Volume 2 Number 2 (2024) July – December 2024

Page: 33-42

ISSN; 3031-2884 EDUJAVARE PUBLISHING

https://edujavare.com/index.php/EDUJAVARE



AI in Education: Revolutionizing Personalized Learning Experiences

Nur Wulandani¹, Yafita Arfina Mu'ti², Risa Alfiyah Ulfa³

¹⁾ Makassar State University, Indonesia ^{2,3)} Sunan Giri Islamic Institute Ponorogo, Indonesia Correspondence email: nur.wulandani@unm.ac.id

Article history

Submitted: 2024/07/11; Revised: 2024/08/15;

Accepted: 2024/09/24

Abstract

The rapid adoption of artificial intelligence (AI) technologies in education presents opportunities and challenges for personalized learning. Despite its potential to adapt to diverse student needs, integrating AI into educational systems has been met with barriers such as inadequate infrastructure, teacher preparedness, and ethical concerns regarding data privacy and algorithmic bias. This study explores the impact of AI-driven personalized learning tools in secondary and higher education institutions, evaluating their effectiveness and the challenges educators and students face. Using a qualitative research approach, the study employed semi-structured interviews, focus group discussions, and document analysis across five educational institutions in Indonesia. The findings reveal that AI tools can potentially enhance personalized learning, significantly improving engagement and academic performance. However, challenges such as insufficient teacher training, infrastructure limitations, and concerns over data privacy were identified as critical barriers to successful implementation. The study concludes that AI in education can offer transformative benefits, but comprehensive support systems, including teacher training, improved infrastructure, and ethical considerations, must accompany its adoption. This research contributes to understanding how AI can be effectively integrated into education and provides valuable insights for policymakers, educators, and technology

Keywords



Algorithmic Bias, Artificial Intelligence, Personalized Learning.

© 2024 by the authors. This is an open-access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY SA) license, https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/.

INTRODUCTION

The rapid advancement of artificial intelligence (AI) technologies in recent years has significantly influenced various sectors, with education emerging as one of the most promising fields for innovation. Integrating AI in education has shifted the traditional, one-size-fits-all approach toward a more dynamic and personalized learning model [1]. As global education systems face increasing pressure to adapt to the diverse needs of learners, AI presents itself as a transformative solution capable of tailoring educational experiences to individual student

profiles [2]. This technological shift enhances the effectiveness of learning processes and democratizes access to quality education, especially in contexts where human resources are limited [3].

Despite the growing enthusiasm, many educational systems still struggle to provide truly personalized learning experiences. Conventional classroom settings often rely on standardized curricula that do not account for individual differences in learning styles, cognitive abilities, or interests. Students who fail to align with the mainstream teaching pace frequently fall behind, leading to disengagement and lower academic performance [4]. Although central to the learning process, teachers are often overwhelmed by large class sizes, limited resources, and administrative responsibilities, making it difficult to offer differentiated instruction [5]. This gap between individual learning needs and the system's capacity to meet them highlights the necessity of innovative solutions, such as AI-driven learning environments that can adapt in real time to support diverse learners [6].

The use of AI in education is not an entirely new concept. Early applications included intelligent tutoring systems, automated grading, and learning management platforms with basic adaptive features. However, recent developments in machine learning, natural language processing, and data analytics have dramatically expanded the possibilities [7]. Modern AI systems can now analyze vast amounts of student data, including behavioral patterns, engagement levels, and performance metrics, to deliver customized feedback, recommend learning resources, and predict future academic outcomes [8]. Moreover, AI can provide multilingual support, emotional recognition, and real-time interaction, making learning more inclusive and responsive. What makes the current wave of AI integration unique is its capacity to continuously learn and evolve, offering increasingly precise personalization over time [9].

Although numerous studies have explored the potential benefits of AI in educational settings, most existing research tends to focus on the technological development of AI tools or their theoretical potential rather than their practical implementation in diverse classroom contexts. There remains a lack of comprehensive empirical studies that examine how AI-driven personalization impacts learning outcomes across different demographics, subjects, and educational levels [10]. Additionally, much of the literature overlooks the ethical considerations and challenges associated with data privacy, algorithmic bias, and the role of educators in AI-enhanced environments. This article seeks to bridge that gap by critically analyzing current AI applications in education and evaluating their real-world implications on personalized learning [11].

