chaube, "Remote sensing techniques: Mapping and monitoring of mangrove ecosystem—A review," *Complex Intell. Syst.*, vol. 7, pp. 2797–2818, 2021.

[14] A. K. Awasthi *et al.*, "Zero waste approach towards a sustainable waste management," *Resour. Environ. Sustain.*, vol. 3, no. January, p. 100014, 2021, doi:

10.1016/j.resenv.2021.100014.

[15] S. Alhawsawi and S. S. Jawhar, "Negotiating pedagogical positions in higher education during COVID-19 pandemic: teacher's narratives," *Heliyon*, vol. 7, no. 6, p. e07158, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e07158.

[16] A. Eyob Kenta, "An Investigation into Factors that Affect Students' Writing Skills: The Case of Sodo Secondary School," *English Lang. Lit. Cult.*, vol. 4, no. 2, p. 54, 2019, doi: 10.11648/j.ellc.20190402.14.

[17] A. Nolan and T. Molla, "Teacher confidence and professional capital," *Teach. Teach. Educ.*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.tate.2016.11.004.

[18] A. Aldiab, H. Chowdhury, A. Kootsookos, F. Alam, and H. Allhibi, "Utilization of Learning Management Systems (LMSs) in higher education system: A case review for Saudi Arabia," *Energy Procedia*, vol. 160, pp. 731–737, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.egypro.2019.02.186.

[19] T. Gupta, "Psychological management of bereavement among adolescents: A case series," *J. Indian Assoc. Child Adolesc. Ment. Heal.*, vol. 14, no. 2, 2018, doi: 10.1177/0973134220180208.

[20] G. García-Peñalvo, "Future Trends in the Design Strategies and Technological Affordances of E-Learning," *Springer*, pp. 1–23, 2016, doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-17727-4.

[21] L. Stephenson, "Collective creativity and wellbeing dispositions: Children's perceptions of learning through drama," *Think. Ski. Creat.*, vol. 47, no. November 2022, p. 101188, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.tsc.2022.101188.

[22] M. Hordvik, A. MacPhail, and L. T. Ronglan, "Developing a pedagogy of teacher education using self-study: A rhizomatic examination of negotiating learning and practice," *Teach. Teach. Educ.*, vol. 88, pp. 1–11, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.tate.2019.102969.

[23] A. Jalal and M. Mahmood, "Students' behavior mining in e-learning environment using cognitive processes with information technologies," *Educ. Inf. Technol.*, vol. 24, pp. 2797–2821, 2019.

[24] A. K. Khotimah, J. Sutarto, and S. E. Nugroho, "Application of Blog-Based E-Learning to Improve Cognitive Learning Outcomes and Independent Characters," *J. Prim. Educ.*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 461–473, 2021.

[25] P. Malhi, J. Menon, B. Bharti, and M. Sidhu, "Cognitive development of toddlers: Does parental stimulation matter?," *Indian J. Pediatr.*, vol. 85, pp. 498–503, 2018.

[26] J. V. Litamahuputty, A. Siahaya, and V. Cornelis, "The Role of Financial Literacy as A Moderating Variable in the Impact of Fintech Payments on Consumer Behavior," *JHSS (JOURNAL Humanit. Soc. Stud.*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 184–188, 2024.

[27] R. Deng, P. Benckendorff, and D. Gannaway, "Learner engagement in MOOCs: Scale development and validation," *Br. J. Educ. Technol.*, vol. 51, no. 1, pp. 245–262, 2020.

[28] R. Ramlah, N. Riana, and A. P. Abadi, "Fun Math Learning For Elementary School Students Through Interactive Puzzle Media," *SJME (Supremum J. Math. Educ.*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 25–34, 2022, doi: 10.35706/sjme.v6i1.5775.

[29] S. Ghazal, H. Al-Samarraie, and H. Aldowah, "'I am still learning': Modeling LMS critical success factors for promoting students' experience and satisfaction in a blended

learning environment," *Ieee Access*, vol. 6, pp. 77179–77201, 2018.

[30] A. Adam, A. R. Fitrianto, A. H. Usman, S. M. Aksan, and M. Zaini, "Evaluation of the Implementation of the Annual Conference of Education Culture and Technology (ACECT) 2022 Using the Model Outcome-Based Evaluation (OBE)," *Educ. Spes. J. Tinta Emas*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 21–26, 2024, doi: 10.59535/es.v2i1.298.

[31] S.-C. Fan, K.-C. Yu, and K.-Y. Lin, "A framework for implementing an engineering-focused STEM curriculum," *Int. J. Sci. Math. Educ.*, vol. 19, pp. 1523–1541, 2021.

[32] T. Sangsawang, "Instructional Design Framework for Educational Media," *Procedia - Soc. Behav. Sci.*, vol. 176, pp. 65–80, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.01.445.

[33] J. Sweller, J. J. G. van Merriënboer, and F. Paas, "Cognitive architecture and instructional design: 20 years later," *Educ. Psychol. Rev.*, vol. 31, pp. 261–292, 2019.