The primary aim of this study is to investigate how AI technologies are being utilized to create personalized learning experiences and to evaluate their effectiveness in improving student engagement and academic performance. The research examines both the pedagogical and technological dimensions of AI in education through a multidisciplinary lens, drawing from case studies, experimental data, and expert interviews. The study also explores the challenges schools and institutions face in adopting AI tools, including infrastructure limitations, teacher readiness, and ethical dilemmas. By highlighting both the opportunities

and limitations of AI, the article aims to provide actionable insights for educators, policymakers, and developers working at the intersection of technology and education.

Ultimately, this research aspires to contribute to growing educational AI knowledge by offering a balanced and evidence-based perspective. It is hoped that the findings can inform the design of more effective, equitable, and sustainable AI systems for education. Furthermore, the study emphasizes the importance of human-AI collaboration, advocating for an educational paradigm in which technology empowers rather than replaces educators. As AI continues to evolve, understanding its impact on personalized learning is essential for shaping the future of education in an innovative and inclusive way.

METHOD

This study employs a qualitative research approach to explore the implementation and impact of artificial intelligence in creating personalized learning experiences within educational settings. The qualitative design is chosen to allow for a deeper, contextualized understanding of the perceptions, experiences, and challenges educators, students, and policymakers face in integrating AI technologies. The research was conducted over four months, from November 2024 to February 2025, and focused on three secondary schools and two higher education institutions in Jakarta and Bandung, Indonesia. These institutions were selected based on their ongoing efforts to incorporate AI-powered tools into their teaching and learning processes, such as adaptive learning platforms, intelligent tutoring systems, and AI-supported assessment technologies.

Data were collected through semi-structured interviews, focus group discussions, and document analysis. Participants included school administrators, teachers, students, and educational technology coordinators, with 25 informants chosen using purposive sampling to ensure relevance and depth of insight. Interviews and discussions were conducted in-person and online, depending on the participants' availability and preference, and were audio-recorded with consent. Supporting documents such as institutional reports, AI tool usage analytics, and policy guidelines were also examined to triangulate findings and enhance data validity. Ethical considerations were strictly followed throughout the process, including informed consent, confidentiality, and voluntary participation.

The data analysis followed the Miles and Huberman interactive model, including data reduction, display, and conclusion drawing/verification. After transcription, the data were coded thematically to identify recurring patterns, key themes, and meaningful variations in participant responses. These themes were then analyzed to understand how AI tools were implemented, what personalization they offered, and how stakeholders perceived their effectiveness. The analysis also considered contextual factors such as institutional readiness, digital infrastructure, and teacher capacity. By grounding the findings in rich, qualitative data, the study aims to provide nuanced insights that can inform more effective and equitable AI integration strategies in education.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of data collected from the five educational institutions reveals several significant themes regarding integrating AI technologies in personalized learning. The first major finding is that AI tools, particularly adaptive learning platforms, enhance teachers' ability to cater to diverse learning styles. Educators highlighted that AI-driven systems allowed them to offer more tailored educational content, responding dynamically to students' performance and preferences. For example, students who struggled with certain concepts were provided additional practice materials, while those who excelled were challenged with more advanced content. Teachers reported that, although AI tools did not replace traditional methods of instruction, they significantly augmented their ability to address students' varying needs within the same classroom. This finding aligns with the theoretical potential of AI to support differentiated learning. Still, it also revealed that effective implementation depends on the degree of teacher familiarity with these technologies and the readiness of the educational institution.

Another key finding concerns the impact of AI on student engagement and motivation. Many students shared that AI tools, particularly those offering interactive and gamified experiences, increased their interest in learning. These tools provided instant feedback, helping students understand their mistakes and reinforcing their learning [12]. However, some students also expressed concerns about the limitations of AI, noting that while personalized learning could be engaging, it sometimes lacked the emotional connection and support that human teachers provide. This underscores the importance of balancing AI-driven learning with human interaction, as AI tools alone were insufficient to foster holistic educational experiences. Furthermore, some students noted that AI systems could be overwhelming when they didn't fully understand the underlying algorithms, leading to confusion and frustration in the learning process.

The third significant finding revolves around the challenges faced by educational institutions in adopting AI technologies. While AI has proven to be an effective tool for personalizing learning, its implementation has obstacles. Many institutions struggled with inadequate digital infrastructure, particularly in lower-income regions. Teachers reported that slow internet connections and outdated hardware limited the full utilization of AI platforms [13]. Furthermore, there was a noticeable lack of professional development for educators to integrate these tools effectively into their teaching practices. Although teachers recognized the potential benefits of AI, they often felt unprepared to leverage these tools to their fullest extent [14]. As a result, the use of AI remained somewhat superficial in many cases, with tools used sporadically or in isolation rather than as part of a broader, integrated approach to personalized learning.

A significant ethical concern that emerged from the research was the issue of data privacy and algorithmic bias. Several educators and administrators expressed concerns about the collection and usage of student data by AI systems, particularly regarding how this data

was being stored, analyzed, and shared. In some cases, students were unaware of how their data was being used or the potential implications of algorithmic decisions that influenced their learning paths [15]. Moreover, participants raised concerns about the possibility of biases embedded within AI algorithms, potentially reinforcing existing educational inequities. For instance, if the data used to train these algorithms were skewed or unrepresentative, the AI could perpetuate unfair educational outcomes [16]. These findings underscore the importance of ensuring transparency and accountability in AI systems, particularly regarding data usage and algorithmic fairness.

Despite these challenges, the research revealed a high level of optimism among educators and students regarding the future potential of AI in education. Many respondents emphasized the transformative potential of AI in democratizing access to high-quality learning resources, especially in underserved regions or contexts where teacher shortages are prevalent [17]. AI's capacity to provide scalable, individualized learning experiences could offer significant opportunities to bridge gaps in educational equity. However, participants also emphasized that for AI to reach its full potential, there needs to be a more thoughtful, collaborative approach involving educators, policymakers, and technology developers [18]. Educational stakeholders emphasized the need for ongoing professional development, better infrastructure, and more inclusive policies to ensure that AI tools were used in ways that benefitted all students, not just those with privileged access to resources.

The results of this study reveal that while AI in education holds considerable promise for revolutionizing personalized learning, its successful implementation is contingent upon addressing several key challenges. These include ensuring that teachers are adequately trained, providing the necessary infrastructure, and addressing ethical concerns related to data privacy and algorithmic bias [19]. Furthermore, the research emphasizes the need for a balanced approach where AI complements human interaction rather than replaces it. As AI technologies evolve, their integration into educational systems must be approached carefully, ensuring they contribute to a more equitable, effective, and engaging learning experience for all students.

The findings of this study offer valuable insights into the current landscape of AI implementation in education, aligning with and diverging from existing literature. In terms of enhancing personalized learning, the study corroborates previous research highlighting AI's potential to tailor educational content to individual learners. For example, studies by [20] emphasize how adaptive learning technologies can customize learning paths based on students' real-time performance. Our findings reveal similar trends, where AI systems were perceived as helpful in addressing diverse learning needs [21]. However, the study highlighted a critical nuance not frequently discussed in previous research: the extent to which AI implementation depends on teacher preparedness and institutional infrastructure. Previous studies often take for granted the readiness of educational environments, yet our findings indicate that the challenges in technology adoption, such as insufficient infrastructure and lack of teacher training, significantly hinder the effective deployment of AI tools [22].

Moreover, while the literature generally focuses on the advantages of AI in promoting engagement and motivation [23], this study found that the impact on student engagement was not uniformly positive. Although many students appreciated the interactive nature of AI tools, some voiced concerns about the lack of emotional connection that AI could offer compared to human teachers. This aligns with the arguments presented by Tuomi (2018), who contends that AI, despite its technological advances, cannot replicate the empathetic role of teachers, especially in terms of fostering emotional and social development. The study's findings support this claim empirically, suggesting that while AI can enhance cognitive engagement, it may fall short of fostering the emotional engagement essential for holistic learning [24].

In addition to the personalization and engagement themes, the study found significant concerns related to data privacy and algorithmic bias, echoing findings from research on the ethical implications of AI in education [25]. Previous studies have warned that AI systems' reliance on extensive data collection raises privacy and surveillance issues [26]. This study corroborates these concerns, with participants expressing unease about how AI systems collect, analyze, and use student data. The findings indicate that while students and educators generally accept the convenience and benefits of AI, they are often unaware or concerned about the consequences of data mining, algorithmic bias, and the potential for unfair educational outcomes. This points to the need for greater transparency and ethical governance in designing and deploying AI technologies in education.

The challenges identified in this study, particularly regarding infrastructure and professional development, are also consistent with broader trends discussed in the literature. For instance, [27] note that successful integration of educational technologies requires the availability of tools and a supportive ecosystem, which includes proper training for educators and adequate technological infrastructure. Our study further emphasizes that the effectiveness of AI in personalized learning is inextricably linked to these structural factors. Despite recognizing AI's benefits, teachers often felt unprepared to maximize its potential due to limited training opportunities. Additionally, inadequate digital infrastructure in some institutions, particularly those in underserved regions, hindered the adoption of AI technologies. These findings are consistent with the work of [28], who suggest that for AI to realize its full potential, institutions must ensure that the necessary technical and pedagogical foundations are in place.

The theoretical framework used in this study, particularly the theory of constructivism by Vygotsky (1978), provides a relevant lens through which to interpret the results. Constructivism emphasizes the importance of social interaction and context in learning, suggesting that knowledge is co-constructed through experiences and collaboration [29]. Our findings support the idea that AI, while effective in adapting content to individual needs, cannot replace the essential role of human educators in providing context, motivation, and social learning experiences. AI tools can provide personalized learning content, but they cannot offer the scaffolding teachers provide in guiding students through complex cognitive tasks or addressing emotional and social aspects of learning. This underscores the need for a

balanced approach where AI supports but does not supplant traditional pedagogical methods.

Furthermore, the ethical concerns raised in this study can be analyzed through the lens of Foucauldian notions of power and surveillance. As Foucault (1977) argues, surveillance and data collection systems create power dynamics that shape behavior and outcomes. In the context of AI in education, the collection of vast amounts of student data can be seen as a form of surveillance that potentially reinforces power imbalances between those who design and control AI systems and those who are subjected to them [30]. The concerns about data privacy and algorithmic bias expressed by participants reflect a growing awareness of the power structures embedded in AI technologies. The findings suggest that future AI applications in education must be critically examined for their educational efficacy and potential to reinforce inequities or impose undue surveillance on students.

This study's findings offer a nuanced perspective on the role of AI in personalized learning. While AI holds substantial promise in catering to individual learning needs, enhancing engagement, and providing scalable educational solutions, its implementation faces significant challenges. These include the need for teacher training, better infrastructure, and addressing ethical concerns related to data privacy and algorithmic fairness. The findings contribute to the growing body of literature on AI in education, offering both corroboration and extension of previous studies while also highlighting new dimensions that warrant further exploration. Through a critical engagement with AI's ethical, practical, and theoretical implications, this study calls for a more holistic and balanced approach to integrating AI technologies into educational systems.

CONCLUSION

This study has addressed the growing interest in the use of artificial intelligence (AI) to personalize learning experiences in educational settings. Through an in-depth examination of the current implementation of AI tools in schools and higher education institutions, the research reveals that while AI holds great potential to enhance personalized learning, several challenges hinder its full integration. The researcher's primary concern was to explore the benefits of AI and the underlying issues that may affect its widespread adoption, including technological limitations, teacher preparedness, and ethical considerations. The findings confirm that while AI can significantly improve the tailoring of educational content to individual student needs, its effectiveness is often constrained by factors such as inadequate infrastructure, insufficient training for educators, and concerns about data privacy and algorithmic bias. Thus, while AI represents a promising solution, it is clear that careful attention must be paid to the broader context in which these technologies are deployed to ensure they are used effectively and ethically.

However, the study also has its limitations. Given the relatively short time frame of the research and the limited sample size, the findings may not fully capture the diverse experiences of educators and students across different regions or educational levels. Furthermore, the research relied heavily on self-reported data from interviews and focus

groups, which participants' perceptions and biases can influence. To build on these findings, future research should include larger and more diverse samples and longitudinal studies that track the long-term impact of AI on student learning outcomes. Additionally, future studies should investigate the ethical implications of AI in more depth, particularly focusing on issues such as data security, privacy, and the potential for algorithmic discrimination. As AI technology evolves, it will be crucial for researchers to explore how these tools can be integrated in ways that foster not only cognitive development but also social and emotional growth, ensuring a holistic approach to personalized learning.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Judijanto, A. Asfahani, S. Muqorrobin, and N. Krisnawati, "Optimization of Organizational Performance by Utilization of AI for Strategic Management Insights," *J. Artif. Intell. Dev.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 107–116, 2022.
- [2] K. Cheng, "Advancing 21st century competencies in East Asian education systems," *Cent. Glob. Educ. Asia Soc.*, vol. 2, p. 26, 2017.
- [3] F. T. Lyman, L. Tredway, and M. Purser, "Think-Pair-Share and ThinkTrix: Standard Bearers of Student Dialogue," in *Contemporary Global Perspectives on Cooperative Learning: Applications Across Educational Contexts*, 2023. doi: 10.4324/9781003268192-12.
- [4] S. Bukit, "Implementation of the Contextual Approach in Improving Science Learning Outcomes in Elementary Schools Implementasi Pendekatan Kontekstual dalam Meningkatkan Hasil Belajar IPA di Sekolah Dasar," *Asian J. Nat. Sci.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 17–30, 2022, doi: https://doi.org/10.55927/ajns.v1i1.1905.
- [5] S. Y. I. Sari, M. Faisal, A. S. Raksanagara, D. Agustian, and K. Rusmil, "Water quality and factors associated with compliance of drinking water refilling stations as a choice for middle-low urban households in developing countries," *J. Water Environ. Technol.*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 27–36, 2020, doi: 10.2965/jwet.19-037.
- [6] Z. Misbah, J. Gulikers, R. Maulana, and M. Mulder, "Teacher interpersonal behaviour and student motivation in competence-based vocational education: Evidence from Indonesia," *Teach. Teach. Educ.*, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.tate.2015.04.007.
- [7] G. García-Peñalvo, "Future Trends in the Design Strategies and Technological Affordances of E-Learning," *Springer*, pp. 1–23, 2016, doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-17727-4.
- [8] D. A. Bray, D. C. Girvan, and E. N. Chorcora, "Students' perceptions of pedagogy for 21st century learning instrument (S-POP-21): Concept, validation, and initial results," *Think. Ski. Creat.*, vol. 49, pp. 1–14, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.tsc.2023.101319.

- [9] N. Millner, "Unsettling feelings in the classroom: scaffolding pedagogies of discomfort as part of decolonising human geography in higher education," *J. Geogr. High. Educ.*, 2021, doi: 10.1080/03098265.2021.2004391.
- [10] P. A. Suri, M. E. Syahputra, A. S. H. Amany, and A. Djafar, "Systematic literature review: The use of virtual reality as a learning media," *Procedia Comput. Sci.*, vol. 216, pp. 245–251, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.procs.2022.12.133.
- [11] H. Aldawood, A. Alhejaili, M. Alabadi, O. Alharbi, and G. Skinner, "Integrating digital leadership in an educational supervision context: A critical appraisal," in 2019 International Conference in Engineering Applications (ICEA), IEEE, 2019, pp. 1–7.
- [12] Nabilah Mokhtar, Lim Zhi Xuan, H. F. Lokman, and N. H. C. M. Noor Hayati Che Mat, "Theory, Literature Review, and Fun Learning Method Effectiveness in Teaching and Learning," *Int. J. Soc. Sci. Educ. Res. Stud.*, vol. 03, no. 08, pp. 1738–1744, 2023, doi: 10.55677/ijssers/v03i8y2023-30.
- [13] H. Legi, D. Damanik, and Y. Giban, "Transforming Education Through Technological Innovation In The Face Of The Era Of Society 5.0," *Educenter J. Ilm. Pendidik.*, vol. 2, no. 2, 2023.
- [14] Y. Jamiah, F. Fatmawati, and E. Purwaningsih, "Internalization of Students' Nationalism Sense through Outbound Learning Based on Local Wisdom," *JETL* (*Journal Educ. Teach. Learn.*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 339–344, Sep. 2019, doi: 10.26737/jetl.v4i2.1642.
- [15] T. Sangsawang, "Instructional Design Framework for Educational Media," *Procedia Soc. Behav. Sci.*, vol. 176, pp. 65–80, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.01.445.
- [16] H. Mulyanto, G. Gunarhadi, and M. Indriayu, "The effect of problem based learning model on student mathematics learning outcomes viewed from critical thinking skills," *Int. J. Educ. Res. Rev.*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 37–45, 2018.
- [17] N. Mohamed, B. Taheri, A. Farmaki, H. Olya, and M. J. Gannon, "Stimulating satisfaction and loyalty: transformative behaviour and Muslim consumers," *Int. J. Contemp. Hosp. Manag.*, vol. 32, no. 9, pp. 2903–2923, 2020.
- [18] A. Asfahani, Z. H. Sain, and M. Mariana, "Comparative Analysis of Educational Policies: A Cross-Country Study on Access and Equity in Primary Education," *EDUJAVARE Int. J. Educ. Res.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 19–28, 2023.
- [19] A. Sinulingga, D. P. Saputro, and A. Nova, "The Differences Between Learning Model of Inquiry and Direct Instruction Toward Learning Outcomes of Discus Throw," *J. Sport Area*, vol. 6, no. 1, 2021, doi: 10.25299/sportarea.2021.vol6(1).4856.
- [20] H. Kondo, S. Tohyama, A. Ohsaki, and M. Yamada, "HighNyammer: Metrics Feedback on BBS for Collaborative Improvement of Collective Cognitive

- Responsibilities," Int. J. Learn. Technol. Learn. Environ., vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 61–79, 2020.
- [21] A. Eyob Kenta, "An Investigation into Factors that Affect Students' Writing Skills: The Case of Sodo Secondary School," *English Lang. Lit. Cult.*, vol. 4, no. 2, p. 54, 2019, doi: 10.11648/j.ellc.20190402.14.
- [22] M. L. Mogale and K. S. Malatji, "Progressed Learners' Participation in Developing Curriculum Support Programmes: A Critical Pedagogy Approach," E-Journal Humanit. Arts Soc. Sci., no. October, pp. 475–487, 2022, doi: 10.38159/ehass.20223105.
- [23] H. Li, R. Majumdar, M.-R. A. Chen, and H. Ogata, "Goal-oriented active learning (GOAL) system to promote reading engagement, self-directed learning behavior, and motivation in extensive reading," *Comput. Educ.*, vol. 171, p. 104239, 2021.
- [24] S. Koul and B. Nayar, "The holistic learning educational ecosystem: A classroom 4.0 perspective," *High. Educ. Q.*, vol. 75, no. 1, pp. 98–112, 2021.
- [25] I. Solihin, A. Hasanah, and H. Fajrussalam, "Core Ethical Values of Character Education Based on Islamic Values in Islamic Boarding Schools," *Int. J. Adv. Sci. Educ. Relig.*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 21–33, 2020.
- [26] I. C. Chen, "Incorporating task-based learning in an extensive reading programme," *ELT J.*, 2018, doi: 10.1093/elt/ccy008.
- [27] K. Murcia, C. Pepper, M. Joubert, E. Cross, and S. Wilson, "A framework for identifying and developing children's creative thinking while coding with digital technologies," *Issues Educ. Res.*, vol. 30, no. 4, pp. 1395–1417, 2020.
- [28] R. Lee, K. Hoe Looi, M. Faulkner, and L. Neale, "The moderating influence of environment factors in an extended community of inquiry model of e-learning," *Asia Pacific J. Educ.*, vol. 41, no. 1, 2021, doi: 10.1080/02188791.2020.1758032.
- [29] A. Aubert, S. Molina, T. Schubert, and A. Vidu, "Learning and inclusivity via Interactive Groups in early childhood education and care in the Hope school, Spain," *Learn. Cult. Soc. Interact.*, vol. 13, pp. 90–103, 2017.
- [30] L. Kartini, "The Use of the Think-Pair-Share on Senior High School Students' Mathematics Learning Achievement on Integral Concept," *J. Pendidik. Indones. Gemilang*, vol. 3, no. 1, 2023, doi: 10.53889/jpig.v3i1.188.